Lara Fleischer | Acting Head of Unit, Well-being Data Insights and Policy Practice **OECD WISE CENTRE** – Well-Being, Inclusion, Sustainability and Equal Opportunity

Chapter 2 – Key common principles of wellbeing measurement frameworks

UNECE seminar and taskforce on measuring well-being Geneva, 8-10 JULY 2024







Access the KEP: oe.cd/KEP

Workshop 1, June: summarising the complexity of well-being data and evidence:

https://www.oecd.org/wise/events/KEP-Workshop1-Summarising-complexity-of-well-being-in-reporting-13-June-2024-Agenda.pdf

Workshop 2, October: integrating well-being evidence in policy appraisal, design and evaluation tools





Rome, Italy | 4-6 November 2024

At a glance...

The leading large-scale international forum for knowledge exchange on well-being evidence and practice across sectors and disciplines.

A 3-day event, bringing together **500** of the world's top experts and practitioners on mainstreaming well-being approaches in government, business, research and civil society.

A mixture of high-level panels, parallel sessions, technical workshops and interactive discussions - maximising participants' opportunities to learn, connect, and advance the well-being agenda.

Themes to be addressed...

Strengthening wellbeing approaches to policy making

Improving data and analysis on well-being

Targeting social investment for long-term well-being outcomes

Fostering crosssectoral collaboration for well-being Accelerating & broadening climate action through a well-being lens

Harnessing technology & A.I. for inclusive, sustainable well-being



Registration is open on the following link:

https://www.oecd-wellbeing-forum2024.mef.gov.it/how-to-participate




Chapter 2 overview

- Increasing momentum around providing a more holistic picture of societal progress over the past decade
- Well-being = a broad, outcome-focused assessment of whether life is getting better for people across multiple dimensions, and of whether progress is inclusive and sustainable within planetary and social boundaries.
- Section 1: an overview of the main international reports on measuring societal progress "beyond GDP" and their key principles
- Section 2: How have international and national well-being frameworks reflected these recommendations?



Influential international reports calling for more holistic measures of societal progress



Influential international reports calling for more holistic measures of societal progress

Our Common Future, United Nations, 1987

Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Societal Progress, 2009

Sustainable development = meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs

Limits of GDP and calls for development of statistical system and dashboard centered on people's well-being and sustainability

Well-being = multidimensional
Distinguish between current well-being and
sustainability
Assess inequalities in well-being
Include both objective and subjective measures

Influential international reports calling for more holistic measures of societal progress

Recommendations on Measuring Sustainable Development, Conference of European Statisticians, 2013 Well-being of the present generation in a particular country (here and now), future generations (later) and for people living in other countries (elsewhere)

High Level Expert Group on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress, 2018

Further strengthening earlier SSF recommendations Development of better-quality metrics (trust, social norms, economic inequality including in SNA) Well-being evidence should be used to inform policy practice

Recent measurement developments

UN-level activities (UNECE, Beyond GDP initiative in 2021, UNNES)


Academic: explicit notions of socio- and planetary boundaries



More than 70% of OECD countries have developed national frameworks, development plans or surveys with a well-being focus

LEGEND

Regular = Measurement frameworks



2011 to 2015

Australia's Welfare
Israel Well-being, Sustainability and
National Resilience Indicators
Indicators of Well-being in Slovenia
Finland Strategic Government
Programme Indicators
France New Indicators of Wealth
Quality of Life Indicators in Korea

Italy Measures of Equitable and
Sustainable Well-being (full set)
Statistics Portugal Well-being Index

Mexico Indicadores de bienestar

2012 How's Austria?

New Zealand Treasury Living Standards Framework

United Kingdom Measures of National Well-being

Belgium's Sustainable Development Indicators

Measuring What Matters for Australia

2016 to 2023

First & Second Report on a Well-being Framework for Ireland
Chile Social Wellbeing Survey

2020 Canada's Quality of Life Framework
Norway Quality of Life in Norway

Japan Cabinet Office Well-being Dashboard
Spain Quality of Life Indicators

Poland Responsible Development Index
Iceland Indicators of Well-being
Indicators Actearoa New Zealand

2018 Switzerland updated MONET 2030 Indicators New Zealand Living Standards Dashboard

2017 Sweden New Measures of Well-being
Luxembourg PlBien-être / Index of Well-being
Norway How We Are Doing
Netherlands Monitor of Well-being

Slovenia National Development Strategy 2030

2016 Belgium Complementary Indicators to GDP Well-being in Germany

Italy Measures of Equitable and Sustainable Well-being (short set)

Restricted Use sage restreint

- (1) Distinction between current well-being, inclusion and sustainability
- (2) Well-being is understood as multidimensional
- (3) Indicators populating well-being dashboards are wherever possible outcome focused, and include both objective and subjective assessments of people's circumstances


- (1) Distinction between current well-being, inclusion and sustainability
- (2) Well-being is understood as multidimensional
- (3) Indicators populating well-being dashboards are wherever possible outcome focused, and include both objective and subjective assessments of people's circumstances

OECD Well-being Framework

CURRENT WELL-BEING

Key dimensions

How we measure them











Averages

Inequalities between groups









Civic Engagement

Work-life Balance



Inequalities between top and bottom performers



Deprivations





Environmental Quality

Work and Job Quality

Safety

RESOURCES FOR FUTURE WELL-BEING

Key dimensions

How we measure them



Natural Capital



Human Capital





Stocks



Flows



Economic Capital



Social Capital




Risk factors



Resilience

Netherlands Monitor of Well-being

Canada
Quality of
Life
Framework





- (1) Distinction between current well-being, inclusion and sustainability
- (2) Well-being is understood as multidimensional
- (3) Indicators populating well-being dashboards are wherever possible outcome focused, and include both objective and subjective assessments of people's circumstances

Many well-being initiatives share a common conceptual core

| OECD V | OECD Well-being Framework Dimensions | | G7 Countries | | | | | | Selected OECD Countries | | | | International Efforts | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|----------|-----|
| | | | DEU | FRA | GBR | ITA | JPN | IRL | ISL | ISR | KOR | NLD | NZL | EStat | HDI | SDGs | WHR |
| | Income and wealth | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | Work and job quality | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| | Housing | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| Du | Health | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| -pe | Knowledge and skills | ✓ | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| we | Environmental quality | ✓ | √ | | ✓ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| Current well-being | Subjective well-being | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Cul | Safety | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| | Work-life balance | ✓ | √ | | ✓ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| | Social connections | √ | ✓ | | ✓ | √ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| | Civic engagement | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | √ | | | ✓ | |
| 70 | Natural capital | ✓ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | |
| Future well-being | Human capital | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | √ | ✓ | √ | ✓ | |
| Futi /ell-t | Economic capital | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ | |
| > | Social capital | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |

Ireland Wellbeing Framework

Italy Bes Indicators 11 dimensions, 35 indicators

Development: widespread consultation



Rialtas na hÉireann

Understanding life in Ireland

A Well-being Framework

12 dimensions, 153 indicators

Development: widespread consultation




Istat

- (1) Distinction between current well-being, inclusion and sustainability
- (2) Well-being is understood as multidimensional
- (3) Indicators populating well-being dashboards are wherever possible outcome focused, and include both objective and subjective assessments of people's circumstances

The majority of national well-being initiatives include some form of subjective well-being indicator in their dashboards

Of the OECD countries that have developed a national well-being approach, the share that include subjective well-being indicators (and if so, by type), and the share that do not



Israel Wellbeing, Sustainability and National Resilience Indicators

Figure 2.10 Objective and subjective indicators in the quality of employment dimension

| Indicator | Desired direction | Objective/Subjective | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Employment rate | ↑ | objective | | | | |
| Involuntarily part-time employment rate | V | objective | | | | |
| Median gross income from work | ↑ | objective | | | | |
| Satisfaction with work | ↑ | subjective | | | | |
| Satisfaction with income | ↑ | subjective | | | | |
| Satisfaction with promotion opportunities | ↑ | subjective | | | | |
| Field of work related to education | ↑ | subjective | | | | |
| Rate of persons injured in work accidents | V | objective | | | | |
| Rate of long-term unemployment | V | objective | | | | |

Source: (CBS, 2016)

Open questions

- (1) The chapter provides references to the theoretical underpinnings of the beyond GDP agenda (eg behavioral economics, welfare economics, capability approach), but does not go into detail. Do we need a box?
- (2) Cross-links to other chapters ok (eg SDGs/SNA → Chapter 3, dimensions → Chapter 4, consultations → Chapter 8)?