

Submitted by the experts from CLEPA and OICA



Presentation 5 / Rev.1 6th GE.3, 4-5 May 2023 Agenda item 5(b)

Industry views on assessment activities towards realising a new legal instrument for automated vehicles in traffic (GE.3) Group of Experts, Global Forum for Road Traffic Safety, 4th-5th May 2022

Wey highlights from Industry submission in GE3 third session (May 2022)*

- Facilitate cross-border traffic via mutual legal recognition between governments of AV safety and operating regimes.
- Provide legal certainty for operation of L4 and L3 systems by ensuring governments retain suitable legal frameworks.
- Flexibility in traffic rules enforcement in the context of ambiguous or conflicting traffic laws.
- Addressing traffic laws governing drivers beyond dynamic driving task provisions, impacting road safety.
- Avoid road safety risks emerging from nationally led operational design domains (ODDs) and allow manufacturers to set ODDs in alignment with the development of technology.
- Continued collaboration between WP.1 and WP.29 on areas of common responsibility and interest.
 *Informal document No. 5 (GE.3-03-05)

Additional industry considerations in the development of the new LIAV (Jan - Apr 2023)*

- Clarification of provisions when addressing automated vehicles with a driver vs when addressing automated vehicles without a driver.
- Re-assess the need for new regimes seeking cross-border legal assistance over and above existing regimes and procedures for investigation purposes.
- Concerns on inclusion of roles and responsibilities which may conflict with existing national frameworks and technical regulations.
- Provisions on government structures to be set up for AV approval to be streamlined to avoid the development of too many authorities within one jurisdiction.
- Concerns on inclusion of provisions for remote driving and remote assistance prior to the complete development and exchange at WP.1 and subsidiary forums.

Industry views on assessment activities towards developing the new LIAV

- ✤ Gap assessment can be categorised into three -
 - With existing international conventions
 - With existing national frameworks
 - With Output documents from FRAV as well as VMAD/other technical workstreams. For example, provisions for a safety management system; data collection central repository in the New Assessment Test Methods (NATM) document.
- Development of a "go-to baseline document" containing the assessment of gaps and outcome of this assessment to achieve cross-border traffic and mutual recognition.
- Enlist and prioritise traffic rules beyond dynamic driving task affecting road traffic safety to address as part of the new LIAV.
- Enabling continued exchange and input between WP.1 and WP.29 as key stakeholders.

Alignment of Industry views with proposal 1 drafted during GE3

Proposal I				
Gap assessment with	Group 1 – Risk related to the lack of clarity on roles and responsibilities Proposal 3 (new) Group 3 - Gaps related to entities responsible for automated driving	Group 2 – Risk related to take over request and fallback user expectations during transition demands Proposal 3 (new) Group 2 – Gaps related to automated vehicles with a driver in the vehicle	Group 3 – Risk related to technical performance and skill of the vehicle automation, mode awareness and data protection and hacking Proposal 3 (new) Group 1 – Gaps related to safe behaviour of automated vehicles in road traffic Group 4 – Gaps related to automated vehicles without a driver	Potential Group 4 – focus on a new item to be identified (tour de table) Proposal 3 (new) Potential Group 5
Existing international conventions	x	x	x	Any other provisions outside of DDT impacting road safety that could impede cross border traffic flow and impact road safety.
Available national frameworks	x	x	x	
WP.29/GRVA technical regulatory work on ADS.	X*	X*	X*	

*FRAV work ongoing related to user workstream and VMAD coverage of manufacturer responsibility as part of the safety management system. Key exchange with FRAV/VMAD integration work.



THANK YOU