

***Report to 191<sup>st</sup> WP.29 session  
from  
the 48<sup>th</sup> IWG on DETA meeting***

DETA: The secure internet database for exchanging approval documentation between the Contracting Parties of the 1958 Agreement, as required to be established by the UNECE, according to Revision 3 of this Agreement.

# DETA - State of play

---

## Facts and figures:

- 37 Contracting Parties (+1) have notified their DETA Focal Point
- App. 55.000 approvals (+10.000) uploaded by 19 TAAs
- App. 5880 manufacturers (+70) are uploaded / could be selected by TAA
- 15 manufacturers have access to DETA (only to their own approvals)  
(manufacturer's access is to be requested via their approval authority and to be forwarded to the DETA Administrator)

# DETA - State of play – Developments

---

- **Mass Upload Functionality**

→ implemented in DETA

- First authority is using the functionality

- **Two-factor authentication with e-mail solution**

→ will be implemented with new SW-Version scheduled mid of 2024

# DETA - State of play – Extension of scope

---

## Extension of scope DETA following WP.29/AC.2 assignment

- **Access for designated Technical Services to all certificates:**

The IWG on DETA is currently discussing administration issues for implementing WP.29-190<sup>th</sup> decision.

# DETA - State of play – Unique Identifier marking

---


Considerations by most GRs finished (questionnaire WP.29-188-20 circulated to GR's)

## Preliminary conclusions from IWG on DETA:

- The GRs basically agreed to a general ban of the UI marking for all UN Regulations under their purview.
- GRE: only for UN R65, 148, 149 and 150, and only if the space available on products would not be sufficient for engraving traditional approval markings, UI markings would be necessary.
- no expected benefits of UI marking for all other UN Regulations.

# DETA - State of play – Unique Identifier marking

- GRE will be invited considering the need for the development of the UI concept for UN R65, 148, 149, 150 and whether alternatives (e.g. simplified ‘E-marking’) would be more appropriate.
- Other GRs may continue to discuss the need for the UI marking at their discretion.
- The IWG on DETA discussed, pending final decisions by GRE and WP.29:
  - Amending the 1958 Agreement (Schedule 5):

“the approval markings required by UN Regulations may be replaced by a Unique Identifier (UI) preceded by the symbol , **if unless explicitly specified otherwise in the UN Regulations and subject to the availability of the corresponding feature in the secure internet database.**”
  - and:
    - Amending the applicable UN Regulations under the 1958 Agreement.

# DETA - Questions to WP.29

---

## **WP.29 is invited to consider:**

- inviting GRE to consider the UI marking for the relevant UN Regulations taking into account all potential consequences including implementation costs and to consider alternative marking solutions (e.g. simplified 'E-marking').
- continuing discussion at WP.29-192nd on a way forward with the UI marking concept.
- agreeing that the IWG on DETA will not progress with the UI development until decision is reached by WP.29, and recognise the UI marking cannot be applied until the concept is implemented.