# Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs (WP.11) <br> Seventy-seventh session <br> Geneva, 26-29 October 2021 

Decisions submitted to silence procedure following the formal session of WP. 11 with remote participation

| Agenda item | Document (LINK) | Decision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | https://unece.org/info/Transport/Transport-of-PerishableFoodstuffs/events/357984 | The provisional agenda (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/244 and -/Add.1) were adopted as amended by informal document INF. 1 to take account of informal documents INF. 1 to INF. 13. |
| 2 (a) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | The Working Party noted the information provided in informal document INF.10, related to circular economy and the sustainable use of natural resources, specially paragraphs 10-13 and agreed to discuss at a future session: <br> - how to enhance the impact of ATP, in order to foster circular and more resource efficient approaches, including by proposing ways to identify, assess and fill gaps in governance and good practices; <br> - consider developing proposals, including possible collaboration across subprogrammes, for impactful and measurable solutions that promote a circular economy and the sustainable use of natural resources and that can facilitate attaining the objectives of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; |
| 5 (a) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Documents: } & \text { ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/1/Rev. } 2 \text { (France). } \\ & \text { Informal document INF. } 7 \text { (Netherlands) } \\ & \text { Informal document INF. } 13 \text { (United Kingdom) } \end{array}$ <br> While there was consensus that the documents were addressing a very important topic, several aspects of the proposal needed to be further developed. The revised proposal in ECE/TRANS/WP. 11/2020/1/Rev. 2 was submitted to the vote. It was rejected with two votes in favour (France and Italy) and four votes against (Czechia, Denmark, Germany and United Kingdom). <br> It was decided to create an informal working group to address, among others: <br> (a) Definitions of drive independent and drive dependent as well as ways to measure/check if the equipment was independent/dependent; <br> (b) Minimum duration for which drive independence was needed; <br> (c) How to test the endurance of the battery; |


| Agenda item | Document (LINK) | Decision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (d) Battery charging times and level of charge required to consider the equipment independent. |
| 5 (a) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/3/Rev. 2 (France) <br> As the proposal in ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/3/Rev. 2 was intended to revert to the status before 19 December 2016, where the information regarding airflow was specified by the manufacturer and the proposal in ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/5/Rev. 2 was not adopted, the French delegation decided to submit its proposal to a vote. <br> The proposal was rejected with five votes in favour (France, Italy, Luxembourg, Spain and Turkey) and two votes against (Germany and United Kingdom). |
| 5 (a) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | As there was not consensus on the principle of splitting the test reports in two documents, the French delegation exhorted other delegations, mainly from industry, in agreement with the principle, to convince their authorities on the need and benefits of this approach. When submitted to a vote, the principle was rejected with five votes in favour (France, Italy, Luxembourg, Slovenia and Spain) and one vote against (Germany). <br> Some delegations confirmed that test reports were confidential and that it was the responsibility of the applicant to provide all the information requested by the testing station for the issuance of a new test report, avoiding therefore potential confidentiality issues. |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/202111/ECE TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/2 (Spain) <br> The proposal was adopted (see annex). |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Documents: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/3 (Spain) <br>  Informal document INF. 2 (Spain) <br> After a few questions from the Working Party were answered, the proposal was adopted as amended (see annex). |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | $\begin{array}{cl}\text { Documents: } & \text { ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/4 (Spain) } \\ & \text { Informal document INF.2 (Spain) } \\ \text { After some clarifications, the proposal was adopted as amended (see annex). }\end{array}$ |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: <br> ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/7 (France) <br> The proposal was adopted (see annex). |


| Agenda item | Document (LINK) | Decision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: <br> ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/8 (France) <br> After some clarifications, the proposal was adopted (see annex). |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: <br> ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/9 (France) <br> The proposal was adopted (see annex). |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: <br> ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/16 (Germany) <br> After a few modifications, the proposals were adopted as amended (see annex). |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/18 (Netherlands) <br> Proposal 1 was withdrawn as it was already included in the adopted proposal in document 2021/9 by France. <br> Proposal 2 was adopted (see annex). |
| 5 (b) | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/20 (United Kingdom) <br> Proposal in the document was not adopted. There was a discrepancy with the terminology used to refer to multi-temperature multi-compartment equipment between the English and the French versions. This discrepancy should be solved before going forward with amendments to the ATP related to this subject. |
| 6 | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021- <br> 11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20- <br> $\% 20$ for $\% 20$ silence $\% 20$ procedure.pdf | Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/1/Rev. 2 (France). <br> As the related proposal for amending the ATP was not adopted, this consequential amendment to the ATP Handbook was rejected. |
| 6 | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: Kingdom) $\quad$ ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2020/5/Rev. 2 (United <br> As the related proposal for amending the ATP was not adopted, this consequential amendment to the ATP Handbook was rejected. |
| 6 | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2021/13 (France) <br> Although it was recognized that the existing text in the ATP Handbook was not covering all possible situations and that the drafting could be improved, the proposal presented by France was not solving all the problems either. The proposal was put to the vote and rejected with 3 |


| Agenda item | Document (LINK) | Decision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | votes in favour (France, Italy and Spain) and 4 votes against (Czechia, Denmark, Germany and United Kingdom). <br> France was invited to draft a revised proposal for the next session with the help of Germany. |
| 11 | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | The Working Party elected Mr. K. de Putter (Netherlands) as Chair, and Mr. J. M. Bonnal (France) as Vice-Chair for its sessions in 2022. The Working Party thanked the officers and the secretariat for their work, especially for the organization of the session under the restrictions imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic. |
| 13 | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/202111/ECE TRANS_WP. $11245 \mathrm{e} \% 20-$ \%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | WP. 11 adopted provisionally the draft proposals of amendments to the ATP Agreement contained in the document. |
| 13 | https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-11/ECE_TRANS_WP.11_245e\%20\%20for\%20silence\%20procedure.pdf | WP. 11 adopted provisionally its report of the seventy-seventh session. |

