



**UNITED NATIONS**  
**ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE**  
CONFERENCE OF EUROPEAN STATISTICIANS  
**Group of Experts on Measuring Poverty and Inequality**  
28-29 November 2024  
**Workshop on Harmonization of Poverty Statistics**  
27 November 2024

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Title of contribution</b>  | <i>Longitudinal analysis – Swiss Poverty Monitoring</i>    |
| <b>Author Name(s)</b>   | <i>Anna KOUKAL</i>   |
| <b>Presenter Name</b>   | <i>Anna KOUKAL</i>   |
| <b>Presenter Organization</b>   | <i>Federal Social Insurance Office (FSIO), Switzerland</i> |
| <b>Topic</b>  | <i>Social policies, social transfers and data</i>          |
| <b>Summary:</b><br><br><i>To understand poverty dynamics, policymakers are interested in longitudinal poverty analyses, which follow the same people over time. Such analyses allow policymakers and other stakeholders to gain a more detailed picture of poverty, when compared to cross-sectional analysis. At the same time, longitudinal analysis needs to be based on appropriate data. In the Swiss case, the lack of national tax data is limiting the possibilities of longitudinal analyses for the first national report of poverty, which will be published in 2025. As a consequence, longitudinal analyses are based on two different data sources:</i><br><br><i>1) The SILC panel (panel period of 4 years)</i><br><i>2) Combined administrative data (panel period of 10 years)</i><br><br><i>The presentation shows two examples of longitudinal poverty analyses, which have been computed for the first national report on poverty in Switzerland. The first example looks at households with a very low work intensity, which is strongly associated to a higher poverty risk. Following the approach of Ward and Ozdemir (2013), we present results to the question whether living in a household with very low work intensity is rather a temporary or persistent phenomenon in Switzerland.</i><br><br><i>Second, the presentation shows results that are based on administrative data (without tax data) focusing on entry, length of stay and exit patterns to the social welfare system. Due to the larger number of observations, patterns can be distinguished according to household type, level of education and origin.</i> |  |
| <b>Please select your preferred contribution (you may select both options):</b>   |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | Presentation   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>  | Paper (to be submitted by 18 October)                      |