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Purpose

The purpose of this workshop was to gather the Approval Authorities that are working on the provisions of para. 5.3. of the Regulation. Approval Authorities of CPs could report about the process of implementation for fulfilment of the requirements of the Regulation. An exchange could take place on the difficulties and challenges that occurred during this process.
Meetings

- 1st meeting, 8th July (web)
- 2nd meeting, 19th October (web)
- 3rd meeting, 16th November (web)
- 4th meeting, 3rd December (web)
- 5th meeting, 17th January, 2022 (web)

More than 25 or over participants from TAA and TS
Current Status

Discussion has been started with the items which are relevant to initiate activities for Type Approvals for R155, such as "Peer Review Exchanges via DETA".
Review on Q&A(C)
Current Statues of "Peer Review Exchanges via DETA"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer review exchanges via DETA</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What level of details on interpretation, deliverables and rating of prescriptions is considered by the other TAA? (5.3.3)</td>
<td>Example document: General method and criteria documents used by the TAA. The documents will be shared by TS and TAA not among manufactures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What level of details on interpretation, deliverables and rating of prescriptions is considered by the other TAA? (5.3.6)</td>
<td>See the next page</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Documents for approving types (for 5.3.6)

1st layer) Information package according to Annex 1

2nd layer)
TS's summary of tests without detailed and critical information regarding types according to the demand of TAA. Purpose, test method, results, TARA evaluation and checking the applicability of annex 5 could be included. Refer to the footnote No.6 of R155
Suggestion on Commenting via DETA

• For the productive reviewing via DETA, setting a common rule to comment is recommended.

• The following format to comment could be considered as an example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Questions to comment (Example)</th>
<th>Comments (Example)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which requirement in UNR155 are you commenting to?</td>
<td>Example: 7.2.2.2 (f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which part of the criteria applied for this case are you considering as &quot;inappropriate&quot;?</td>
<td>Example: Criteria to prove &quot;No processes are in place which require the risk assessment to be updated.” in the interpretation document is insufficient. Questioning about the relevant division(s) to administrate update the TARA may be missed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you recommend to revise the criteria?</td>
<td>Example: Questioning about the relevant division(s) to administrate update the TARA will be recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope of CSMS

The workshop is discussing the possibility of multiple CSMS to deal with vehicle types developed by joint projects between OEMs. Case study for this issue is on going.
Next steps

• 6th session will be held on 3rd February, 2022.