

Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General 26 July 2021

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers to the Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters

Fourth session
Geneva, 22 October 2021
Item 6 (c) of the provisional agenda
Programme of work and operation of the Protocol:
financial arrangements

Draft decision IV/4 on financial arrangements under the Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers

Prepared by the Bureau


Summary

At its third session (Budva, Montenegro, 15 September 2017), the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers to the Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters mandated the Bureau and the Working Group of the Parties to explore options for more predictable, stable and equitably shared funding, and requested them to make the appropriate proposals for the consideration of the Meeting of the Parties at its fourth session (ECE/MP.PRTR/2017/6/Add.1, decision III/3, para. 12).

At its seventh meeting, the Working Group of the Parties (Geneva, 28 and 29 November 2019) took note of the Note on future financial arrangements under the Protocol (ECE/MP.PRTR/WG.1/2019/8) prepared by the Bureau pursuant to the above-mentioned request and requested the Bureau, with support from the secretariat, to prepare a draft decision on the future financial arrangements under the Protocol for the next meeting of the Working Group.

The Working Group considered and approved the present draft decision on financial arrangements at its eighth meeting (Geneva, 16 and 18 December 2020) (ECE/MP.PRTR/WG.1/2020/2, para. 39 (e)) and mandated the Bureau to finalize it, in the light of the discussions at the meeting, with a view to its submission to and possible adoption at the fourth session of the Meeting of the Parties.

The draft decision addresses general matters and explores possible options in relation to the financial arrangements under the Protocol. The major issues for consideration by the Parties include: (a) whether the scheme of financial arrangements should be mandatory or





voluntary; and (b) whether the United Nations scale of assessments should apply to contributions.

For greater clarity regarding the different options under consideration for a financial scheme, text in bold in the draft decision indicates which option or options are applicable for the suggested text. Option A relates to a financial scheme with a mandatory contribution scheme; option B is for a financial scheme with voluntary contributions.

The Meeting of the Parties

Recalling article 17, paragraph 2 (h), of the Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers to the Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention), which states that the Meeting of the Parties shall consider establishing financial arrangements by consensus to facilitate the implementation of the Protocol,

Also recalling decisions I/3, II/4 and III/3 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, establishing an interim voluntary scheme of contributions to be sustained by contributions from Parties, Signatories and other States having opted to participate in the scheme,

Recognizing the need to:

- (a) Ensure that sufficient resources are available to implement the Protocol's work programme for 2022–2025, adopted through decision IV/3;
- (b) Establish a scheme of financial contributions that is transparent and accessible to all Parties, Signatories and other States and organizations wishing to contribute;
- (c) Establish financial arrangements under the Protocol that will ensure stable and predictable sources of funding, based on the principles of an equitable sharing of the burden, accountability and sound financial management,

Believing also that some organizations and non-State entities, such as charitable foundations, may be interested in contributing financially to the activities under the Protocol's work programme and should be encouraged to do so,

[Noting with regret that most of contributions are still arriving late and that the financial burden has not been evenly distributed, with several Parties and Signatories not contributing at all,]¹

Believing that the financial arrangements in place under the Protocol will need to be kept under periodic review by the Meeting of the Parties to ensure that they continue to meet the goals of stability, predictability and an equitable sharing of the burden,

- 1. **Option A for mandatory contributions:** [Establishes a mandatory scheme of contributions] **Option B for voluntary contributions:** [Decides to continue maintaining the interim voluntary scheme of contributions as reflected in decision III/3 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol²] aimed at covering the costs of activities under the work programme that are not covered by the United Nations regular budget, based on the following principles:
- (a) The Parties shall collectively ensure that the costs of the activities of the work programme that are not covered by the United Nations regular budget are covered through the financial scheme;

Relevant for Option A only: [(b) The burden of covering the costs of the activities shall be distributed among the Parties and Signatories to the Protocol in proportion to the United Nations scale of assessments³ with the indicative contribution of each Party for 2021 being as shown in the annex hereto;

¹ This paragraph is to be verified closer to the adoption of the decision.

² ECE/MP.PRTR/2017/6/Add.1.

The United Nations scale of assessments is adopted by the General Assembly for a three-year period. It provides the basis for calculating the contributions of States Members of the United Nations to the United Nations regular budget. In December 2018, the General Assembly adopted resolution 73/271 on a scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations for the period 2019–2021. As reaffirmed in that resolution, the fundamental principle for calculating contributions of States Members is that "the expenses of the Organization shall be apportioned broadly according to capacity to pay".

- (c) The scale of assessments shall be adjusted so that no Party or Signatory is required to contribute more than 22 per cent⁴ of the estimated costs to be covered by the scheme;
- (d) Each Party or Signatory shall contribute each year, as a minimum, the amount derived from applying the adjusted scale of assessments referred to in subparagraph x x x to the total estimated costs of the activities, but each contribution should not be less than stated in paragraph xxx;

Relevant for both Options A and B:

- [(b)] No Party or signatory is expected to contribute less than \$500 to the Protocol's work programme for a given calendar year;
- [(c)] Contributions shall be made in cash and shall not be earmarked for a particular activity;
- [(d)] Additional contributions may be made in cash or in kind, and may be earmarked for a particular activity;
- [(e)] Contributions in cash shall be made through the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe trust fund for local technical cooperation (Aarhus Convention/Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers project);
- [(f)] Insofar as possible, and subject to the internal budgetary procedures of the Parties, contributions for a given calendar year should preferably be made by 1 October of the preceding year, so as to secure payment of staff costs for the smooth functioning of the secretariat, as a priority, and the timely and effective implementation of the priority activities of the respective programme of work;
- [(g)] To keep administrative costs related to funds management at the minimum and, insofar as possible and subject to internal budgetary procedures of the Parties, contributions should preferably be paid net with possible charges at expense of donors, concentrated as much as possible within the intersessional period, by, for example, making multiannual or annual contributions continuously (for every calendar year) and by merging contributions for the Aarhus Convention and Protocol in one transfer, if appropriate;
- [(h)] Parties pledge, where possible, prior to the adoption of a work programme by the Meeting of the Parties, their expected annual or multiannual financial and in-kind contributions. Signatories, other interested States and organizations may wish to indicate their expected contributions as well;
- 2. *Requests* Parties, to contribute each year or to make multiannual contributions towards the costs of activities under the work programme, in accordance with the scheme referred to in paragraph 1;
- 3. *Invites* Signatories, other interested States and public entities, as well as the private sector, in accordance with the 2015 Guidelines on a Principle-based Approach to the Cooperation between the United Nations and the Business Sector,⁵ to contribute, in cash or in kind, towards covering the costs of the work programme;
- 4. *Calls upon* countries with economies in transition to finance to the extent possible their own participation in the activities;
- 5. *Calls upon* international organizations working in countries with economies in transition to support participation of representatives of these countries and non-governmental organizations in the meetings and other activities under the Protocol;
- 6. *Encourages* Parties that have historically contributed generously to maintain their previous levels of contribution;

⁴ In accordance with General Assembly resolution 73/271, which refers to 22 per cent for the period 2019–2021.

⁵ United Nations, Global Compact, "Guidelines on a Principle-based Approach to the Cooperation between the United Nations and the Business Sector", report, 2015 (revised). Available at www.unglobalcompact.org/library/3431 (accessed on 23 June 2021).

- 7. Also encourages Parties that have so far not contributed, or have contributed modestly, to increase their contributions during the current and future budget cycles so as to ensure the equitable distribution of the financial responsibility for implementation of the work programme, and requests the Bureau to liaise with such Parties where appropriate concerning the achievement of this goal;
- 8. Requests the secretariat, in accordance with the financial rules of the United Nations, to allocate in the Convention trust fund by 1 October of each year the sum required for the extension of the contracts of extrabudgetary staff of the secretariat for the upcoming year, as a priority, and also the costs needed for implementation of activities in the first quarter of the upcoming year;
- 9. Also requests the secretariat, in accordance with the financial rules of the United Nations, to monitor the expenditure of the funds and to prepare annual reports for review by the Working Group of the Parties in order to ensure that the level of contributions matches the level of funding needed for the implementation of the work programme;
- 10. Requests the Working Group of the Parties to consider, in the light of these annual reports, whether changes would be required to the content or time frame of the work programme, in the event that the level of actual and/or pledged contributions does not match the level of funding needed;
- 11. Further requests the secretariat to prepare a comprehensive financial report for each session of the Meeting of the Parties, including information on how much Parties and other participating States and organizations have contributed to the budget of the Protocol in cash and in kind, and on how the contributions were spent;
- 12. *Mandates* the Bureau and the Working Group of the Parties to explore in the next intersessional period options for more predictable, stable and equitably shared funding, and requests them to make the appropriate proposals for the consideration of the Meeting of the Parties at its fifth session:
- 13. Requests the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe to allocate more resources to support the work under the Convention and its Protocol, in view of the positive evaluation of the Environmental subprogramme during the review of the 2005 reform of the Commission,⁶ considering, inter alia, the balance in the use of regular budgetary resources in the different subprogrammes;
- 14. *Agrees* to review the operation of the scheme of financial arrangements at the fifth session of the Meeting of the Parties.

Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, 2013, Supplement No. 17 (E/2013/37-E/ECE/1464, annex III, paras. 7 and 8).

[Annex Indicative contributions for 2021

(Parties and Signatories) scale of assessment scale of assessment contributed for 20	Column A:	Column B:	Column C:	Column D:
Armenia 0.007 0.022 Austria 0.677 2.168 Belgium 0.821 2.630 Bosnia and Herzegovina 0.012 0.038 Bulgaria 0.046 0.147 Croatia 0.077 0.247 Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 0.1356 4.343		scale of assessment	scale of assessment	Amount to be contributed for 2021 (United States dollars)
Austria 0.677 2.168 Belgium 0.821 2.630 Bosnia and Herzegovina 0.012 0.038 Bulgaria 0.046 0.147 Croatia 0.077 0.247 Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.004 0.013 <	Albania	0.008	0.026	
Belgium 0.821 2.630 Bosnia and Herzegovina 0.012 0.038 Bulgaria 0.046 0.147 Croatia 0.077 0.247 Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Unione, d — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Armenia	0.007	0.022	
Bosnia and Herzegovina Bulgaria 0.012 0.038 Bulgaria 0.046 0.147 Croatia 0.077 0.247 Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, 4} Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 1.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Austria	0.677	2.168	
Bulgaria 0.046 0.147 Croatia 0.077 0.247 Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Belgium	0.821	2.630	
Croatia 0.077 0.247 Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{e, d} — — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.012	0.038	
Cyprus 0.036 0.115 Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Bulgaria	0.046	0.147	
Czechia 0.311 0.996 Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Croatia	0.077	0.247	
Denmark 0.554 1.774 Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Cyprus	0.036	0.115	
Estonia 0.039 0.125 European Union ^{c, d} — — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Czechia	0.311	0.996	
European Union ^{c. d} — — — — — — Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Denmark	0.554	1.774	
Finland 0.421 1.348 France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Estonia	0.039	0.125	
France 4.427 14.180 Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	European Union ^{c, d}	_	_	
Georgia 0.008 0.026 Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Finland	0.421	1.348	
Germany 6.090 19.506 Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	France	4.427	14.180	
Greece 0.366 1.172 Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Georgia	0.008	0.026	
Hungary 0.206 0.660 Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Germany	6.090	19.506	
Ireland 0.371 1.188 Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Greece	0.366	1.172	
Israel 0.490 1.569 Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Hungary	0.206	0.660	
Italy 3.307 10.592 Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Ireland	0.371	1.188	
Kazakhstan 0.178 0.570 Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Israel	0.490	1.569	
Latvia 0.047 0.151 Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Italy	3.307	10.592	
Lithuania 0.071 0.227 Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Kazakhstan	0.178	0.570	
Luxembourg 0.067 0.215 Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Latvia	0.047	0.151	
Malta 0.017 0.054 Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Lithuania	0.071	0.227	
Montenegro 0.004 0.013 Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Luxembourg	0.067	0.215	
Netherlands 1.356 4.343	Malta	0.017	0.054	
	Montenegro	0.004	0.013	
North Macedonia 0.007 0.022	Netherlands	1.356	4.343	
	North Macedonia	0.007	0.022	

Column A:	Column B:	Column C:	Column D:
Countries (Parties and Signatories)	United Nations scale of assessment (percentage) ^a	Adjusted United Nations scale of assessment (percentage) ^b	Amount to be contributed for 2021 (United States dollars)
Norway	0.754	2.415	
Poland	0.802	2.569	
Portugal	0.350	1.121	
Republic of Moldova	0.003	0.010	
Romania	0.198	0.634	
Serbia	0.028	0.090	
Slovakia	0.153	0.490	
Slovenia	0.076	0.243	
Spain	2.146	6.874	
Sweden	0.906	2.902	
Switzerland	1.151	3.687	
Tajikistan	0.004	0.013	
Ukraine	0.057	0.183	
United Kingdom	4.567	14.628	
Total	31.216	100	

^a Figures in column B are rounded and are based on the scale of assessments contained in General Assembly resolution 73/271, adopted on 22 December 2018.

^b The percentages from the United Nations scale of assessments have been adjusted for the Protocol by using a multiplier of 3.203 in order to arrive at a total of 100 per cent. Figures are rounded.

^c Subject to footnote d below on the contribution of the European Union, the figures in column D would be derived by multiplying the percentage value in column C by the annual estimated cost requirement of the work programme, as specified in the draft decision on the work programme 2022–2025 (ECE/MP.PRTR/...). The actual amounts for the contribution of each Party and Signatory between 2022 and 2025 will be calculated in due course, subject to the consideration and approval of the draft decision on the work programme for 2022–2025.

^d A percentage has not been assigned to the European Union, since the European Union is not included in the United Nations scale of assessments and therefore it is not possible to calculate the level of its contribution on the same basis as with the other Parties and Signatories (i.e. on the basis of the adapted United Nations scale of assessments). Pursuant to paragraph 2 of decision I/3 of the Meeting of the Parties, the European Union contribution to activities under the work programme not covered by the United Nations regular budget would account for 2.5 per cent of the total needed for core activities. This commitment is subject to the annual endorsement by the budgetary authorities of the European Union.]