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Why merging data sets from different providers?

Social scientists and researchers often need to perform statistical analyses across large, independently-owned datasets.

- it is often difficult to achieve these datasets;
- there is not a unique way to safely compute statistics on private data;
- Collaborative analyses involving private data are often limited by ethical and regulatory constraints;
- trade-offs between collective benefits and individual harms produced by processing sensitive data;
Why do we want to privately compute functions of arguments belonging to different people.

A Secure Multi-Party Computation (MPC) framework assumes a set of parties wishing to compute a joint function of their private inputs without revealing anything but the output.

- Protecting the privacy of individuals and firms is of paramount relevance in our societies.
- To obtain more accurate models, it is common that organizations cooperate to build joint training datasets;
- many examples relates economic, medical, judicial and tax records;
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Possible social benefits from sharing otherwise private databases:

- Different hospitals could improve their medical analytics for better healthcare delivery.
- State tax authority would like to check banking relationships with suspect tax evader.
- National law enforcement bodies of different countries would like to compare their respective database of suspected terrorists.
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Oblivious computing

Obliv-C is an extension of the C programming language that provides data-oblivious programming constructs which allow to embed secure computation protocols:

- Obliv-C provides a framework to write suitable protocols for secure Multiparty computation;
- given two parties owning private data $x$ and $y$;
- joint functionality $f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y))$;
- the first party receives only $f_1(x, y)$ while the second receives only $f_2(x, y)$;
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Enabling oblivious computation with conditionals

An oblivious conditional statement requires code adjustments for execution:

\[
\text{obliv if}(x > y)x = y;
\]

The oblivious nature of \(x\) and \(y\) prevents the knowledge of the truth value \(x > y\) logical condition.
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Oblivious computation with conditionals

The conditional statements have to be converted using assignment statement adjustments for execution. Here we see a possible avenue for a suitable transformation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cond} & = (x > y); \\
\text{x} & = x + \text{cond} \cdot (y - x);
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

With this transformation we have removed any control flow dependency.
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The first linear model

In these examples we have employed linear multivariate models. All the data have been synthetically generated using different random distribution.

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \leftarrow \text{rexp}(n) \\
    x_2 & \leftarrow \text{rnorm}(n, \text{mean} = 0, \text{sd} = 1) \\
    x_3 & \leftarrow \text{rnorm}(n, \text{mean} = 3, \text{sd} = 1.5)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
y_v = 1.5 + 0.5 \cdot x_1 + 0.2 \cdot x_2 + 0.1 \cdot x_3 + \varepsilon
\]

Here \( \varepsilon \) is an added noise with gaussian distribution \( \hat{\varepsilon} = 0 \) and \( \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1 \).
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The second linear model

This second model requires the estimation of a ten parameters multivariate models.

This example allows us to check whether some nonlinear effect pops out when increasing the number of regressors.

\[ y_z = 0.7 + 0.5 \cdot x_1 + 0.2 \cdot x_2 + 0.1 \cdot x_3 + 0.9 \cdot x_4 + 0.1 \cdot x_5 + \\
-1.2 \cdot x_6 - 0.25 \cdot x_7 + 0.8 \cdot x_8 + 1.5 \cdot x_9 + \varepsilon \]

The exogenous variables are randomly generated as in the case of the first model.
The second linear model

This second model requires the estimation of a ten parameters multivariate models. This example allows us to check whether some nonlinear effect pops out when increasing the number of regressors.

\[
y_z = 0.7 + 0.5 \cdot x_1 + 0.2 \cdot x_2 + 0.1 \cdot x_3 + 0.9 \cdot x_4 + 0.1 \cdot x_5 + \]
\[
-1.2 \cdot x_6 - 0.25 \cdot x_7 + 0.8 \cdot x_8 + 1.5 \cdot x_9 + \varepsilon
\]

The exogenous variables are randomly generated as in the case of the first model.
The second linear model

This second model requires the estimation of a ten parameters multivariate models. This example allows us to check whether some nonlinear effect pops out when increasing the number of regressors.

\[ y_z = 0.7 + 0.5 \cdot x_1 + 0.2 \cdot x_2 + 0.1 \cdot x_3 + 0.9 \cdot x_4 + 0.1 \cdot x_5 + \\
-1.2 \cdot x_6 - 0.25 \cdot x_7 + 0.8 \cdot x_8 + 1.5 \cdot x_9 + \varepsilon \]

The exogenous variables are randomly generated as in the case of the first model.
We have estimated the two models with four datasets having a growing size. We employed $10^3$, $10^4$, $10^5$, $10^6$ observations and we measured the execution time for the oblivious regressions. The following table shows the timing for the first model:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta t$</th>
<th>number of observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user</td>
<td>22.3″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system</td>
<td>5.9″</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timing results for the private regression model with four independent variables.
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| user      | 1’19”   | 13’14” | 133’34” | 1299’42” |
| system    | 13.7”   | 2’20”  | 29’30”  | 295’52”  |

Timing results for the private regression model with ten independent variables.
Evaluating the Estimation processing overhead

Performance behaviour according to the dataset size

Estimation time ratio between the model with ten and four explanatory variables.
## Accuracy comparison between Obliv-C and R lm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>R-estimate</th>
<th>Obliv-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.7007754</td>
<td>0.4890862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x1</td>
<td>0.5013639</td>
<td>0.4854234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x2</td>
<td>0.3983556</td>
<td>0.4025301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x3</td>
<td>0.1010488</td>
<td>0.0941359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x4</td>
<td>0.8995275</td>
<td>0.8804412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x5</td>
<td>0.0994992</td>
<td>0.05695939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x6</td>
<td>1.1998318</td>
<td>1.230985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x7</td>
<td>0.2498331</td>
<td>0.2374886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x8</td>
<td>0.8000125</td>
<td>0.8254486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x9</td>
<td>1.5004663</td>
<td>1.563147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient estimates with the R package and Obliv-C.
we have shown a possible avenue for carrying out a linear multivariate regression by extending the Obliv-C framework;

the overhead caused by oblivious regressions grows approximately linearly up to 1 million of observations;

estimation time grow more than quadratically with the number of explanatory variables;

it seems compelling to introduce the double precision oblivious data type;

code parallelization is a mandatory requirement to achieve a reasonable performances with huge micro datasets;
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For Further Reading
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