Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs (WP.11) Seventy-eighth session Geneva, 3-6 May 2022

Decisions submitted to silence procedure following the formal session of WP.11 with remote participation

Agenda item	Document (LINK)	Decision
1	https://unece.org/info/Transport/Transport-of-Perishable- Foodstuffs/events/364288	The provisional agenda (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/246 and -/Add.1) were adopted as amended by informal document INF.1/Rev.1 to take account of document ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/4/Rev.3 and informal documents INF.1 to INF.7.
5 (a)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/6 (France) The French delegation decided to postpone discussion on the proposal until a new revised version of 2021/5 was available.
5 (a)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document:ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/3/Rev.2 (France)As the proposal in ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/3/Rev.2 was intended to revert to the status before 19 December 2016, where the information regarding airflow was specified by the manufacturer and the proposal in ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/10 would be revised for consideration at the next session, the French delegation decided to keep their proposal on the agenda awaiting the outcome of discussions on the United Kingdom document.
5 (a)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document:ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/10 (United Kingdom)It was decided to discuss the proposal again at the next CERTE meeting and to try to find a solution that could be acceptable for Finland. The new revised proposal would then be submitted for consideration at the next session.
5 (b)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document:ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/2 (France)Proposal was adopted (see annex II).
5 (b)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/3 (France) The French delegation decided to prepare a revised version of the document after informal consultations with the delegations that commented during the discussion.
5 (b)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/5 (France) The proposal was put to the vote and rejected with six votes in favour (Denmark, France, Finland, Italy, Serbia and Spain) and one vote against (Germany). The proposal will be

Agenda item	Document (LINK)	Decision
		discussed in the informal working group on improvements to the approval system of ATP equipment and thermal units and a revised proposal will be presented at the next session.
5 (b)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/6 (France) The proposal was put to the vote and rejected with six votes in favour (Denmark, France, Finland, Italy, Serbia and Spain) and two votes against (Germany and United Kingdom). France thanked the delegations that provided comments and stated that a revised proposal will be presented at the next session.
5 (b)	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/9 (United Kingdom) Some concerns were raised as to how the proposal would improve the inspection process. When put to the vote, it was rejected with four votes in favour (Finland, Germany, Serbia and United Kingdom) and one vote against (France).
6	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/10 (United Kingdom) As the related proposal for amending the ATP was not adopted, this consequential amendment to the ATP Handbook was rejected.
11	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	Document:ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2022/4 (Secretariat)After consideration of the comparison prepared by the secretariat, the Working Party agreed that there was no need to amend its Rules of Procedure immediately. Delegations wishing to present proposals for modification of the Rules of Procedure, could do so on the basis of the Rules of Procedure of the ITC.
13	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	WP.11 adopted provisionally the draft proposal of amendment to the ATP Agreement contained in the document.
13	https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2022- 05/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_247e%20- %20for%20silence%20procedure.pdf	WP.11 adopted provisionally its report of the seventy-eighth session.