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Overview

- **Objective**
  To develop the amendment of GTR No.9 & UN R127 w.r.t. Deployable Pedestrian Protection Systems (DPPS)

- **Activities**
  - IWG: MAR., 2018 ~ NOV., 2022 (extended mandate)

- **Meetings**
  - 12th Meeting (29 ~ 30 JUN., 2021 / Online)
  - 13th Meeting (14 ~ 15 SEP., 2021 / Online)
  - 14th Meeting (16 ~ 17 NOV., 2021 / Online)
  - Subgroup online meetings

* Due to the Covid19 pandemic, the progress is slow
Summary of the amendment discussion

Verification of detection (TRT/ST Measurement, Activation)

Prerequisites
- System info
- HIT

Headform Test (physical)

Must meet the regulation criteria

Static test in undeployed position @LT x 0.9

Static test in deployed position @ 9.7 m/s

Static test in deployed position @ 9.7 m/s

Dynamic test @ 9.7 m/s

* HIT = Head Impact Time
LT = Lower Threshold (of speed)
Decision

- IWG-DPPS Decision
  Phase 1 will include numerical simulation for HIT and a marker in the preamble for generic approach to be developed in the next phase

- Issue
  Japan proposal adds a sentence about an equivalent method (physical or alternative numerical simulation) to the numerical simulation to be agreed by CPs

“A Contracting Party may choose to alternatively accept to use the (physical or numerical) simulation tools and method for HIT calculation different from the tool and method defined in *.*.* and *.*.* of this Annex, respectively, in case the validity is shown by the manufacturer and is agreed by such Contracting Party.”

   equivalency

(This proposal is the same condition of current UN R127’
Administrative Issue 1

- Opinion 1
  The physical or alternative numerical simulation must be clarified for GTR and UN Regulation. Therefore, the proposal without detailed procedure cannot be included in Phase 1.

- Opinion 2
  The equivalency of methods must be agreed by CPs. The proposal is sufficient and can be included in Phase 1.

Request for discussion on this issue in GRSP level
Administrative Issue 2

- How to deal with the remaining left topics of DPPS?

  - Opinion 1. IWG-DPPS Phase 2
    - Generic approach for HIT
    - Other remaining topics for DPPS test procedure

  - Opinion 2. IWG Phase 3 of GTR No.9
    - all DPPS related remaining topics
    - Head test impact area (GTR 9 amendment 3)
    - Adjustable Ride Height Suspension System
    - Extension of head test area

Request for discussion on this issue in GRSP level
Plan

- Next Meeting
  - 1~2 February, 2022 (t.b.d.)
  - Online meeting

- More subgroup meetings (t.b.d.)

timeline

GTR9 drafting

Formal GRSP May 2022

WP29 Nov 2022
Thank you for your attention