


A serial approach in GE.3 instead of two parallel


Proposal submitted by the expert from Sweden

A chain of events towards the final outcome and goal from GE.3.



Two approaches in parallel – Binding and Non-binding

To support harmonization and development



Binding driven approach

- Project proposals and knowledge from the GE.3-experts, the bureau, the secretariat, the industry, NGOs, the academia etc.
- Approaches supported by work from volunteers
- Publication in informal GE.3-papers to inform stakeholders
- Etc.



Non-binding driven approach

Results from scoping work


Why not instead two approaches in a series?

To support harmonization and development





Binding driven approach

- Informed by the output from the non binding approach




Non-binding driven approach



- Project proposals and knowledge from the GE.3-experts, the bureau, the secretariat, the industry, NGOs, the academia etc.
- Approaches supported by work from volunteers
- Publication in informal GE.3-papers to inform stakeholders
- Etc.

A chain of events towards creating equal opportunities for safe use of AVs



To discuss:



For being able to choose to use the working method described in figure 3 above, an acceptance is needed in GE.3 for a multi outcome approach. Sweden wish to discuss the working model described above by asking the following questions as a basis for a discussion, can GE.3:

- - accept a multi outcome approach including the two approaches in a series?
- - start to developing principles based on all the knowledge GE.3 has produced so far?
- - accept that the principles may enters in to a binding approach for they who wish based on the principles from the non-binding-approach?