

Universiteit Leiden

The Netherlands

The risk of identity disclosure through network structure

Anecdotal evidence from a hackathon

M.M. de Vries, R.G. de Jong, M.P.J. van der Loo, P.-P. de Wolf, F.W. Takes UNECE Expert Meeting on Statistical Data Confidentiality, 26-28 September, 2023

Agenda

• Problem

• Hackathon set-up

• Results

• Conclusions for SDC



Problem

Research problem

Statistics Netherlands recently developed population scale network (van der Laan et al, 2022)

- 5 types of links: family, neighbours, household members, colleagues, schoolmates
- Every person in the Netherlands

Anonymity measure developed with assumption of certain knowledge from attacker (de Jong et al, 2023a,b)

How likely is this prior knowledge?



Why a hackathon?

Online social networks (OSN) exhaustive source for finding sensitive data (Alipdrandi et al, 2014), (Koot et al, 2015)

• Open Source Intelligence (OSINT) takes advantage of online data

Research done into *what* is available, not *how much* is available

Hackathon reflects what is available, what information is harder/easier to find



Hackathon set-up



Hackathon organisation

22 students from Faculty of Science (Leiden University), split into 11 groups

Each group given 7 volunteers, asked to give as many links as possible

- 26 volunteers from CBS, Leiden University, other companies
- 4 hours, keeping a log

Volunteers were asked to assess validity found links



Recorded data

12

Edgelist_example 🛠 🗈 🐼 File Edit View Insert Format Data Tools Extensions Help								
5 ở 🗗 📅 100% ▾ 🗧 % .º 123 Defaul ▾ - 10 + B I ÷ A 🌺 ⊞ 문글 ▾ 🗐 ▾ A ▾								
M24 - <i>f</i> x								
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н
1	Willem Alexande	illem Alexander Source: https://www.koninklijkhuis.nl/onderwerpen/geschiedenis/koningen-en-koninginnen/willem-alexander-koning-1967						
2	Source	Target	Туре	Subtype (optional)	Distance	Reliability of link	Source	
3	Beatrix	Willem Alexande	Family 🔹	Kind	1	High 💌	https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koninklijke_fam	ilie_van_Nederland
4	Beatrix	Constantijn	Family •	Kind	2	High 👻	https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koninklijke_fam	ilie_van_Nederland
5	Willem Alexande	Amalia	Family •	Kind	1	High 🔹	https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koninklijke_fam	ilie_van_Nederland
6	Amalia	Alexia	Family 🔹	Zus	2	High 🔹	https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koninklijke_fam	ilie_van_Nederland
7	Willem Alexande	Maxima	Household •	-	1	High 🔻	Story	
8	Thom de Graaf	Willem Alexande	Work 👻	Colleague	1	High 💌	<u>raadvanstate.nl</u>	
9	Bert	Ernie	Friends •	-	20	High 🔻	https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bert_en_Ernie	
10			~			•		
11			~			•		
12			~			-		

髻

Results

Networks found for all volunteers





Big differences between volunteers

Number of links found per volunteer





Social media deemed relatively unreliable

Types of sources and their perceived reliability



Correct assessment of validity

1197 22 Low-Perceived reliability - mnipaw links 900 69 35 454 4 600 300 0 85 38 1163 High -196 No/No No/Yes Yes/No Yes/Yes Accuracy (Correct link/Correct Type)

Perceived reliability vs accuracy

Differences between categories of links

1000 -Accuracy number of links Yes/Yes Yes/No No/Yes 500 · No/No 0 Co-affiliation Family Friends Household Neighbors Other School Work

Types of links and accuracy

Conclusions for Statistical Disclosure Control

Friends and colleagues easy to find and often correctly inferred

Household members and neighbours difficult to find and often incorrect, regardless of perceived reliability

Perceived reliability often matched accuracy

 Higher order relationships were found far less, either due to assignment or due to difficulty



Open questions

More research needed on online availability

Further development of anonymity measures in networks

How to include outside sources and public information

More generic approach for assessing risk needed:

- Assess vulnerabilities in the attacker scenarios
- Assess likelihood of these scenarios themselves.





Facts that matter

References

1 - van der Laan, J., E. de Jonge, M. Das, S. Te Riele, and T. Emery (2022). A whole population network and its application for the social sciences. *European Sociological Review* 39(1), 145–160.

2 - de Jong, R. G., M. P. J. van der Loo, and F. W. Takes (2023a, jun). Algorithms for efficiently computing structural anonymity in complex networks. *ACM J. Exp. Algorithmics*.

3 - de Jong, R. G., M. P. J. van der Loo, and F. W. Takes (2023b). Beyond the ego network: the effect of distant connections on node anonymity. *arXiv preprint 2306.135083* -

4 - Aliprandi, C., J. Irujo, M. Cuadros, S. Maier, F. Melero, and M. Raffaelli (2014, 06). Caper: Collaborative information, acquisition, processing, exploitation and reporting for the prevention of organised crime. Volume 434.

5 - Koot, G., M. Huis in 't Veld, J. Hendricksen, R. Kaptein, A. Vries, and E. van den Broek (2014, September). Foraging online social networks. In M. den Hengst, M. Israël, D. Zeng, C. Veenman, and A. Wang (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Joint Intelligence and Security Informatics Conference (JISIC2014)*, pp. 312–315. IEEE. 10.1109/JISIC.2014.62 ; Conference date: 24-09-2014 Through 26-09-2014.

