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## Scope of scenario modeling



- Model energy systems of 5 Central Asian countries (KAZ, KGZ, TJK, TKM, UZB)
- Simulate three main scenarios
- National energy self-sufficiency
- Regional energy connectivity
- Full connectivity (regional energy connectivity + connections to third countries)
- Analyze key model outputs
- Energy endowments/reserves
- Energy supply and demand
- Deployment of low/zero-carbon technologies: renewable energy, nuclear, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, fossil with carbon capture
- Electricity and gas grid expansion/integration
- Deployment of energy storage
- Costs of energy generation, transmission, and storage


## Model enhancements since February 2024

- Added network modeling of electricity transmission
- Disaggregated electricity imports and exports, separately modeling electricity trade between countries/grids in Central Asia and with third countries
- Modeled hydropower availability in Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basins using results from SEl's integrated water-energy model for Central Asia
- Added grid-connected battery storage
- Updated renewable power targets in UZB and TJK
- Integrated latest available data on electricity production capacity and dispatch (including near-term capacity expansion plans)
- Revised capital costs and capacity credits for hydropower using locally sourced data
- Added hydrogen production
- Updated fossil fuel reserves
- Modeled National energy self-sufficiency, Regional energy connectivity, and Full connectivity scenarios, plus a fourth scenario with unlimited electricity
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## Electricity transmission

- 7 network nodes: KAZ_North, KAZ_South, KAZ_West, KGZ, TJK, TKM, UZB
- High-voltage lines: >= 220 kV
- All existing and planned lines represented
- Various candidate lines (from World Bank grid modeling, plus extra lines for unlimited transmission scenario)
- Power flow simulation calibrated to historical line utilization
- Minimum and maximum flow requirements between nodes to represent power purchase agreements and typical usage
- Requirements are gradually lifted in projections

Modeled regions (nodes) for electricity supply and demand


## Electricity transmission

- Assumptions about parallel operation with UES CA
- TJK resumes parallel operation in 2024
- TKM does not resume parallel operation (except in unlimited transmission scenario)

MW of transmission capacity among modeled nodes
( $E=$ existing, $P=$ planned, $C=$ candidate)

| Nodes |  | Self-sufficiency | Regional connectivity | Full connectivity | Unlimited transmission* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAZ_North | KAZ_South | E: 2100 | E: 2100 | E: 2100 | C: Unlimited |
| KAZ_North | KAZ_West | E: 1386 | E: 1386 | E: 1386 | C: Unlimited |
| KAZ_South | KGZ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 3994 \\ & \text { C: } 3436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}: 3994 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 3436 \end{aligned}$ | C: Unlimited |
| KAZ_South | UZB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 2034 \\ & \text { C: } 1718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 2034 \\ & \text { C: } 1718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 2034 \\ & \text { C: } 1718 \end{aligned}$ | C: Unlimited |
| KGZ | TJK | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 240 \\ & \text { P: } 1715 \\ & \text { C: } 1718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 240 \\ & \text { P: } 1715 \\ & \text { C: } 1718 \end{aligned}$ | C: Unlimited |
| KGZ | UZB | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 3741 \\ & \text { C: } 3436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}: 3741 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 3436 \end{aligned}$ | C: Unlimited |
| TJK | UZB | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P: } 8562 \\ & \text { C: } 3436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P: } 8562 \\ & \text { C: } 3436 \end{aligned}$ | C: Unlimited |
| TKM | UZB | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 1653 \\ & \text { C: } 3436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E: } 1653 \\ & \text { C: } 3436 \end{aligned}$ | C: Unlimited |
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## Electricity transmission

- Transmission connections with third countries
- Only enabled in Full connectivity and Unlimited transmission scenarios
- Afghanistan
- Current and planned connections with TJK and UZB
- Connections assumed to be for export only
- Historical and projected exports reproduced in model
- Russia
- Connections with KAZ_North and KAZ_West
- Two-way power trade
- Historical trade reproduced in model and used to bound future line usage
- Connections between TKM and Afghanistan (planned) and TKM and Iran (existing and planned) not modeled due to insufficient data on historical usage


## Hydropower availability

- Using results from integrated water-energy modeling allows a more realistic representation of:
- Year-to-year variability
- Competition with other water demands
- Long-term impacts of climate change



## Hydrogen production

- Multiple production pathways added to model
- Alkaline and proton exchange membrane electrolysis
- Steam methane reforming with and without CCS
- Coal gasification with and without CCS
- Hydrogen demands not yet modeled
- Domestic: need exogenous projections, appropriate data sources not yet identified
- Key issue: level of decarbonization ambition in region
- Exports: demand projections for KAZ available in GIZ Green Hydrogen Transport Scenarios report, export potential in other countries may be low


Source: GIZ (2023). Green Hydrogen Transport Scenarios: From Kazakhstan to Europe.

## Preliminary results

- Results calculated for four connectivity scenarios
- Self-sufficiency: electricity transmission within KAZ and between KAZ and UZB only
- Regional: electricity transmission within Central Asia only
- Full: electricity transmission within Central Asia and between Central Asia and third countries
- Unlimited: unlimited electricity transmission within Central Asia, same assumptions as Full for transmission between Central Asia and third countries
- Key commonalities for all scenarios
- Full realization of national plans for hydropower development and agricultural expansion
- Implementation of unconditional NDCs and national clean energy plans (no net-zero in KAZ)
- Adoption of national plans for water efficiency (including irrigation upgrades, new crops and cropping patterns, water-efficient equipment)
- High climate change - hot, dry future climate (SSP5-8.5, INM-CM5 model's CMIP6 projection)
- Population projections from UN World Population Prospects
- GDP projections from IMF (TKM), World Bank (all other countries)


## All results shown here are a draft and are subject to revision and enhancement.

Additional results - and additional information on the model's inputs, assumptions, and methods - are available in the model itself, which can be shared on request.

## Population



- 2020-2050 average annual growth rates:
- TJK: 1.8\%
- KYG: 1.1\%
- TKM: 0.9\%
- KAZ, UZB: 0.8\%


## GDP



- 2020-2050 average annual growth rates:
- TJK: 6.1\%
- UZB: 5.6\%
- KAZ: 4.5\%
- KYG: 3.6\%
- TKM: 2.0\%


## Final energy demand



Excludes demand for electricity exports


- Same final demand projection in all scenarios
- Significant demand growth in all countries: total demands more than double over projection period
- Increasing share for electricity: 4.0\% annual growth between 2020 and 2050 across all countries
- Major roles for gas and oil


## Electricity exports to third countries



- Activated in Full connectivity and Unlimited transmission scenarios
- Long-run exports to Afghanistan based on projections in GIZ report Conducting a country profile analysis and inventory of existing national energy systems (Katyshev, 2023)
- Exports from TKM to Afghanistan and Iran not modeled due to a lack of data


## Overnight capital costs - selected electricity production technologies



- Decreasing costs for solar, batteries, nuclear, gas, and wind
- Coal costs essentially stable
- Solar PV's low costs pair with good capacity factors in Central Asia
- Same electricity production technology costs used in all scenarios
- Except for hydropower, same technology costs used in all regions


## Fuel prices for electricity production



- Significant price advantage for coal over natural gas
- Same fuel prices used in all scenarios and regions


## Electricity production requirements



- Requirements excluding thirdcountry exports shown
- In long run, requirements in UZB match those in KAZ
- Large relative and absolute growth in requirements in TJK ( 57 TWh between 2020 and 2050)


## Electricity generation: Self-sufficiency



[^1]- Generation by country aligns with national electricity demand / production requirements
- Marked increase in lowcarbon generation in long run - solar, wind, hydro, and nuclear
- Coal generation also grows (2.7x by 2050) because most countries do not have stringent long-term decarbonization targets
- Exception: KAZ, where renewables + nuclear + gas must account for $55 \%$ of generation by 2030, 100\% by 2050 (Concept for Transition to Green Economy)


## Hydro generation: Self-sufficiency




- Availabilities from integrated water-energy modeling cover more than 70\% of hydro generation in most years
- Several significant plants (e.g., Rogun, Nurek), but total generation includes contributions from dozens of facilities of different sizes
- Hot, dry climate impedes hydropower production, particularly in Amu Darya Basin


## Electricity generation: Regional connectivity

Results for Regional connectivity - results for Self-sufficiency


[^2]- Some regional efficiencies in near term (reductions in curtailment, better utilization of hydro)
- In longer run, however, carbon leakage becomes a significant problem growth in coal generation in TJK and KYG, which do not have deep decarbonization requirements


## Electricity generation: Full connectivity

Results for Full connectivity - results for Self-sufficiency


- Similar picture as for Regional connectivity
- Increased generation overall compared to Regional connectivity due to exports to third countries

[^3]
## Electricity generation: Unlimited transmission



[^4]
## Discussion

## Electricity production capacity (all regions)



## Electricity transmission capacity



## Electricity production costs (all regions)



- Improved connectivity lowers electricity production costs
- Compared to Selfsufficiency scenario, Unlimited transmission reduces costs 12-14\% in long run - a savings of \$4 billion per year across all five countries

Includes capital, operations and maintenance, and fuel costs of electricity generation and storage; capital costs of candidate transmission capacity

## GHG emissions from electricity production (all regions)



- Carbon leakage in enhanced transmission scenarios increases annual emissions by as much as $130 \mathrm{MtCO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ by 2050 ( $19 \%$ of total energy system emissions in 2050 in Self-sufficiency scenario)


## Key take-aways from preliminary modeling

- Driven by cost advantages, new renewable power (in particular, solar, wind, and hydro) is likely to play a major role in meeting the region's growing demands for electricity
- Although hydro is the dominant source of renewable power in the region today, in the long run it will likely provide a smaller share of electricity generation than solar (and wind, depending on assumptions)
- Increased solar and wind power can be integrated by leveraging energy storage (reservoir hydro, batteries), transmission, and flexible thermal generation, among other options
- Enhanced electricity transmission connectivity has the potential to lower electricity system costs, but it also risks carbon leakage if decarbonization plans are uneven across the region
- Additional electricity transmission connections to and within KAZ appear to be highly costeffective
- Unlike renewables, nuclear power's potential in the region appears more limited due to its relatively high costs


## Potential model improvements

## Improvement

Explore scenarios with harmonized decarbonization assumptions - e.g., carbon price, renewable power share, \% emission reductions

## Critical data needs

Assumptions validated by stakeholders
Nationally or regionally specific hourly load curves

Data on current, planned, and potential gas transmission - capacities, costs, historical usage

Locally sourced price/cost data
Estimates of pumped hydro potential in region, information on current and planned pumped hydro projects
Projections of domestic $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ demand

Terms of power purchase agreements/expected power trade, particularly with Russia, Iran, and Afghanistan

## Discussion

## Disclaimer

The views expressed herein are those of Jason Veysey and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations.


[^0]:    * Unlimited scenario includes all existing and planned capacity

[^1]:    Excludes generation by battery storage

[^2]:    Excludes generation by battery storage

[^3]:    Excludes generation by battery storage

[^4]:    Excludes generation by battery storage

