

GGP Methods Group

Major issues

Presentation for GGP Council of Partners

Aat Liefbroer

June 21, 2022

Representativeness

- Response rates in many countries are rather low, especially in WEB mode
- How representative are the data?
- Many countries are looking into this
- Important to produce written materials, like the technical document produced by our Norwegian colleagues



The Norwegian Generations and Gender Survey,
Round 2 - Wave 1 (2020). Documentation of the data
collection process

Lars Dommermuth & Trude Lappegård



- Methods group will try to synthesize and append results

Example: Norwegian GGS

- Response rate 33.5%
- Non-response pattern quite in line with that in face-to-face surveys
- Men are 8% underrepresented (43 rather than 51%)
- Clear overrepresentation of higher educated
 - ISCED 0-2: 15.5% rather than 27.5%
 - ISCED 6: 17.2% rather than 11.4%
- Quite OK representation by age, region and level of urbanity

Weighting

- Weighting is important to obtain correct estimates and correct standard errors of estimates
- We will follow the approach to weighting used by ESS (Round 9)
- Three elements will be taken into account
 1. Clustering and stratification
 2. Design weights to correct for unequal inclusion probabilities
 3. Post-stratification weights to correct for selective non-response
- Necessary information will be provided by the national teams
- Uniform construction of relevant weights by Central Hub
- A technical document will be sent to countries before the summer and will be put on the website

Mode effects

- Very much work in progress
- No countries with both web and face-to-face yet available
- A memo is being prepared how to deal with mode effects when analyzing GGS. Is expected to be ready towards the end of the year

nidi



umcg

