BSIS Alternative Test Procedure
Motivation

- Regulation 151-00 guarantees that drivers of heavy vehicles are notified about endangered bicyclists in due time.

- Main criticism: **Information signal is given too early**

- Solution: New, alternative test procedure

- Alternative test procedure allows verification of AEBS for BSIS (original test procedure does not)
Introduction & Recapitulation
Possible information signal timings

1. before potential swerving (as implemented in current R151)
2. for comfortable stopping (as proposed in initial document)
3. possible auto-brake activation

Figure qualitative

- **1** before potential swerving
- **2** for comfortable stopping
- **3** possible auto-brake activation
The BSIS shall inform the driver about nearby bicycles that might be endangered during a potential turn, by means of an optical signal, so that the vehicle can be stopped before crossing the bicycle trajectory.

It shall also inform the driver about approaching bicycles while the vehicle is stationary before the bicycle reaches the vehicle front, taking into account a reaction time of 1.4 seconds. This shall be tested according to paragraph 6.6.

The BSIS shall warn the driver, by means of an optical signal, acoustical signal, haptic signal or any combination of these signals, when the risk of a collision increases.

Needs additional definitions or at least interpretation

Clear performance requirement

Needs interpretation
Proposal for alternative test method

1. When using driving and dummy robots, all vehicle movements are pre-programmed
2. Every vehicle location is known at all times
3. It is possible to verify the signal activation without impact to the dummy
4. It is possible to verify the signal activation in more realistic scenarios (including swerving to the outside)
5. It is safe to return to the „old“ pass-fail-criteria!
6. NO changes to actual specification section in R151 required
Technical Feasibility
Central question:

„Will it be possible to drive the vehicle naturally and add a bicycle dummy to verify the functionality of the system?“

Driving Robots

Dummy Robots

Recording of Trajectories
Robot Implementation Overview

**Vehicle**

**Sensor:** ADMA G DGPS IMU

**Actor:** ABD SR 60
(60 Nm steering robot)

**Actor:** ABD CBAR
(combined brake + accelerator robot)
Steering Controller (Details)
Investigated Variants

- Replay recorded trajectory from other vehicles
  → not promising

- Replay recorded trajectory from same vehicle
  → accuracy sufficient, speed control critical

- Synchronise trajectory with bicycle dummy
  → accuracy sufficient, solves speed control issue
Accuracy results for trajectories from other vehicles:
15 km/h, Klothoide $\rightarrow \pm 0.35$ m error
Position accuracy if trajectory recorded with the exact same vehicle: **approx. 5 cm!**
Actual vs.
Desired Speed: Speed accuracy is not as good as expected due to shifting (slow gearbox).

Possible to improve with sync'ed dummy.
Procedure

1. Record turn without dummy

2. Add dummy

3. Perform test with vehicle and dummy

- Record trajectory (path+speed) of realistic turn
- Replay trajectory without dummy and record again with dummy controller
- Add dummy trajectory with dummy controller software
- Define impact position
- Perform sync'ed test without dummy to check repeatability (overrun platform!)
- Test synchronized (abort before impact)
Videos removes for presentation size
Results: Repeatability of Impact Situation

„Teached“ impact
Measurement Data

Positions at impact: red - target front center, black - VUT front right

Outlier within ±20 cm

≤10 cm
Speed Profiles – Vehicle (recorded) & Dummy (sync‘ed)

Desired Speed ± 1 km/h
Conclusion

- Robot testing allows a robust assessment of Blind Spot Assist Systems
- Robot testing would allow assessment of Blind Spot AEB systems as well (R151 test procedure does not!), sync tuning needed
- Repeatability and accuracy is sufficient (when synchronized with dummy)
- How should the vehicles be driven in turns?
Trajectories for VUT
Trajectory Definition for Alternative Test Procedure

🎉 Several runs for different kinds of vehicle available
- All manufacturers were invited to supply data
- Truck
- Truck & Trailer
- Tractor & Semitrailer
- Different lane width of target lane

🎉 Required is a generic specification for the turning path

🎉 Vehicles should be driven according to specification (without dummy), this will be recorded as basis for the robot control

🎉 This is independent from dummy lateral distance!
Overview and combination of available data

4 = 1 + 3, so not necessary
Several test runs of a single truck
Target lane width: 5 & 6 m
"Envelope" for all test runs
"Envelope" given per dedicated positions
Vehicle should be driven in the envelope
Envelope given per dedicated points as shown
Speed control open to the driver
Several test runs of a truck & trailer

Target lane width: 7 & 9 m

„Envelope“
Several test runs for single truck & tractor-semi-trailer

Target lane widths: 7, 11 (truck) & 11 (tractor-semi-trailer)

„Envelope“
Envelope new 4 (Bus 1)
Envelope new 5 (Bus 2)
## Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of vehicle</th>
<th>Relevant envelope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single trucks, single tractors</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single trucks equipped to tow trailers</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractors equipped to tow semitrailers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 Class I</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 Other</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bringing it all together
Changes to the core test

“6.5.7. Verification of Blind Spot Information signal

Verification of the Blind Spot Information signal can be made by following two methods, at the manufacturer's choosing:

(a) Verify if the Blind Spot Information signal has been activated before the vehicle crosses line C in Figure 1 of Appendix 1 to this Regulation, and if the Blind Spot Information signal has not been activated before the vehicle crosses line D in Figure 1.

(b) The activation of the blind spot information signal may be checked using the test procedure as specified in Annex 4 to this regulation.”
Annex 4: Prerequisites

1. Test procedure

1.1. Verify that the vehicle and the test track are in the condition as required per section 6 and its subparagraphs.

1.2. Equip the vehicle with the following equipment:

1.2.1. A position measurement system, able to measure the vehicle position with an accuracy of [5] cm, such as a differential GPS and inertial measurement unit fusion system, sampling at no less than 100 Hz.

1.2.2. A driving system that is able to modulate the direction, deceleration and acceleration of the vehicle under test in order to follow recorded trajectories with an accuracy of [50] cm when comparing recorded and replayed trajectory over time.

    If the driving system does not allow a sufficient manual control, it may be absent during the recording of the trajectory as defined in paragraph 1.3 below.

1.2.3. A system to detect the information and warning signals after their activation with a time delay of not more than [25] ms.
Annex 4: Procedure to record the trajectories

1.3 Drive manually and record vehicle position over time for all relevant envelopes described in Appendix 1 for the vehicle under test. Modulate the speed as necessary during the turn while staying in the performance requirements as specified in section 5.3.1. (e.g. up to 30 km/h vehicle speed). The initial speed as specified in Appendix 1 should be maintained until passing a line corresponding to x=-30 in the coordinate system as specified in Appendix 1.

The vehicle shall be driven in such a way that the vehicle front is inside the envelopes at all times. This shall be verified with the measured data.

A marking of the positions using markers is permitted but not necessary.

If deemed justified by the technical service, any other trajectories that would be driven with the given vehicle to negotiate 90° turns may be tested as well.
Annex 4: Procedure to perform the actual tests

1.4 Drive the tests according to the table in appendix 1, using the driving system and the trajectories as recorded while performing paragraph 1.4 of this annex, ensuring the bicycle dummy robot is synchronized to impact the vehicle under test at the respective impact position (the front right corner or a position 6 m behind the front right corner of the vehicle) and is travelling on the respective y coordinate.

It may be necessary and shall be allowed to synchronize the dummy robot against a replay of the VUT trajectories (with full driving system control over speed and steering) rather than against the originally recorded trajectory while driving manually.

The dummy speed shall be at the respective speed with a tolerance of ± 2 km/h at all times. The dummy shall be moving at speed sufficiently ahead for the blind spot information system to pick it up as a moving target.

If the correct collision position for each VUT trajectory has been verified with a test run without a dummy on the carrier platform and repeatability of the test setup has been verified as well, the test may be aborted after detection of the information signal.
1.5. Calculate the stopping distance with respect to passing the bicycle trajectory for each individual trajectory and each available sampling point, taking into account a possible vehicle deceleration of 5 m/s² and a reaction time of 1.4 seconds.

The calculation may be performed in the following manner:

Calculate the required braking distance $d_{\text{brake}}$ for each data point on the trajectory, using the following equation:

$$d_{\text{brake, total}} = \frac{v^2}{2 \cdot 5 \text{m/s}^2} + 1.4s \cdot v,$$

using the actual vehicle speed $v$ in m/s.

The distance of the VUT front right corner on its path to the bicycle line of movement shall be $d_{\text{Bicycle trajectory}}$.

The position of the last point of information then is given by the first time where the following condition applies:

$$|d_{\text{Bicycle trajectory}} - d_{\text{brake, total}}| < [0.35] \text{m}$$
Annex 4 – Final paragraphs

1.6 The test procedure is considered to be passed, and consequently the vehicle is deemed to have fulfilled paragraphs 6.5.6, 6.5.7 and 6.5.10, if the information signal is given at a distance (on the path coordinate of the individual trajectories) greater than the stopping distance (on the path coordinate of the individual trajectories) as calculated in paragraph 1.4 above for all required test runs conducted according to paragraph 1.5 above.

1.7 All measurement data (in the form of plots) and all calculations done in paragraph 1.4 shall be included in a test report with regard to this annex. The test report shall be annexed to the certificate.
Appendix 1 to Annex 4

👉 Suggestion to just put the envelope pictures
Appendix 1 to Annex 4 – What to do

Scenarios (other parameters possible as long as those are within the limits as defined in the core text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Envelope</th>
<th>Lateral bicycle coordinate with respect to dummy center, in the coordinate systems as shown above</th>
<th>Bicycle speed</th>
<th>Initial vehicle speed</th>
<th>Impact position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single trucks, single tractors 1,3 1,2, 3 1, 3</td>
<td>-2.8 m, -5.8 m 10 km/h, 20 km/h 10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks equipped to tow trailers</td>
<td>1, 2, 3 -2.8 m, -5.8 m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractors (equipped to tow semitrailers)</td>
<td>1, 3 -2.8 m, -5.8 m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 of Class I 4</td>
<td>-2.8 m, -5.8 m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other M3 5</td>
<td>-2.8 m, -5.8 m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
<td>10 km/h, 20 km/h 0m, 6m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Place the relevant stop signs somewhere on the trajectory.