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Abstract

This JSON Schema Naming and Design Rules technical specification defines an architecture and a set of rules necessary to define, describe and use JSON to consistently express business information exchanges namely via APIs. It is based on the JSON Schema team’s specification and the UN/CEFACT Core Components Technical Specification. This specification will be used by UN/CEFACT to define JSON Schema and JSON Schema documents, which will be published as UN/CEFACT standards. It will also be used by other organisations who are interested in maximizing inter- and intra-industry interoperability.
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1.6 Notation

The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this specification, are to be interpreted as described in Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request For Comments (RFC) 2119.

---

Example  A representation of a definition or a rule. Examples are informative.

[Note]  Explanatory information. Notes are informative.

[R n|c]  Identification of a rule that requires conformance. Rules are normative. In order to ensure continuity across versions of the specification, rule numbers “n” are randomly generated. The number of a rule that is deleted will not be re-issued. Rules that are added will be assigned a previously unused random number.

The second number “c” after the pipe symbol | identifies the conformance category of the given rule as defined in section 2.6 Conformance.

Courier  All words appearing in bolded courier font are values, objects or keywords. Representation of non-printable characters like whitespace are surrounded by double-quotes, e.g. " ".

<<var>>  All placeholders are surrounded by double less-than and greater-than characters. The meaning of the placeholder is described in the text.

1.7 Audience

The audience for this UN/CEFACT JSON Schema Naming and Design Rules Technical Specification is:

- Members of the UN/CEFACT Applied Technologies Groups who are responsible for development and maintenance of UN/CEFACT JSON Schema.

- The wider membership of the other UN/CEFACT Groups who participate in the process of creating and maintaining UN/CEFACT JSON Schema definitions.

- Designers of tools who need to specify the conversion of user input into JSON Schema definitions adhering to the rules defined in this document.

- Designers of JSON Schema definitions outside of the UN/CEFACT Forum community. These include designers from other organisations that have found these rules suitable for their own organisations.
2 Introduction

2.1 Objectives

This JSON Schema NDR technical specification document forms part of a suite of documents that aim to support modern web developers to make use of UN/CEFACT semantics.

It can be applied on any layer of the UN/CEFACT Reference Data Models to create conformant JSON artefacts in accordance with the UN/CEFACT Core Components Technical Specification Version 2.01. This includes comprehensive RDMs like Buy-Ship-Pay, or Accounting as well as their contextualization like the Supply-Chain-Reference-Data-Model (SCRDM), Multi-Modal-Transport-Reference-Data-Model (MMT-RDM) down to single message implementation like the Road Consignment Note (eCMR) or the certificate of origin (COO).

2.2 Requirements

Users of this specification should have an understanding of basic data modelling concepts, basic business information exchange concepts and basic JSON concepts.

2.3 Dependencies

This document depends on

- UN/CEFACT Core Components Technical Specification Version 2.01.
- API TechSpec Open API design rules.

2.4 Caveats and Assumptions

Schemas created as a result of employing this specification should be made publicly available as schema documents in a universally free, accessible, and searchable library. UN/CEFACT will make its contents freely available to any government, individual or organisation who wishes access.

Although this specification defines schema components as expressions of Reference Data Models, non-CCTS developers can also use it for other logical data models and information exchanges.

This specification does not address transformations via scripts or any other means. It does not address any other representation of CCTS artefacts – such as XML, JSON-LD, OWL, and XMI.
2.5 Guiding Principles

- **JSON Schema Creation**
  UN/CEFACT JSON Schema design rules will support JSON Schema creation through handcrafting as well as automatic generation.

- **Tool Use and Support**
  The design of UN/CEFACT JSON Schema will not make any assumptions about sophisticated tools for creation, management, storage, or presentation being available.

- **Technical Specifications**
  UN/CEFACT JSON Schema Naming and Design Rules will be based on technical specifications holding the equivalent of JSON Schema recommendation status.

- **JSON Schema Specification**
  UN/CEFACT JSON Schema Naming and Design Rules will be fully conformant with the JSON Schema recommendation.

- **Interoperability**
  The number of ways to express the same information in a UN/CEFACT JSON Schema and UN/CEFACT JSON instance document is to be kept as close to one as possible.

- **Maintenance**
  The design of UN/CEFACT JSON Schema must facilitate maintenance.

- **Context Sensitivity**
  The design of UN/CEFACT JSON Schema must ensure that context-sensitive document types are not precluded.

- **Ease of implementation**
  UN/CEFACT JSON Schema should be intuitive and reasonably clear in the context for which they are designed. They should allow an intuitive implementation in REST APIs, a.k.a. RESTful API, as well as other interchange appliances.

2.6 Conformance

Designers of JSON Schema in governments, private sector, and other standards organisations external to the UN/CEFACT community have found this specification suitable for adoption. To maximize reuse and interoperability across this wide user community, the rules in this specification have been categorized to allow these other organisations to create conformant JSON Schema while allowing for discretion or extensibility in areas that have minimal impact on overall interoperability.
Accordingly, applications will be considered to be in full conformance with this technical specification if they comply with the content of normative sections, rules and definitions.

Conformance SHALL be determined through adherence to the content of the normative sections and rules. Furthermore, each rule is categorized to indicate the intended audience for the rule by the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rules, which must not be violated. Else, conformance and interoperability are lost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rules, which may be modified, while still conformant to the NDR structure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Conformance categories
3 JSON Schema Architecture

3.1 Basic architecture

The CCTS defines naming and design rules for a hierarchical data model that supports a document centric modelling approach as well as a resource based modelling approach. In order to support the document centric modelling approach and to be backwards compatible it is designed in a hierarchy. REST APIs on the other hand are resource based only. This means that when moving from CCTS to REST APIs using JSON Schema both options are to be considered. In addition, the JSON syntax has its own naming and design rules that differs from the naming and design rules from the CCTS. This section elaborates on how to move from CCTS to JSON Schema.

3.1.1 JSON serialization in a RESTful context

In order to use the JSON schema artefacts in REST API specifications, the question arises at which level a hierarchical structure is split into a resource-based structure. The UN/CEFACT project API Town Plan has already dealt with this fundamental problem. It formulated that the decision cannot be made centrally in advance. Rather, it depends on the implementation needs in the respective concrete project or the concrete domain.

For this reason, a form of serialization is chosen within the JSON Schema NDR that allows both options for each decision point: The retention of the document-centric hierarchy or the separation according to resources. All ASBIE\(^2\) connections are affected by this. The corresponding data type is modelled in the chapter 3.7.

3.1.2 Overall JSON Schema Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R 2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the scope of this specification, a JSON schema is a file that complies with a JSON schema definition as defined at <a href="https://json-schema.org">https://json-schema.org</a>. It may include subschemas defined in the $defs section. A JSON schema fragment means both the overall JSON schema as well as each of its included subschemas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current version of the JSON Schema draft at the time of publication of this document is 2020-12. It was created in particular due to user requirements in the development of APIs. It corresponds to the OpenAPI 3.1.x version. With this in mind, the latest version of the JSON schema Draft is used in this NDR.

\(^2\) Associated Business Information Entity
Each JSON schema SHALL be declared to be a “JSON Draft 2020-12 schema” with the appropriate $schema string property defined as https://json-schema.org/draft/2020-12/schema.

In section 3.8.1 a set of rules is defined that allows achieving compatibility with many tools, which do not yet support JSON schema version 2020-12. This set of rules MAY be applied in a publication or the resulting schemas may be published as a second set of JSON schemas marked as "deprecated compatibility set".

Each JSON schema SHALL contain a "title" annotation. It SHALL be an overall description title.

Each JSON schema SHALL contain a "description" annotation. It contains an overall description for that file as well as copyright information.

Each declared Document and Library ABIE definitions and their BBIE and ASBIE members SHALL contain a "title" annotation and a "description" annotation. The "title" annotation SHALL be the CCTS Dictionary Entry name for the BIE. If there exists a contextualised business name, it SHALL be used instead. "description" annotation shall be the CCTS definition value. Members of enums SHALL NOT contain the "title" or the "description" annotation.

The "unevaluatedProperties" property of each JSON schema fragment SHALL be set to false, excluding subschemas for primitive data types, unqualified data types and qualified data types. For subschemas, specifying primitive data types, unqualified data types or qualified data types the "unevaluatedProperties" property SHALL be stated as defined in this document.

### 3.2 Versioning and "$id"

Fostering interoperable and highly automated data exchange means enabling machines to process the information in the correct syntactical structure and the correct semantic

3 https://json-schema.org/specification-links.html

4 Basic Business Information Entity
meaning. As requirements change on a regular base, the created standards need to adapt to the new requirements. Therefore, it is necessary to define the given version of the technical artefacts in a machine-readable way.

It is a clear goal to keep the JSON schema artefact structure as compatible as possible with older and future versions.

[R 9/1]
The JSON schema file names SHALL NOT contain a version information. Differences in versions are only indicated by $id and the folder structure in which the JSON schema artefacts are located.

[R 10/1]
Each JSON schema being published by user groups or standardisation organisations SHALL contain an identifier for the schema in the appropriate $id URI property. JSON schema exports that are only used in a closed environment (e.g. for testing) do NOT NEED to contain the $id property.

The URI SHALL follow the following format:
"$id": "<basepath>/<variant>/<domain>/<version>[/<RDM>]/<BIE>"

with
<basepath> identifying the originator. For UNECE artefacts that is 
"https://github.com/uncefact/spec-JSONschema"

<variant> representing the JSON schema draft version and the export variant. e.g. 
"JSONschema2020-12/library"

<domain> like "BuyShipPay"

/version> in the UNECE publication format e.g. "D22A"

<BIE> with one
- distinct name for each message assembly ABIE⁵ (e.g. Cross Industry Invoice) without a file extension
- name for all BBIE components: "BasicComponents"
- distinct name for every RDM set of library ABIE components:
  e.g. "BSP-RDMComponents" or "SC-RDMComponents"
- distinct name for each extension collection

<RDM> For the snapshot variant additional structuring is allowed.

The JSON schema file name SHALL be built with the following format:
<originator>-<abbreviation>.json

with
- <originator> identifying the originator. For UNECE artefacts, it SHALL be UNECE.
- <abbreviation> identifying the RDM set of Library ABIE components. If a contextualised business name exists for a message structure, it SHALL be used instead. If a .json-File with this name already exists, the message model name SHALL be added, separated by another hyphen.

⁵ Aggregated Business Information Entity
[Examples]

```
"$id": "https://github.com/uncefact/spec-JSONschema/JSONschema2020-12/library/BuyShipPay/D22A/BasicComponents"
UNECE-BasicComponents.json

UNECE-CrossIndustryInvoice.json

UNECE-CrossIndustryInvoice-Variant.json
```

[R 11|1]

The BasicComponents JSON schema file SHALL contain all subschemas for primitive data types, unqualified data types as well as qualified data types.

3.3 General naming rules moving from CCTS to JSON

The dictionary entry names follow specific naming rules defined in the CCTS containing special characters like full stops and white spaces that are not allowed in JSON for naming entities.

The basic rules listed below apply when transferring CCTS names in JSON schema.

[R 12|1]

A property is a name/value pair inside a JSON object. The property name is the key or name part of the property. The property value is the value part of the property.

[Example]

```
{  
    "propertyName": "propertyValue"
}
```

[R 13|1]

JSON property names SHALL be derived from Dictionary Entry Names (DEN). In e.g. in a BBIE or ASBIE the DEN contains the DEN of the surrounding ABIE, it SHALL be removed. In case a BBIE or ASBIE contains consecutive identical words, the duplication SHALL be removed. If by applying the NDR rules words in the DEN are duplicated, the duplication SHALL be removed.

---

6 This example is just for illustrating the rule. It is very unlikely that this is applied in practice.
Any special characters such as full stops, non-breaking spaces (ASCII code 160) and underscores shall be removed from the underlying Dictionary Entry Name. If a digit (0-9) was before and another digit after the white space, the white space shall be replaced by a hyphen.

**Example**

"This. is_ a. class. name" is represented as "thisIsAClassName"

"ISO 4217 3 A" is represented as "ISO4217-3A"

**R 15**

JSON property names shall be lower camel-cased ASCII strings and JSON schema compliant: The character after a white space shall be a capital letter. Capital letters in the DEN shall not be kept.

**Example**

"Specified. IBAN. Identifier" is represented as "specifiedIbanId"

"AAA Archive_ Document. Specified. AAA Archive_ Archive Parameter" is represented as "specifiedAaaArchiveParameter"

**R 16**

The abbreviations and acronyms shall be used as defined in Table 4. **[R 15]** shall be taken into account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCTS Appearance</th>
<th>JSON Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Uniform Resource. Identifier&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Uri&quot; with &quot;type&quot;: &quot;string&quot; &quot;format&quot;: &quot;uri&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or &quot;URI Identification. Identifier&quot;</td>
<td>The rule for abbreviating &quot;Identifier&quot; is not applied in this case. It shall NOT be abbreviated as &quot;UriId&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Identification Scheme&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Scheme&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Details&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Type&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Identifier&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Id&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Indicator&quot;</td>
<td>SHALL be omitted. &quot;isOrHas&quot; is added as a prefix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Identification. Identifier&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Id&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Text&quot;</td>
<td>SHALL be omitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Specified_&quot;</td>
<td>SHALL be omitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;AAA &quot; at the beginning</td>
<td>SHALL be omitted, if the resulting name of the ABIE is unique, else it SHALL be kept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;TT_&quot; &quot;Transport_&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Supply Chain_&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;CI_&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Formatted_&quot;</td>
<td>SHALL be omitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTS Appearance</td>
<td>JSON Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Trade Party&quot; at the end</td>
<td>SHALL be omitted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – JSON Representation for abbreviations and acronyms

[R 17|1]
The Object Class Term "Identification Scheme" SHALL be represented as "Scheme". [R 15|1] SHALL be taken into account.

### 3.4 JSON schema landscape

![JSON Schema Landscape Diagram]

Figure 1 – JSON schema landscape
3.5 Data types

The CCTS defines a hierarchical relationship of basic data types. From primitive data types (PDT), Approved Core Component Types (CCT) and finally unqualified data types (UDT) are formed.  

3.5.1 Primitive Data Types

The decimal data type, which is used in particular to represent amounts (in a specific currency), as well as measured values, requires special treatment. JSON does not support such a decimal data type. It only supports the data type "number", which is technically implemented as a float or double precision data type. There are many discussions, but also practical experiences (e.g. based on the application of validation rules from the implementation of EN16931), which show the difficulties of using float data types instead of a decimal data type. In summary, it can be stated that the use of a float data type inevitably leads to rounding differences and imprecise representations of the transmitted values. Since the implementation of the UNECE reference data models involves the exchange of business data, precise transmission of values is the top priority. With this in mind, the decimal data type is represented as a string representation in JSON schema. This can be implemented cleanly and without loss in the various implementation languages, even if direct arithmetic use is not possible at JSON level.

Examples for the implementation of the decimal type are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C#</td>
<td>decimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go</td>
<td>decimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java</td>
<td>java.math.BigDecimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JavaScript</td>
<td>decimal.js</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Python</td>
<td>decimal.Decimal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 – Implementation of the decimal type in different languages

[ ]

Primitive data types (PDT) SHALL be represented in JSON schema, as stated in Table 6. They SHALL be placed under $defs/pdt/.

7 See CCTS Section 8.1

8 See e.g. https://github.com/zalando/jackson-datatype-money/blob/main/MONEY.md
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCTS Primitive data type</th>
<th>JSON Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Binary                   | Representation when used with OpenAPI 3.0.x:  
|                          |   "binaryType":  
|                          |     {  
|                          |       "title": "Binary",  
|                          |       "description": "",  
|                          |       "type": "string",  
|                          |       "format": "byte"  
|                          | }  
|                          | Representation when used with OpenAPI 3.1.x (full JSON Schema support, default publication variant):  
|                          |   "binaryType":  
|                          |     {  
|                          |       "title": "Binary",  
|                          |       "description": "",  
|                          |       "type": "string",  
|                          |       "contentEncoding": "base64"  
|                          | } |
| Boolean                  | "type": "boolean"   |
| Decimal                  | "decimalType":  
|                          |     {  
|                          |       "title": "Decimal",  
|                          |       "description": "",  
|                          |       "type": "string",  
|                          |       "pattern": "^[+-]?([0-9]|\.[0-9]*)([eE][+-]?[0-9]+)$"  
|                          | } |
| Integer                  | "type": "integer"   |
| String                   | "type": "string"    |

Table 6 – JSON representation of CCTS Primitive data types

### 3.5.2 Approved Core Component Types

The Approved Core Component Types have no direct representation in JSON schema. Instead, UDTs are mapped directly into JSON schema.

### 3.5.3 Unqualified Data Types

UDTs form the basis for all further data structures of the CCTS. They consist of the actual value (**content**), as well as usually optional supplementary components\(^9\). During contextualisation, some of these supplementary components are often omitted. This in fact multiplies the number of UDTs in the actual implementation and complicates it technically. For this reason, contextualisation of UDTs is not mapped into JSON schema. Instead, the complete UDTs in the higher data types are always used.

---

\(^9\) See CCTS section 8.1
Unqualified data types SHALL be represented in subschemas. "Type" as part of the Dictionary Entry Name SHALL be retained.

The CCTS content property SHALL be represented in a subschema with the name "content". Its data type SHALL use the underlying PDT. The content-property SHALL be required.

Property names of supplementary components SHALL NOT repeat the JSON subschemas property name.

Supplementary components may reference to code lists and/or identification schemes. In this case, the JSON property SHALL reference the appropriate code list or identification scheme as defined in section 3.5.5 Other Qualified Data Types.

Unqualified data types SHALL be represented in subschemas as shown in Table 7. The title and description properties are not shown in the following table. Instead, they are indicated with the placeholder <title and description> as those can change over time. They SHALL be published in alignment with rules [R 5|1], [R 6|1], and [R 7|1]. They SHALL be placed under $defs/udt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCTS Unqualified data type</th>
<th>JSON Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Amount. Type</td>
<td>&quot;amountType&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Amount. Content</td>
<td>&lt;/title and description&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Amount Currency.</td>
<td>&quot;type&quot;: &quot;object&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td>&quot;properties&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AmountCurrency. Code</td>
<td>&quot;content&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List Version. Identifier</td>
<td>&lt;/title and description&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;$ref&quot;: &quot;/$defs/pdt/decimalType&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>},</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;currencyId&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;/title and description&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;$ref&quot;: &quot;ISO_4217-3A.json#$defs/codeList/iso4217-3AType&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>},</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;currencyCodeListVersionId&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;/title and description&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;type&quot;: &quot;string&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;required&quot;: [ &quot;content&quot; ],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;unevaluatedProperties&quot;: false</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Binary Object. Type | "binaryObjectType": {
  "title and description":
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "content": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/defs/pdt/binaryType"
    },
    "format": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/binaryObjectFormat"
    },
    "mimeCode": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/binaryObjectMimeCode"
    },
    "encodingCode": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/binaryObjectEncodingCode"
    },
    "characterSetCode": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/binaryObjectCharacterSetCode"
    },
    "uri": {
      "title and description":
      "type": "string",
      "format": "uri"
    },
    "filename": {
      "title and description":
      "type": "string"
    }
  },
  "required": [ "content" ],
  "unevaluatedProperties": false
}
|
| Code. Type | "codeType": {
  "title and description":
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "content": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectContent"
    },
    "listId": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectListId"
    },
    "listAgencyId": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectListAgencyId"
    },
    "listAgencyName": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectListAgencyName"
    },
    "listName": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectListName"
    },
    "listVersion": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectListVersion"
    },
    "language": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectLanguage"
    },
    "id": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectId"
    },
    "identifier": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectIdentifier"
    },
    "name": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectName"
    },
    "version": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectVersion"
    },
    "uni": {
      "title and description":
      "$ref": "#/definitions/codeObjectUni"
    },
    "required": [ "content" ],
    "unevaluatedProperties": false
  }
}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code List Scheme.</th>
<th>Uniform Resource.</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Date Time. Type**

```
"dateTimeType": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string",
  "format": "date-time"
}
```

- **Date. Type**

```
"graphicType": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "$ref": "#/$defs/udt/binaryObjectType"
}
```

- **Graphic. Type**

```
"graphicType": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "$ref": "#/$defs/udt/binaryObjectType"
}
```

- **Identifier. Type**
- **Identifier. Content**
- **Identification Scheme. Identifier**
- **Identification Scheme. Name. Text**

```
"identifierType": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "content": {
      "title and description": "string"
    }
  }
```

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification Scheme</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency. Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name. Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version. Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data. Uniform Resource</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Resource.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
"schemeId": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string"
},
"schemeName": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string"
},
"schemeAgencyId": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "$ref": "UNECE_UNTDID-3055.json#$defs/codeList/untdid3055Type"
},
"schemeAgencyName": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string"
},
"schemeVersionId": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string"
},
"schemeDataUri": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string",
  "format": "uri"
},
"schemeUri": {
  "title and description": "string",
  "type": "string",
  "format": "uri"
}
]
"required": ["content"],
"unevaluatedProperties": false
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator. Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
"indicatorType": {
  "title and description": "boolean",
  "type": "boolean"
}
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure. Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure. Content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure Unit. Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure Unit. Code List Version. Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
"measureType": {
  "title and description": "object",
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "content": {
      "title and description": "decimalType",
      "$ref": "#/defs/pdt/decimalType"
    },
    "unitCode": {
      "title and description": "string",
      "$ref": "UNECE_UNTDID-6411.json#$defs/codeList/untdid6411Type"
    },
    "unitCodeListVersionId": {
      "title and description": "string",
      "type": "string"
    }
  }
},
"required": ["content"],
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name. Type</th>
<th>Text. Content</th>
<th>Language. Identifier</th>
<th>Language. Locale. Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name. Type</td>
<td>Text. Content</td>
<td>Language. Identifier</td>
<td>Language. Locale. Identifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
"unaevaluatedProperties": false
```
Rec 20 supports a combination with Rec 21 by adding a prefix to the Rec 21 code values. In the usage of this JSON subschema, the combined code list can be restricted as needed.

- **Rate. Type**
  ```json
  "rateType": {
    "title and description":
    "type": "string",
  }
  ```

- **Sound. Type**
  ```json
  "soundType": {
    "title and description":
    "$ref": "#/defs/udt/binaryObjectType"
  }
  ```

- **Text. Type**
  - **Text. Content**
  - **Language. Identifier**
  - **Language. Locale. Identifier**
  ```json
  "textType": {
    "title and description":
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "content": {
        "title and description":
        "type": "string"
      },
      "languageId": {
        "title and description":
        "$ref": "ISO_6391-1-2A.json#/defs/codeList/iso6391-1-2AType"
      },
      "languageLocaleId": {
        "title and description":
        "type": "string"
      }
    },
    "required": [ "content" ],
    "unevaluatedProperties": false
  }
  ```

- **Time. Type**
  ```json
  "timeType": {
    "title and description":
    "type": "string",
    "format": "time"
  }
  ```

- **Value. Type**
  ```json
  "valueType": {
    "title and description":
    "$ref": "#/defs/udt/numericType"
  }
  ```
3.5.4 Qualified Data Types for Date and Time

The CCTS supports the wide subset of the different date and time formats of ISO 8601. However, this flexibility is only needed and used to a limited extent in practical applications. Often, date, time and combined information can be reduced to their simple representation form, which is directly supported by JSON schema. There exist a few exceptions, so that in the CCTS some specialised QDTs have been defined. The modelling of these QDTs goes back to the early EDIFACT definitions and no longer seems up-to-date for application in OpenAPI using JSON schema. Nevertheless, this notation is still used in a wide community. Against this background, the following simplification of these QDTs is used:

[R 24|1]
The "Date Mandatory_ Date Time. Type" SHALL be replaced by the formattedDateTimeType.

[R 25|1]
The "Time Only_ Formatted_ Date Time. Type" SHALL be replaced by the formattedDateTimeType.

The implementation of the Formatted Date Time Type shall take into account the direct mappability of certain date and time information directly into JSON schema. To allow an intuitive implementation, the code list UNTDID 2379 is replaced by a JSON specific variant for this purpose.

[R 26|1]
The "Formatted_ Date Time. Type" SHALL be represented as follows.

```
"formattedDateTimeType": {
  "oneOf": [
    { "type": "string", "format": "date-time" },
    { "type": "string", "format": "time" },
    { "type": "string", "format": "date" },
    { "type": "string", "format": "duration" },
    { "type": "object",
      "properties": {
        "content": { "type": "string" },
        "format": { "$ref": "UNECE_UNTDID2379-JS0N.json#/defs/codeList/untdid2379JsonType" }
      },
      "required": ["content", "format"]
    }
  ]
}
```
|[Example]|

JSON schema definition:

```json
{  "properties": {    "myDateTime": { "$ref": "/#/defs/formattedDateTimeType"  }
  }
}
```

JSON instance:

```
Hint: The presence of "content" indicates that it is a UNECE specific format not directly supported by JSON schema.

{   "myDateTime": {"content": "2022-W02", "format": "CCYY-Www"},   "myDateTime": {"content": "1T10:00/1T12:00", "format": "NThh:mm/NThh:mm"},   "myDateTime": "2022-02-11",   "myDateTime": "2022-02-11T12:23:58Z",   "myDateTime": "12:23:58Z",   "myDateTime": "P10W"
}
```

[R 27|1]

Based on the code list "UNTDID 2379" an additional code list "UNTDID 2379 json" SHALL be specified. All format definitions that are already represented in their meaning by existing JSON date and time formats SHALL be omitted. This code list SHALL be maintained in accordance with UNTDID 2379. All other formats SHALL be represented as follows.

```
"undid2379JsonType": {   "title": "Date and Time format codes for JSON representation.",   "description": "This code list is based on UNTDID 2379. It is adjusted to take JSON date and time representation into account."
# The following abbreviations are used
* 'C' – Century
* 'Y' – Year
* 'M' – Month
* 'D' – Day
* 'h' – Hour
* 'm' – Minute
* 's' – Second
* 'w' – Week
* 'T' – Time zone offset separator (+/-/Z)

* 'A' – 10 day period within a month of a year
* 'B' – 1: First half month; 2: second half month
* 'E' – Week of a month
* 'G' – Working days
* 'H' – Half month
* 'I' – 1-9: Shift in a day
* 'K' – 1-5: First to fifth week in a month
* 'M' – Trimester: A period of three months
* 'N' – 1-7: Numeric representation of the day (Monday = 1, Sunday = 7)
* 'P' – A period of 4 months
* 'Q' – Quarter
* 'RR' – 00-99: Time period
* 'S' – Semester
```

```
Hyphens and additional character in a format string are kept. According to ISO 8601 a slash is used to separate time spans.

# Codes from UNTDID 2379 and their representation in JSON

* '2' – is represented as 'date' format
* '3' – is represented as 'date' format
* '4' – is represented as 'date' format
* '5' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '6' – is represented as 'CCYY-MM-B'
* '7' – is represented as 'CCYY-MM-K'
* '8' – is represented as 'CCYY-MM-DD-I'
* '9' – is represented as 'CCYY-MM-DD-RR'
* '10' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '101' – is represented as 'date' format
* '102' – is represented as 'date' format
* '103' – is represented as 'YY-Www-N'; 01 is first week of January; 1 is always Monday
* '104' – is represented as 'MM-WEE/MM-WEE'
* '105' – is represented as 'YY-DDD'; January the first = Day 001; Always start numbering the days of the year from January 1st through December 31st
* '106' – is represented as '---MM-DD'
* '107' – is represented as 'DDD'
* '108' – is represented as 'WW'
* '109' – is represented as '---MM-'
* '110' – is represented as '---DD'
* '201' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '202' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '203' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '204' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '205' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '206' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '207' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '208' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '209' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '210' – is represented as 'hh:mm:ssZhh:mm/hh:mm:ssZhh:mm'
* '301' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '302' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '303' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '304' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '305' – is represented as '---MM-DDThh:mm' format
* '306' – is represented as '---DDThh:mm' format
* '307' – is represented as 'date-time' format
* '308' – is represented as 'CCYY-MM-DDThh:mm:mmZhh:mm:mm/CCYY-MM-DDThh:mm:mmZhh:mm:mm'
* '401' – is represented as 'time' format
* '402' – is represented as 'time' format
* '404' – is represented as 'time' format
* '405' – is represented as 'duration' format
* '406' – is represented as 'Zhh:mm'
* '501' – is represented as 'hh:mm/hh:mm'
* '502' – is represented as 'hh:mm:ss/hh:mm:ss'
* '503' – is represented as 'hh:mm:ssZhh:mm:ssZhh:mm:ssZhh:mm:ss'
* '600' – is represented as 'CC'
* '601' – is represented as 'YY'
* '602' – is represented as 'CCYY'
* '603' – is represented as 'YY-S'
* '604' – is represented as 'CCYY-S'
* '608' – is represented as 'CCYY-Q'
* '609' – is represented as 'YY-MM'
* '610' – is represented as 'CCYY-MM'
* '613' – is represented as 'YY-MM-A'
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Represented as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>614</code></td>
<td>'YY-MM-A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>615</code></td>
<td>'YY-Www'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>616</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-Www'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>701</code></td>
<td>'YY/YY'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>702</code></td>
<td>'CCYY/CCYY'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>703</code></td>
<td>'YY-S/YY-S'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>704</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-S/CCYY-S'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>705</code></td>
<td>'YY-P/YY-P'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>706</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-P/CCYYP-P'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>707</code></td>
<td>'YY-Q/YY-Q'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>708</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-Q/CCYY-Q'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>709</code></td>
<td>'YY-MM/YY-MM'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>710</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-MM/CCYY-MM'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>713</code></td>
<td>'YY-MM-DDTh:mm/YY-MM-DDTh:mm'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>715</code></td>
<td>'YY-Www/YY-Www'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>716</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-Www/CCYY-Www'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>717</code></td>
<td>'YY-MM-DD/YY-MM-DD'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>718</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-MM-DD/CCYY-MM-DD'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>719</code></td>
<td>'CCYY-MM-DDTh:mm/CCYY-MM-DDTh:mm'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>720</code></td>
<td>'NThh:mm/NThh:mm'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>801</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>802</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>803</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>804</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>805</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>806</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>807</code></td>
<td>'duration' format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>808</code></td>
<td>'S'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>809</code></td>
<td>'P'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>810</code></td>
<td>'M'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>811</code></td>
<td>'H'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>812</code></td>
<td>'A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>813</code></td>
<td>'N'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>814</code></td>
<td>'G'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
"oneOf": [  
  { "const": "CCYY-MM-B" },  
  { "const": "CCYY-MM-K" },  
  { "const": "CCYY-MM-DD-I" },  
  { "const": "CCYY-MM-DD-RR" },  
  { "const": "YY-Www-N" },  
  { "const": "MMWEE/MMWEE" },  
  { "const": "YY-DDD" },  
  { "const": "--MD--" },  
  { "const": "--WW--" },  
  { "const": "--MM--" },  
  { "const": "---DD---" },  
  { "const": "hh:mm:ssZhh:mm/hh:mm:ssZhh:mm" },  
  { "const": "--MM-DDTh:mm" },  
  { "const": "--DDTh:mm" },  
  { "const": "CCYY-MM-DDTh:mmZhh:mm/CCYY-MM-DDTh:mmZhh:mm" },  
  { "const": "Zhh:mm" },  
  { "const": "hh:mm/hhmm" },  
  { "const": "hh:mm:ssZhh:mm:ss" },  
  { "const": "hh:mm:ssZhh:mm/hh:mm:ssZhh:mm" },  
  { "const": "CC" },  
  { "const": "YY" },  
  { "const": "CCYY" },  
  { "const": "CCYY-S" },  
  { "const": "CCYY-Q" }
```
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3.5.5 Other Qualified Data Types

In the CCTS code and identifier lists are specified as qualified data types (QDT). They base on the UDT codeType or idType The UDT codeType and as before described idType offers the ability to state code list or identification scheme specific properties like the publishing agency or the used code list version or schema version.

Not in every code list and identification scheme or qualified data type, all of these properties are applicable, which is taken into account.

[R 28|1]

Each QDT that does not fall under section 3.5.4 SHALL be restricted according to its definition applying the method described in section 3.6.1.

[Example]

```json
"unitMeasureType": {
  "title": "Unit Measure. Type",
  "description": "A numeric value determined by measuring an object along with the specified unit of measure."
  "$ref": "#/defs/udt/measureType",
  "required": ["unitCode"],
  "properties": {
    "unitCodeListVersionId": false
  }
}
```
Each QDT SHALL be represented in a subschema. If code or id values are specified locally, they SHALL be as a oneOf combination of const definitions. They SHALL NOT be specified as enum arrays. Each code value SHALL be represented as a string type. If the values of codes and ids are organised in code and identification schemes the corresponding JSON schema SHALL refer to the appropriate code list or identification scheme.

Each code list and identification scheme SHALL be specified in a separate JSON schema file.
A JSON schema file SHALL be created for each code list and identification scheme being used. Its name SHALL represent the name of the code list or identification scheme and SHALL be unique with the following form:

<Code List Agency Name>_<Code List Name or Identifier>.json

<Identification Scheme Agency Name>_<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>.json

Where:
- All special characters SHALL be removed from the name. A period . in the version number is replaced by the letter p.
- <Code List Agency Name> – Agency that maintains the code list.
- <Identification Scheme Agency Name> – Agency that maintains the identification scheme.
- <Code List Name or Identifier> – If a code list identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format UNTDID<identifier>. Else, the code list name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency.
- <Identification Scheme Name or Identifier> – If an identification scheme identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format UNTDID<identifier>. Else, the identification scheme name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency.

The file SHALL be placed in a subfolder codelists of the export path. The $id property SHALL reflect this subfolder structure.

Example
UNECE_UNTDID-1001.json
OpenPEPPOL_DocumentTypes.json

It is a clear goal to keep the JSON schema artefacts as compatible with code lists and identification schemes as possible. For this reason the code list version and identification scheme version is neither part of the .json filename nor part of the type name. Nevertheless, it is part of the $id, so that JSON schema files can be used for differentiating versions if needed. If for some reason more than one version of a code list or identification scheme needs to be used in a specific scenario, the <Code List Version> or <Identification Scheme Version> SHOULD be added to the file name in the following format:
Since the invention of JSON, there has been repeated discussion about whether JSON should support comments in schema files. In terms of its basic concept, JSON is data-only and it was deliberately decided not to support comments. Nevertheless, as versioning progressed, annotations such as description and $comment were introduced. The latter is supposed to be ignored by parsers and should not be used to present information to schema users. Instead $comment is only intended to contain information for future schema developers e.g. to highlight schema maintenance information\(^{10}\). A much-discussed topic for years is the commenting of enums.

JSON Schema does not support comments in the .JSON file analogous to the double slash in languages like C or the hashtag as in PHP. Some JSON editors support such comments proprietarily. However, usually only one of the two variants, which often correspond to the conventions of one's own programming language. Since there is consequently no universal convention, the UNECE JSON Schema code and identifier lists dispense with such proprietary comments.

This NDR technical specification is created with the goal of applicability of the JSON schema artefacts for use in OpenAPI specifications. This means that for the implementer of such a specification, the documentation of the individual code or identifier values is important.

Starting with OpenAPI 3.1 the preferred representation of code lists is an oneOf combination of const definitions. This allows code names and definitions to be added directly to the definition of each individual code. In addition, further amendments like adding validity periods for individual code values become possible.

\(^{10}\) See https://json-schema.org/understanding-json-schema/reference/generic.html#comments
The `title` property of the subschema specifying the `const` definitions holding the values of a code or identifier list SHOULD be the code name value in English language. The `description` property of the subschema specifying the `const` definitions holding the values of a code or identifier list SHOULD be the code definition value in English language.

The following rule defines the representation of code and identifier lists as files.

Code lists SHALL be represented in a subschema of the corresponding schema file with the following naming convention:

`$defs/codeList/<Code List Name or Identifier>Type`

with `<Code List Name or Identifier>` – If a code list identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format untdid<identifier>. Else, the code list name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency with special characters removed.

The following example shows a complete code list JSON schema file content.
Identification schemes SHALL be represented in a subschema of the corresponding schema file with the following naming convention:

$defs/identificationScheme/<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>Type

with <Identification Scheme Name or Identifier> – If an identification scheme identifier exists in the UNTIDID, the identifier is given in the format untdid<identifier>. Else, the code or identification scheme name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency with special characters removed.

The following example shows a complete identification scheme JSON schema file content.

```json
{
    "$schema": "https://json-schema.org/draft/2020-12/schema",
    "title": "Language identifier",
    "description": "<<copyright notice information>>",
    "$defs": {
        "identificationScheme": {
            "iso639-1-2AType": {
                "title": "Language identifier",
                "oneOf": [
                    {
                        "const": "AR",
                        "title": "ARABIC"
                    },
                    {
                        "const": "AS",
                        "title": "ASSAMESE"
                    },
                    {
                        "const": "AV",
                        "title": "AVARIC"
                    },
                    {
                        "const": "AY",
                        "title": "AYMARA"
                    },
                    {
                        "const": "AZ",
                        "title": "AZERBAIJANI"
                    }
                ]
            }
        }
    }
}
```
### 3.6 Restriction and Extension

#### 3.6.1 Restriction

The CCTS defines methods of restriction to create e.g. industry specific profiles of the CCL. One output of this process are the Reference Data Models (RDMs) being published like the Supply-Chain-Reference-Data Model (SCRDM) or the Multi-Modal-Transport-Reference-Data-Model (MMT-RDM). For data transmission via messages, the method of restriction is also used to restrict cardinalities and values of code or identifier list (p.s. qualified data types are being created in case of restricting values of code or identifier list). A significant part of the standardisation activity of UN/CEFACT has been dealing with this very issue for many years.

As defined in rule [R 10|1] for each individual layer of data models a separate JSON schema file is published.

#### [R 36|1]

**Restrictions to CCTS objects SHALL be represented in a subschema as follows:**

**Cardinalities**

- From 0..1 to 1..1

  [Example]
  
  ```json
  "toBeRestrictedType": {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "id": { "type": "string" }
    }
  },
  "restrictingType": {
    "$ref": "#/defs/toBeRestrictedType",
    "required": ["id"]
  }
  ```

- From 0..1 to 0..0 (forbidden)

  [Example]
  
  ```json
  "toBeRestrictedType": {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "id": { "type": "string" },
      "name": { "type": "string" }
    }
  }
  ```
• From 0..unbounded to 0..n with n < unbounded

[Example with n=2]
"toBeRestrictedType": {
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "id": {
      "type": "array",
      "items": { "type": "string" }
    }
  }
},
"restrictingType": {
  "$ref": "#/\$defs/toBeRestrictedType",
  "properties": {
    "id": { "maxItems": 2 }
  }
}

• From 0..unbounded to n..unbounded

[Example with n=2]
"toBeRestrictedType": {
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "id": {
      "type": "array",
      "items": { "type": "string" }
    }
  }
},
"restrictingType": {
  "$ref": "#/\$defs/toBeRestrictedType",
  "properties": {
    "id": { "minItems": 2 }
  }
}

Restriction of value ranges

[Example restricting content to values with exact 2 fraction digits]
"restrictingType": {
  "allOf": [
    { "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#/\$defs/udt/amountType" },
    { "properties": {
      "content": { "pattern": "^.*\..{2}$" }
    }
  ]
}

Restriction of const

[Example restricting content to a code list subset]
"addressType": {
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "countryId": { "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#/\$defs/qdt/countryIdType" }
  }
}
The same type of restriction can be applied if restrictions are defined on a lower level.

```json
{  
  "$defs": {  
    "restriction": {  
      "allOf": [  
        { "$ref": "#/defs/addressType" },  
        { "properties": {  
          "countryId": { "const": ["CH", "DE", "FR"] }  
        }  
      ]  
    }  
  }  
}
```

[Example]

```json
{  
  "$defs": {  
    "restriction": {  
      "allOf": [  
        { "$ref": "#/defs/levelOne" },  
        { "properties": {  
          "oneFirst": {  
            "properties": {  
              "twoFirst": false  
            }  
          }  
        }  
      ]  
    }  
  },  
  "levelOne": {  
    "type": "object",  
    "properties": {  
      "oneFirst": {  
        "$ref": "#/defs/levelTwo"  
      },  
      "oneSecond": {  
        "type": "string"  
      }  
    }  
  },  
  "levelTwo": {  
    "type": "object",  
    "properties": {  
      "twoFirst": {  
        "type": "string"  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```
3.6.2 Extension

The CCTS does not support extensions. Therefore, no NDR rules analogous to the Restrictions chapter can be set up for the CCTS that extend cardinalities, value ranges or `enum`. Should an implementation nevertheless require such an extension, the result is no longer compliant with the artefacts according to this technical specification. Technically, this can be achieved by combining a schema with `anyOf`.

However, especially when implementing OpenAPI specifications, extensions to the properties are needed. For example, to add metadata to the API endpoints.

```
"twoSecond": {
  "type": "string"
}
```

### Figure 2: Example for second level restrictions

The `extensibleType` allows users to add their own JSON properties to the JSON subschemas. The only rule they have to follow is that they must start with `x-`. This makes it compliant to the extension method defined in the OpenAPI specification. An example can be found in the next section in rule [R 42|1].

3.6.3 Publication and reusing contextualization

The CCL is undergoing a continuous development. This way it contains definitions that are not used any more in newer versions. In order to prevent confusion with published data types that are not used any more the RDM level is the lowest export level for any UN/CEFACT publication.

```
[R 38|1]
The base of all JSON schema exports SHALL be the RDM level. This means that each underlying CCL basic data type SHALL be profiled and contextualised according to the RDM definition. Only data types that are used in an RDM SHALL be exported.
```

```
[R 37|1]
The BasicComponents SHALL define a JSON subschema for extension as follows:
"$defs": {
  "extensibleType": {
    "patternProperties": { "^x-": true}
  }
}
```

The base of all JSON schema exports SHALL be the RDM level. This means that each underlying CCL basic data type SHALL be profiled and contextualised according to the RDM definition. Only data types that are used in an RDM SHALL be exported.
If the rules defined in this section are applied to the entire CCL, the resulting JSON artefacts can become complex and very large. This approach creates a high level of traceability of the restrictions and ensures a consistent (re-)use of the individual data types.

In a practical application of an API, however, these libraries can be unnecessarily large. Especially if only a subset of the CCL is used.

Therefore, it can be useful to export "snapshots" of the required (sub-) structures as JSON artefacts. The procedure here corresponds to the XML design principle "Venetian blind": Only one JSON schema file is created, which contains all the required data types for the use case. All properties that are not required are not even exported. Restrictions are kept to a minimum. Compliance with the CCL is mandatory.

A user community may decide to create "snapshot" JSON schema artefacts for a specific subset of the CCL. A "snapshot" JSON schema artefact SHALL contain all relevant data types that are needed to define the subset. The "snapshot" JSON schema artefact MAY contain additional restrictions and extensions.

Together with the "snapshot" export, there exist three possible ways of creating JSON schema artefacts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Export variant</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library export</td>
<td>The library export creates one JSON schema file for each level of contextualisation as they are defined by the UN/CEFACT standards. It creates one large CCL JSON schema representation as a foundation. On top of it, it creates one JSON schema file contextualising and restricting the CCL to the defined RDMs and document-centric structures. Each level may already use restricted data types that are restricted exactly at that level. This needs to be considered when creating this type of export.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pro**
The complete CCL, all RDMs as well as all (document-centric) message structure definitions are exported as defined by UN/CEFACT standards. A maximum of re-usable data structures and definitions are created. It assures by design that any implementation is consistent and ready for any process-amendment.

**Contra**
Any implementation needs to handle the huge CCL library as a base import as well as the multi-layer-restrictions as they are defined by UN/CEFACT standards. For example, the eCMR message is defined as a contextualisation of a master message structure for all document-centric messages defined by UN/CEFACT. The contained data structure is process specific contextualisation of a multi modal transport reference data model. The MMT-RDM is a transport specific contextualisation of the Buy-Ship-
| **Pay reference data model. Moreover, this again is a contextualisation of the underlying CCL.**  

Thus, an implementation could get rather complex while at the same time achieving a maximum compliance level. |

| **Subset export**  
The subset export follows the same principles as the library export with one major difference: Only the needed data structures of the selected subset are exported. All other data structures are omitted. This way the file size and content is reduced to a minimum set of information, while at the same time keeping all relations available. It is important that all levels of restrictions be taken into account. Only the result of applying all levels of restrictions in hierarchical order is represented in the resulting technical artefact. |

| **Pro**  
In addition to the arguments defined in the library export, the subset export is easier to handle in respect of file size and quantity of data objects.  

| **Contra**  
The complexity of layers of contextualisation is still the same as with the library export. Amendments of the subset lead to changes in the underlying objects. Only those data objects are exported that are needed for a specific subset. When the scope of the subset is widened in a future version, it may need additional objects in the underlying data structures. This means that implementations of the subset need to be updated at all players at the same time. |

| **Snapshot export**  
Content wise the snapshot export is equal to the subset export. The main difference is that the multi-layer-contextualisation over a set of several JSON schema files is removed. Only one single JSON schema file is created that contains all necessary data structures of the snapshot objects. It is comparable with the XML "Ventian Blind" approach. Underlying data objects are still defined (like a party data type). However, they only contain schema objects being used in the snapshot selection. |

| **Pro**  
The complexity for the given snapshot is reduced to a minimum. Only one single self-contained JSON schema file is created. The JSON schema file can easily be used by all common JSON tools as well as OpenAPI design tools. The exported data structures are compliant to the UN/CEFACT standards and reflect "the compilation" of all restrictions and contextualisation.  

| **Contra**  
One self-contained JSON schema file is created for each individual snapshot. If this approach is used in a pre-defined environment, it works quite well. Thus, it is important to clearly define the snapshot content in advance. Things start to get complicated if in one implementation more than one self-contained JSON schema files are used. Let us assume that for example one self-contained JSON schema file is created for each document-centric |
message (as it is done with XML schema files). Each of those JSON schema files defined the underlying data types (e.g. party). In an OpenAPI specification, it is not so easy to combine those multiple schema files into one single OpenAPI file as it may come to conflicts between the underlying data types. The reason is that the same data type with the same name may have a diverging contextualisation between the different JSON schema files.

Table 8: Export variants

[R 40|1]
A UNECE publication SHALL provide a library export on a server being able to handle the necessary requirements for a global community accessing the published artefacts. In addition, UNECE SHOULD provide an additional snapshot export for each contextualised document ABIE.

[Note]
As the $id property of a JSON schema must represent a valid URL aspects of scalability of the provided service have to be taken into account. One option could be to provide the publication in a GIT-compliant repository.

3.7 ABIE and BBIE representation in JSON Schema

3.7.1 General handling of ABIEs and BBIEs

[R 41|1]
Each ABIE SHALL be represented in a JSON subschema. ABIEs that are marked as deprecated from a former version SHALL NOT be represented in a JSON subschema.

[Note]
For example an ABIE is defined to be deprecated starting in version D20B. When the JSON schema artefacts for version D21A are exported, the ABIE SHALL NOT be represented in this export.

[R 42|1]
All ABIE representations in JSON subschemas SHALL include a reference to the extensibleType.

[Example]
"abieType": {
    "title": "The Dictionary Entry Name",
    "description": "The description",
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
        "p1": { "type": "string" }
    }
}
Extension property names SHOULD follow the same naming conventions as defined in this technical specification.

3.7.2 ASBIE representation in JSON Schema supporting document based and resource-based information

The CCTS was invented for the purpose of standardising and modelling classic EDI messages. Even today, document-based data exchange is still predominant, especially in the B2B and B2A environment.

As described at the beginning of this technical specification, REST APIs are characterised by the fact that they are not based on the exchange of business documents, but on the management of resources. This means that, for example, business partner information can be managed separately from transaction data such as an invoice or a transport order. In CCTS, these are all the places where ABIEs are associated with each other in the form of ASBIEs.

With the aim of supporting REST APIs via the JSON schema artefacts, it is precisely at this point that the option of switching from document-centred to resource-centred data exchange must be supported.

Resource-based data management means that resources must have unique identifiers. Therefore, only those ABIEs can be converted to resources that have a unique identifier. Using this unique identifier represented as an URI, the information about a buyer in an order can be retrieved following the URI to the party information of the buyer.

The BasicComponents SHALL define a JSON subschema for resource based data exchange as follows:
All ASBIEs whose ABIEs contain an identifier SHALL be modelled using an `oneOf` choice between the `resourceType` and the associated ABIE.

All other ASBIEs SHALL be referenced directly.

In both cases, the defined cardinality SHALL be observed.

To stay focused, title, description etc. are not shown in the following example.

**[Example]**

```json
"$defs": {
  "invoiceType": {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "buyer": {
        "oneOf": [
          { "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#$defs/resourceType" },
          { "$ref": "#/$defs/partyType" }
        ]
      }
    },
    "required": [ "buyer" ],
    "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#$defs/extensibleType",
    "unevaluatedProperties": false
  },
  "partyType": {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "id": {
        "type": "array",
        "items": {
          "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#$defs/udt/identifierType"
        }
      },
      "name": { "type": "string" },
      "postalTradeAddress": { "$ref": "#/$defs/addressType" }
    },
    "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#$defs/extensibleType",
    "unevaluatedProperties": false
  },
  "addressType": {
    "type": "object",
    "properties": {
      "street": { "type": "string" },
      "city": { "type": "string" },
      "postalCode": { "type": "string" },
      "countryCode": { "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#$defs/qdt/countryIdType" }
    },
    "$ref": "UNECE-BasicComponents.json#$defs/extensibleType",
    "unevaluatedProperties": false
  }
}
```
3.8 Fostering implementation

3.8.1 Compatibility with JSON schema draft before version 2020-12

As stated earlier in the document, version 2020-12 of the JSON schema Draft is used in this NDR.

Nevertheless, many previous JSON schema Draft versions are still in use in practice and tool support for the current version is not yet very high. In some places, the previous version and the current version are not compatible. This means that tools that do not yet support the latest version could very likely have difficulties in use. However, this NDR must be independent of the capability of certain tools. Furthermore, it is not intended to be based on an old version that has already been revised due to practical requirements.

The following rules describe how to achieve higher compatibility for such tools. Since these rules limit the possibilities of the generated JSON schema, these rules should only be applied transitionally, at most until the publication of CCTS library version D25A.

[R 46|2][46]

This rule can be applied transitionally up to and including the publication of library version D25A. Thereafter, modelling according to this rule is no longer conform to this NDR. It shall be applied to the following rules:

[R 29|1][29]:

A list of coded values or identifiers SHALL be modelled using `enum`, and Not as `const`. The description of each coded value or identifier SHALL be put in the `description` of the corresponding type as well as a comment after each `enum` value as shown in the following example:

[Example]

```json
{
    "$schema": "https://json-schema.org/draft/2020-12/schema",
    "$id": "https://service.unece.org/trade/uncefact/json-schema/D22A/UNECE_UNTDID-3131",
    "title": "Address type code",
    "description": "<<copyright notice information>>",
    "$defs": {
        "codeList": {
            "untdid3131Type": {
                "title": "Address type code",
                "description": "Applicable codes:
* '1' – Postal Address
* '2' – Fiscal Address
* '3' – Physical Address
* '4' – Business Address
* '5' – Delivery To Address
* '6' – Residential Address
* '7' – Mail To Address
* '8' – Postbox Address",
                "enum": [
                    "1", # Postal Address
                    "2", # Fiscal Address
                    "3", # Physical Address
                    "4", # Business Address
                ]
            }
        }
    }
}
```
3.8.2 Hints for tool developers and designers when specifying real-life guidelines

Even if it were technically possible, data exchange standards are usually not implemented to the full extent. Instead, subsets tailored to the exact problem, e.g. the reference data models, are defined and implemented.

The aim of using standards is always to create interoperability between the systems, processes and organisations involved. Different levels of interoperability can be distinguished, but these will not be discussed in detail in the course of this document. One possible definition can be found, for example, in the European standard EN16931 for electronic invoices to public sector customers.
From an organisational and process perspective, the most important and at the same time the most minimal goal is to achieve semantic interoperability. Without this, an automated, cross-organisational exchange of information is not possible.

If (only) semantic interoperability is taken as a maxim, the frequently different syntax representations in (fixed) technical data structures often lead to a high mapping effort. A good example of this are the many industry and company profiles of one and the same EDIFACT message that exist in practice today.

In order to solve this problem, two different approaches are mainly pursued in practice:

1. The definition of reference data models and reference message structures.
2. The definition of semantic vocabularies based on JSON-LD.

The first approach is followed by these JSON Schema NDR. It is more conservative because it can directly satisfy the many requirements that exist today from the exchange of business documents. Nevertheless, the modelling also enables use on the basis of resources: the information components in the data models can be declared as resources and thus used in modern technologies such as REST APIs while maintaining the harmonisation work carried out over decades.

The second approach includes the idea that (any) technical formats can link to the semantic definitions and thus technical interoperability can be achieved "on-the-fly". Depending on the application scenario, this can be implemented well in practice and offers corresponding advantages. In particular, since the implementer can use generalisation and inheritance to model the information components according to his own requirements and ensure semantic interoperability by means of a link to the vocabulary. This approach is all the more relevant the more organisations or data providers are involved in the respective process. The implementation of a booking portal or tracking and tracing applications are good examples where this approach shows its strengths.

However, this approach poses new challenges for the implementer if, for example, he wants to map the exchange of legally required business documents in a legally compliant manner in this way. The first approach is also preferable if there are data forwarders in the processes, i.e. the actual information is not exchanged synchronously between two participants. If information is routed via several intermediate stations (e.g. a solution provider network), meta information is needed for this purpose, which requires a minimum hierarchical message structure. (e.g. envelope with the routing information and the actual exchange information contained therein).

In summary, the implementation effort should correspond to the implementation requirements. During implementation, the scope of information for data exchange in the
respective process is defined. It is also determined whether an ABIE or BBIE from an ASBIE should continue to be transmitted as a hierarchical structure or should be considered as an independent resource. Information on a business partner is given as an example. In typical business documents, this is transmitted in detail (hierarchically): for example, the name and full address of a buyer and seller. In a resource-based approach, the resource "party" would be managed independently and the corresponding places in the business document would only refer to it; similar to a customer number, location number or tax number.

The rules defined in this NDR allow the implementer or designer to decide flexibly at which point which form of modelling should be used. Thus, the JSON schema files according to these specifications represent templates for the implementation. With the help of appropriate tools, these JSON schema templates can be simplified during implementation: For the ASBIE, it is decided in each case whether the hierarchical structure or the resource-based structure should be retained.

The resulting contextualised JSON schemas are thus much smaller and easier to implement. Nevertheless, they are conform to the JSON schemas published according to this specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[R 48][2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**JSON schemas used in implementation MAY be contextualised subsets of the published JSON schema artefacts.** This explicitly means that at the ASBIE level decisions have been made if a hierarchical or resource based approach is used. Consequently, the `OneOf` choice between those two options may be optimised so that only the remaining reference is used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example: Original</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
"provider": {
  "title": "Document_ Authentication. Provider. Trade_ Party",
  "description": "The trade party providing this document authentication.",
  "oneOf": [
    { "$ref": "#/defs/tradePartyType" },
    { "$ref": "#/defs/resourceType" }
  ]
}
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example: Contextualisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
"provider": {
  "title": "Document_ Authentication. Provider. Trade_ Party",
  "description": "The trade party providing this document authentication.",
  "$ref": "#/defs/tradePartyType"
}
```
3.8.3 Referencing the Github Repository in an OpenAPI specification


Werden die JSON Schema Artefakte oder OpenAPI Templates direct aus einem github – Repository verlinkt, ist dabei zu beachten, dass die Raw-Variante der Quelle verwendet wird. Dadurch ändert sich auch der Link zu der entsprechenden Ressource.

[Example]

For example, if a file is located in the following repository:


its raw variant is to be referenced via the following link:

4 Appendix A: Examples

Printed JSON schema files of a realistic example can be very large, especially because of the code lists used. Therefore, we have not included an example here.

However, examples can be found on the web at the following address:

https://github.com/uncefact/spec-JSONschema/examples
### 5 Appendix B: Naming and Design Rules List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule #</th>
<th>Rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[R 1</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 2</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 3</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 4</td>
<td>2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 5</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 6</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 7</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 8</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R 9</td>
<td>1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| [R 10|1] | Each JSON schema being published by user groups or standardisation organisations SHALL contain an identifier for the schema in the appropriate $id URI property. JSON schema exports that are only used in a closed environment (e.g. for testing) do NOT NEED to contain the $id property. The URI SHALL follow the following format: "$id": "<basepath>/<variant>/<domain>/<version>{/<RDM>}/<BIE>" with <basepath> identifying the originator. For UNECE artefacts that is "https://github.com/unecefact/spec-JSONschema" <version> in the UNECE publication format e.g. "D22A" <variant> representing the JSON schema draft version and the export variant. e.g. "JSONschema2020-12/library" <domain> like "BuyShipPay" <BIE> with one  
- distinct name for each document ABIE without a file extension |
- name for all BBIE components: "BasicComponents"
- distinct name for every RDM set of Library ABIE components
- distinct name for each extension collection
  <RDM> For the snapshot variant additional structuring is allowed.

The JSON schema file name SHALL be build with the following format:
<originator>--<abbreviation>.json
with
<originator> identifying the originator. For UNECE artefacts,
   it SHALL be UNECE.
<abbreviation> identifying the RDM set of Library ABIE components

If a contextualised business name exists for a message structure, it SHALL be used
   instead. If a .json-File with this name already exists, the message model name
   SHALL be added, separated by another hyphen.

[R 11] The BasicComponents JSON schema file SHALL contain all subschemas for
   primitive data types, unqualified data types as well as qualified data types.

[R 12] A property is a name/value pair inside a JSON object. The property name is the key
   or name part of the property. The property value is the value part of the property.

[R 13] JSON property names SHALL be derived from Dictionary Entry Names (DEN).
   In e.g. in a BBIE the DEN contains the DEN of the surrounding ABIE, it SHALL be
   removed. If by applying the NDR rules words in the DEN are duplicated, the
   duplication SHALL be removed.

[R 14] Any special characters such full stops . and underscores _ SHALL be removed
   from the underlying Dictionary Entry Name. If a digit (0-9) was before and another
digit after the white space, the white space SHALL be replaced by a hyphen -.

[R 15] JSON property names SHALL be lower camel-cased ASCII strings and JSON
   schema compliant: The character after a white space shall be a capital letter. Capital
   letters in the DEN SHALL NOT be kept.

[R 16] The abbreviations and acronyms SHALL be used as defined in Table 4.
   [R 15] SHALL be taken into account.

[R 17] The Object Class Term "Identification Scheme" SHALL be represented as
   "Scheme". [R 15] SHALL be taken into account.

[R 18] Primitive data types (PDT) SHALL be represented in JSON schema, as stated in
   Table 6. They SHALL be placed under $defs/pdt/.

[R 19] Unqualified data types SHALL be represented in subschemas. "Type" as part of the
   Dictionary Entry Name SHALL be retained.

[R 20] The CCTS content property SHALL be represented in a subschema with the name
   "content". Its data type SHALL use the underlying PDT. The content-property
   SHALL be required.

[R 21] Property names of supplementary components SHALL NOT repeat the JSON
   subschemas property name.

[R 22] Supplementary components may reference to code lists and/or identification
   schemes. In this case, the JSON property SHALL reference the appropriate code list
   or identification scheme as defined in section 3.5.5 Other Qualified Data Types.

[R 23] Unqualified data types SHALL be represented in subschemas as shown in Table 7.
   The title and description properties are not shown in the following table.
   Instead, they are indicated with the placeholder <title and description> as
   those can change over time. They SHALL be published in alignment with rules [R
   5], [R 6], and [R 7].
   They SHALL be placed under $defs/udt.

[R 24] The "Date Mandatory_ Date Time. Type" SHALL be replaced by the
   formattedDateTimeType.
The "Time Only_ Formatted_ Date Time. Type" SHALL be replaced by the \texttt{formattedDateTimeType}.

The "Formatted_ Date Time. Type" SHALL be represented as follows.

```json
"formattedDateTimeType": {
    "oneOf": [
        { "type": "string", "format": "date-time" },
        { "type": "string", "format": "time" },
        { "type": "string", "format": "date" },
        { "type": "string", "format": "duration" },
        { "type": "object",
          "properties": {
            "content": { "type": "string" },
            "format": { "$ref": "UNECE_UNTDID2379-JSON.json#/\$defs/codeList/untdid2379JsonType" }
          },
          "required": ["content", "format"]
        }
    ]
}
```

Based on the code list "UNTDID 2379" an additional code list "UNTDID 2379 json" SHALL be specified. All format definitions that are already represented in their meaning by existing JSON date and time formats SHALL be omitted. This code list SHALL be maintained in accordance with UNTDID 2379. See R27 for details.

Each QDT that does not fall under section 3.5.4 SHALL be restricted according to its definition applying the method described in section 3.6.1.

Each QDT SHALL be represented in a subschema. If code or id values are specified locally, they SHALL be as an oneOf combination of const definitions. They SHALL NOT be specified as enum arrays. Each code value SHALL be represented as a string type. If the values of codes and ids are organised in code and identification schemes the corresponding JSON schema SHALL refer to the appropriate code list or identification scheme.

Each code list and identification scheme SHALL be specified in a separate JSON schema file. A JSON schema file SHALL be created for each code list and identification scheme being used. Its name SHALL represent the name of the code list or identification scheme and SHALL be unique with the following form:

```json
<Code List Agency Name>_<Code List Name or Identifier>.json

<Identification Scheme Agency Name>_<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>.json
```

Where:
- All special characters SHALL be removed from the name. A period in the version number is replaced by the letter p.
- <Code List Agency Name> – Agency that maintains the code list.
- <Identification Scheme Agency Name> – Agency that maintains the identification scheme.
- <Code List Name or Identifier> – If a code list identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format UNTDID<identifier>. Else, the code list name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency.
• `<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>` – If an identification scheme identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format `UNTDID<identifier>`. Else, the identification scheme name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency.

The file SHALL be placed in a subfolder `codelists` of the export path. The `$id` property SHALL reflect this subfolder structure.

[R 31][2] It is a clear goal to keep the JSON schema artefacts as compatible with code lists and identification schemes as possible. For this reason the code list version and identification scheme version is neither part of the .json filename nor part of the type name. Nevertheless, it is part of the $id, so that JSON schema files can be used for differentiating versions if needed. If for some reason more than one version of a code list or identification scheme needs to be used in a specific scenario, the `<Code List Version>` or `<Identification Scheme Version>` SHALL be added to the file name in the following format:

```
<Code List Agency Name>_<Code List Name or Identifier>_<Code List Version>.json
<Identification Scheme Agency Name>_<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>_<Identification Scheme Version>.json
```

[R 32][1] The `description` property of the JSON schema specifying a code or identifier list SHALL list the copyright notice information as defined in the CCL. This includes the code or identifier list name, code or identifier list agency, code or identifier list version, and copyright information.

[R 33][2] The `title` property of the subschema specifying the `const` definitions holding the values of a code or identifier list SHOULD be the code name value in English language. The `description` property of the subschema specifying the `const` definitions holding the values of a code or identifier list SHOULD be the code definition value in English language.

[R 34][1] Code lists SHALL be represented in a subschema of the corresponding schema file with the following naming convention:

```
$defs/codeList/<Code List Name or Identifier>Type
```

with `<Code List Name or Identifier>` – If a code list identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format `untdid<identifier>`. Else, the code list name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency with special characters removed.

[R 35][1] Identification schemes SHALL be represented in a subschema of the corresponding schema file with the following naming convention:

```
$defs/identificationScheme/<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>Type
```

with `<Identification Scheme Name or Identifier>` – If an identification scheme identifier exists in the UNTDID, the identifier is given in the format `untdid<identifier>`. Else, the code or identification scheme name is stated as assigned by the publishing agency with special characters removed.

[R 36][1] Restrictions to CCTS objects SHALL be represented in a subschema as follows:

Cardinalities
- From 0..1 to 1..1
- From 0..1 to 0..0 (forbidden)
- From 0..unbounded to 0..n with n < unbounded
- From 0..unbounded to n..unbounded

Restriction of value ranges
Restriction of enums
The BasicComponents SHALL define a JSON subschema for extension as follows:

```
"$defs": {
  "extensibleType": {
    "patternProperties": { "^x-": true }
  }
}
```

The base of all JSON schema exports SHALL be the RDM level. This means that each underlying CCL basic data type SHALL be profiled and contextualised according to the RDM definition. Only data types that are used in an RDM SHALL be exported.

A user community may decide to create "snapshot" JSON schema artefacts for a specific subset of the CCL. A "snapshot" JSON schema artefact SHALL contain all relevant data types that are needed to define the subset. The "snapshot" JSON schema artefact MAY contain additional restrictions and extensions.

A UNECE publication SHALL provide a library export on a server being able to handle the necessary requirements for a global community accessing the published artefacts. In addition, UNECE SHOULD provide an additional snapshot export for each contextualised document ABIE.

Each ABIE SHALL be represented in a JSON subschema. ABIEs that are marked as deprecated from a former version SHALL NOT be represented in a JSON subschema.

All ABIE representations in JSON subschemas SHALL include a reference to the `extensibleType`.

Extension property names SHOULD follow the same naming conventions as defined in this technical specification.

The BasicComponents SHALL define a JSON subschema for resource based data exchange as follows:

```
"$defs": {
  "resourceType": {
    "type": "string",
    "format": "uri"
  }
}
```

All ASBIEs whose ABIEs contain an identifier SHALL be modelled using an `oneOf` choice between the `resourceType` and the associated ABIE. All other ASBIEs SHALL be referenced directly. In both cases, the defined cardinality SHALL be observed.

This rule can be applied transitionally up to and including the publication of library version D25A. Thereafter, modelling according to this rule is no longer conform to this NDR. It shall be applied to the following rules:

[29]:
A list of coded values or identifiers SHALL be modelled using `enum`, and Not as `const`. The description of each coded value or identifier SHALL be put in the `description` of the corresponding type as well as a comment after each `enum` value as shown in the example.

[27]:
[47]:
This rule can be applied transitionally up to and including the publication of library version D25A. Thereafter, modelling according to this rule is no longer conform to this NDR. It shall be applied to the following rules:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R 42</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The reference to the <strong>extensibleType</strong> in an ASBIE relationship SHALL be embedded in an <strong>allOf</strong>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Appendix C: Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCII</td>
<td>American Standard Code for Information Interchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABIE</td>
<td>Aggregate Business Information Entity – a term from CCTS that describes an information class such as “consignment”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Programming Interface – a term that references a machine-to-machine interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASBIE</td>
<td>Association Business Information Entity – a term from CCTS that defines a directed relationship from source ABIE to target ABIE – e.g. “consignee” as a relationship between “consignment” and “party”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2A</td>
<td>Business-to-Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2B</td>
<td>Business to Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBIE</td>
<td>Basic Business Information Entity – a term from CCTS that describes a property of a class such as party.name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIE</td>
<td>Business Information Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL</td>
<td>Core Component Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>Core Component Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTS</td>
<td>Core Component Technical Specification – a UN/CEFACT specification document that described the information management metamodel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDT</td>
<td>Core Data Type. A value domain for a BBIE that is a simple type such as “text” or “code”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>Dictionary Entry Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN16931</td>
<td>Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice (the European Norm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>Internet Engineering Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSON</td>
<td>JavaScript Object Notation – an IETF document syntax standard in common use by web developers for APIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSON-LD</td>
<td>JSON-Linked Data – a JSON standard for linked data graphs / semantic vocabularies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR</td>
<td>Naming &amp; Design Rules – a set of rules for mapping one representation (e.g. RDM) to another (e.g. JSON-LD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenAPI</td>
<td>An open source standard, language-agnostic interface to RESTful APIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWL</td>
<td>Web Ontology Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDT</td>
<td>Primitive data types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>Hypertext Pre-processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDT</td>
<td>Qualified Data Type. A value domain for a BBIE that is a constrained version of a CDT. Most often used with the “code” type – for example “country code”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>Resource Description Framework – a W3C semantic web standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDM</td>
<td>Reference Data Model- a UN/CEFACT semantic output.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTful API</td>
<td>See REST API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST API</td>
<td>Representation State Transfer Application Programming Interface, a.k.a. RESTful API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFC</td>
<td>Request for Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDO</td>
<td>Standards Development Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDT</td>
<td>Unqualified data type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN/CEFACT</td>
<td>United Nations Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URI</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Identifier – a namespace qualified string of characters that unambiguously identify a resource. AURL is one type of URI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Locator – the web address of a resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNTDID</td>
<td>United Nations Trade Data Interchange Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML</td>
<td>Extensible Mark-up Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMI</td>
<td>Xml Metadata Interchange - a well-established OMG standard for exchange of UML models between different tools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 - Glossary