Main messages from TFIAM 51

- 1) GAINS projections 2030-2040-2050 available (see ppt CIAM). Country data still to follow. Optimised emission control scenarios will become available for EU27.
- 2) EECCA and West-Balkan projections show high pollution levels (especially in cities), but also large mitigation potentials.
- 3) Further reduction of exceedances of WHO-AQG & critical loads requires more efforts, both at international, national and local level.
- 4) Emission reduction measures are still available at international, national, and local levels. There is a large emission reduction potential for shipping (at sea and in ports).
- 5) The low-emission scenario for 2050 includes changes in the energy system and in agriculture (NH3). This seems to be sufficient to meet >90% of the deposition targets and WHO 2021 AQG (in EU+ and West Balkan) and ∼50% for EECCA and Turkey.
- 6) A preliminary consistent database for condensable PM emissions has been developed. Using this database will likely increase PM-exposure in some regions compared to exposures based on reported emissions. Further analysis of implications in relation to emission reduction obligations will have to follow.
- 7) Global methane reduction (in addition to NOx/VOC control in UNECE) is needed to reduce groundlevel ozone in UNECE
- 8) WHO can't exclude impacts below AQGs, nor different toxicity of PM2.5-subspecies, but these are still too uncertain to quantify sensitivity analyses is needed!
- 9) Several experts expressed interest to assist in the inventory of good practices on measures to stimulate behavioural change
- 10) Several experts expessed interest in further co-operation with TFHTAP and TFICAP.
- 11) Uncertainty/robustness analysis of policy advice should be addressed if a revision of the Gothenburg protocol is initiated.
- 12) TFIAM concludes that several GPG questions could be answered (though in some cases preliminary). \rightarrow see following slides

GPG questions on scenarios (3.1)

- 1. What are the latest **emission projections**, compared with the latest GAINS-scenarios (including recent climate, energy and agricultural policies and new source legislations)? **Are emission reduction obligations adequate for meeting long term environmental and health protection targets**? What will be the outcomes for health risks from ozone and particulate matter and for nitrogen deposition in 2030 and 2050.
- 2. Will implementation of **MTFR** be adequate for meeting long term environmental and health protection targets of the protocol beyond 2020?
- 3. What would be the **optimized emission reduction obligations**, given the updated emission inventories and projections for 2030 in comparison to the emission reduction commitments in the amended Gothenburg Protocol for 2030
- 4. What would be the impact on emissions reductions of **climate and energy measures** in the long term (2030-2050)? What would be the impact of new policies and measures on **nitrogen** management, biodiversity, bioeconomy, etc.

GPG questions on black carbon, condensables and methane (4-6)

- 1. What are best available techniques to reduce **black carbon emissions**?
- 2. What is the contribution of **condensables** to the population exposure and total health impacts?
- 3. What will be the impact of the inclusion of condensables in particulate matter emissions for residential heating, national emission trends and effectivity of abatement measures?
- 4. What is the difference between optimized emission reduction allocations with and without particles from condensables?
- 5. What is the projected future trend in **methane** emissions and subsequent improvements in ozone exposure and human health and ecosystems impacts?
- 6. What is the contribution of existing and new climate policy on methane emissions? What are the (best) available emission abatement techniques?