

- 1. Implementation of risk treatment strategies can create new risks in other areas, and this should be explicitly considered by governments when devising risk mitigation measures and regulations.
- 2. Review of relationship among risks and risk treatment strategies should be performed on a cross-sectoral level before the implementation of the strategies.
- 3. SDGs impacts should be translated into cross-sectoral risk evaluation criteria.
- 4. Governments should develop approaches for assessing overall residual risk as integrated parameter that takes into account all risks and mitigation strategies.
- 5. The following relationships among risks should be explicitly addressed by regulatory authorities when devising risk treatment strategies and regulations:
  - a. Risks that increase criticality of another (noting decreases too);
  - b. Risks that absorb other risks (e.g. mining coal also risks workforce casualties);
  - c. Risks that are independent;
  - d. Risks, which treatment strategies increase criticality of other risks.
- 6. The optimal methodology for comparing risks overall is to examine the impacts on Sustainable Development Goals, usually simplified to the key Goals for that case.