

Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General 14 July 2021

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

Working Group on Strategies and Review

Fifty-ninth session

Geneva, 18-21 May 2021

Report of the Working Group on Strategies and Review on its fifty-ninth session

I. Introduction

1. The fifty-ninth session of the Working Group on Strategies and Review under the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution was held in Geneva on 18–21 May 2021. Due to the ongoing coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and related restrictions, the session was held in a hybrid mode, allowing for both remote and limited in-person attendance. The session was held partly without interpretation for budgetary and pandemic-related reasons, with a combination of four 2-hour slots with interpretation and four 2-hour slots without interpretation.

A. Attendance

- 2. The representatives of the following Parties to the Convention participated in the hybrid session: Albania, Armenia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, European Union, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, North Macedonia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America.
- 3. There was also participation by representatives from non-governmental organizations, academia and international research institutions, including: the European Environmental Bureau; the European Chemical Industry Council; the International Cryosphere Climate Initiative; the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis; NOVA University Lisbon; the University of Copenhagen; and the University of Lisbon.

B. Organizational matters

4. The meeting was chaired by Ms. Jennifer Kerr (Canada).





- 5. The Working Group on Strategies and Review adopted the agenda for its fifty-ninth session, as set out in documents ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/125 and ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/125/Add.1.1
- 6. A representative of the European Union urged the secretariat to find a constructive way to ensure sufficient meeting time and requested it to plan additional sessions of the Working Group in 2022 and 2023. The representative also appealed to task forces and expert groups to submit informal documents for future meetings as early as possible to allow for sufficient time for their consideration. The speaker expressed disagreement with the labelling of the session slots without interpretation as entirely official parts of the session and asked that the number of time slots with and without interpretation be clearly recorded in the meeting report. The speaker noted with regret that no corrigendum had been prepared in addition to the addendum to the provisional agenda of the session for the purpose of clarity.
- 7. The Working Group took note of the intervention by the representative of the European Union, including the request for a second meeting of the Working Group in 2022 and a second meeting in 2023, supported by other participants. The Working Group requested the secretariat to apply, as soon as possible, for such additional meeting slots to ensure more meeting time to enable the finalization of and appropriate follow-up to the review of the Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone (Gothenburg Protocol).
- 8. The secretariat pointed to resource implications related to the organization of additional meetings. It noted that it would aim to accommodate Parties' wishes in line with available resources and the optimal use of available meeting time. The secretariat also noted that it would provide Parties with information on the resources needed to organize these additional meetings. The representative of the European Union asked the secretariat to provide the costs of the current and additional meetings to the Bureaux of the Executive Body and the Working Group on Strategies and Review, so that the Chairs and Vice-Chairs, as representatives of Parties, could advance planning during the intersessional period. Representatives of Switzerland, the United States of America and Canada supported that request, noting the need to provide that information as quickly as possible to ensure timely provision of resources and to be mindful of the timeline of the review of the Gothenburg Protocol when deciding on the meeting dates.

II. Adoption of the report of the fifty-eighth session

9. The Working Group adopted the report on its fifty-eighth session (Geneva, 14, 15 and 17 December 2020), as set out in document ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/124.

III. Progress in the implementation of the 2020–2021 workplan

- 10. The Working Group took note of the report by the Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2021/2) on the progress made in carrying out the activities in the 2020–2021 workplan for the implementation of the Convention (ECE/EB.AIR/144/Add.2), as well as additional information presented by its Co-Chair, and expressed its appreciation to the Task Force for its work.
- 11. The Working Group took note of the report by the Task Force on Techno-economic Issues (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2021/1) on the progress made in carrying out the activities in the 2020–2021 workplan for the implementation of the Convention, as well as additional information presented by its Co-Chair, and expressed its appreciation to the Task Force for its work.
- 12. The Working Group discussed the draft guidance document on reduction of emissions from agricultural residue burning (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2021/5), prepared by the

All documentation for the meeting is available on the web page for the session (https://unece.org/environmental-policy/events/working-group-strategies-and-review-fifty-ninth-session).

Task Force on Techno-economic Issues in cooperation with the International Cryosphere Climate Initiative and the Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen, and forwarded it as revised during the session to the Executive Body for consideration at its forty-first session (Geneva, 6–10 December 2021).

- 13. The Working Group took note of the information presented by the Co-Chair of the Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling on the progress in carrying out the activities in the 2020–2021 workplan for the implementation of the Convention and expressed its appreciation to the Task Force for its work.
- 14. The Working Group discussed the draft document entitled "Prioritizing reductions of particulate matter from sources that are also significant sources of black carbon analysis and guidance" (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2021/8), prepared by the Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling in cooperation with the Task Force on Techno-economic Issues, and forwarded it as revised during the session to the Executive Body for consideration at its forty-first session. The Working Group welcomed the assessment report on ammonia (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2021/7 and informal document No. 1), prepared by the Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling in cooperation with the Task Force on Measurements and Modelling and the Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen, and forwarded it to the Executive Body for consideration at its forty-first session.
- 15. The Working Group took note of the information provided by the Vice-Chair of the Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution on the progress in carrying out relevant activities in the 2020–2021 workplan for the implementation of the Convention and expressed its appreciation to the Task Force for its work.
- 16. The Working Group recommended that:
- (a) The Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling, with the support of the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling, and the Task Force on Techno-economic Issues begin discussions on the development of air pollution control policy scenarios, including the impact of emission control strategies outside the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) region, for use in regional and global modelling, inter alia, by the Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution in exploring mitigation potential for ozone and particulate matter precursors;
- (b) The potential scenarios be assessed by the Steering Body to the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP) and the Working Group on Effects;
- (c) Further policy discussions and related recommendations on hemispheric transport of air pollution take place under the auspices of, and inform the review of, the Gothenburg Protocol.
- 17. The Working Group requested the Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution and the Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling to report on progress to the Working Group at its sixtieth session (Geneva, 11–14 April 2022) to inform its future policy discussions and enable it to make recommendations on priority emission sectors and regions for future modelling work at a later stage.

IV. Review of sufficiency and effectiveness of the Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone

- 18. The Working Group welcomed the work carried out by the Gothenburg Protocol review group and expressed its thanks to the group for its contribution. It also welcomed the inputs provided by subsidiary bodies to inform the review of the Protocol.
- 19. Representatives of the European Union, Canada and the United States of America noted the broad scope of the review and its focus on information-gathering following

paragraph 1 of Executive Body decision 2020/2.² The representative of the European Union drew the attention of the participants to its comments on the evaluation of mitigation measures called for in article 10 (3) and (4) of the Protocol, noting that the evaluation of mitigation measures for emissions of black carbon should go beyond the draft document entitled "Prioritizing reductions of particulate matter from sources that are also significant sources of black carbon - analysis and guidance". The representative of Switzerland suggested that the informal document containing the compilation of techno-scientific information provided by subsidiary bodies be kept for future reference, whereas a shorter official document with clear messages to Parties and policymakers be prepared as the report on the review. The Working Group took note of that information.

- 20. The Working Group agreed on the timeline for the review, including for requested inputs, noting that the European Union might need to provide its input after the deadline for submission of official pre-session documents for the sixtieth session of the Working Group, the provisional dates of which were announced at the current session.
- 21. The Working Group agreed to discuss barriers identified by Parties to meeting the 2020 emission reduction obligations (question 1.5 (e) in annex I to the preparatory document entitled "Preparations for the review of the Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone as amended in 2012" (ECE/EB.AIR/2020/3–ECE.EB.AIR/WG.5/2020/3)) during, or in conjunction with, its sixtieth session in order to provide input to the report on the review, and invited delegations to submit relevant information to the secretariat by 30 September 2021.
- 22. The Working Group agreed to discuss emission limit values, technical annexes and related guidance documents of the Protocol, with priority given to black carbon and ammonia measures (questions 1.5 (a)–(d) in annex I to the preparatory document) at its sixtieth session in order to provide input to the report on the review and invited delegations to submit relevant information to the secretariat by 30 September 2021.
- 23. The Working Group discussed the informal document entitled "Overview and review of flexibilities in the amended Gothenburg Protocol" prepared by the review group in accordance with question 6.1 in annex I to the preparatory document, and invited delegations of Parties and non-Parties to the Protocol to submit comments on the document to the secretariat by 15 July 2021. The Working Group agreed that an official document be prepared based on the submitted comments for consideration at its sixtieth session; the said document would also support preparations for the thematic session on barriers to ratification possibly to be held back-to-back with the sixtieth session of the Working Group. The Working Group discussed the option of holding the thematic session on barriers to ratification elsewhere than in Geneva and welcomed such an option, should any potential offers to host it be made by Parties.
- 24. The Working Group requested the Gothenburg Protocol review group to develop and circulate through the secretariat a questionnaire among Parties and non-Parties to answer the questions on flexibilities (question 6.1 in annex I to the preparatory document) and on barriers to implementing obligations in the technical annexes to the Protocol (questions 1.5: (a)–(e) to be answered by Parties, and (d) by non-Parties), responses to which, due on 30 September 2021, would be anonymized.
- 25. The Working Group took note of the information provided by the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling on synergies with other policy areas, in particular airclimate synergies (question 6.3 (b) in annex I to the preparatory document). The Working Group requested the Gothenburg Protocol review group, with the assistance of the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling, to prepare an informal document on synergies with other policy areas, in particular air-climate synergies, for consideration by the Working Group at its sixtieth session, and requested that the scope of pollutants covered include methane, black carbon and nitrogen and its compounds (question 6.3 (d)).

² All Executive Body decisions referred to in the present document are available at https://unece.org/decisions.

Available at https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/Item%204_Flexibilities%20in%20the%20amended%20Gothenburg%20Protocol.pdf.

- 26. The Working Group invited delegations to submit preliminary views on key articles of the Gothenburg Protocol as amended (questions 6.2 (a)) and (b) in annex I to the preparatory document) to the secretariat by 30 September 2021.
- 27. The Working Group agreed that the development of a guidance document on non-technical measures be included in the 2022–2023 workplan for the implementation of the Convention and invited delegations to provide comments on the informal document on non-technical and structural measures by 30 September 2021. The Working Group also invited delegations and task forces to provide views to the secretariat by 30 September 2021 on the need to update existing guidance documents or to develop new ones (question 6.4 in annex I to the preparatory document) and agreed that those documents could be included in the 2022–2023 workplan for the implementation of the Convention to be considered by the Executive Body at its forty-first session.
- 28. The Working Group requested the secretariat to send a coordinated call to national focal points for all the information on inputs requested, with clearly indicated deadlines for their submission. It further requested the secretariat to explore opportunities for holding two sessions of the Working Group in 2022 and 2023. It also requested the secretariat to move the already planned Working Group session from April 2022 to May or June 2022.

V. Draft 2022–2023 workplan

- 29. The Working Group agreed on the policy-related aspects and elements of the draft 2022–2023 workplan for the implementation of the Convention (see annex to the present report) and forwarded them to the Executive Body for consideration at its forty-first session.
- 30. The Working Group suggested to the EMEP Steering Body and the Working Group on Effects the inclusion of the following complementary items in the science part of the draft 2022–2023 workplan for the implementation of the Convention:
- (a) Development and design of regional and global emission scenarios to explore mitigation potential in comparison to the baseline, with a data set for use in Convention modelling tools as a deliverable;
- (b) Assessment and exploration of emission scenarios related to mitigation potential in comparison to the baseline, taking into account interactions at the regional and global scales, with assessment of scenarios for consideration by the Working Group on Strategies and Review as a deliverable.

VI. Reporting of the condensable part in emissions of particulate matter

- 31. The Chair of the Steering Body to EMEP informed participants about the progress in the scientific work on accounting for the condensable part in emissions of particulate matter in scientific assessments and the planned steps forward. Representatives of Switzerland and Norway noted the need to prioritize the use of reported national data for modelling and to invite national experts to compare their estimates with those obtained at the international level using a harmonized methodology, so that necessary improvements of national inventories could be made. A representative of the European Union urged EMEP and the ad hoc group of experts to step up the work on the science road map and to focus it on policy-relevant milestones. He suggested that definitions and metrics of relevance for emission inventories be a priority for the road map. He stressed the importance of closer coordination and communication between the scientific and policy sides of work. The Working Group took note of that information.
- 32. The Working Group agreed to continue the discussion on policy implications of reporting of the condensable part in particulate matter at its sixtieth session. It noted the need to coordinate the work on the science road map and policy implications and suggested that the ad hoc group of experts and the Steering Body to EMEP prepare a brief note to support discussions by the Working Group on Strategies and Review at its next session.

VII. Information-sharing by Parties on the implementation of the Convention

A. Good practices to strengthen the implementation of air pollutionrelated policies, strategies and measures

- 33. The Working Group took note of the information presented by Portugal, Slovenia and Sweden on their experiences, good practices and lessons learned regarding the implementation of the Convention and its Protocols,⁴ particularly in relation to residential wood burning.
- 34. The Working Group recalled that, in accordance with Executive Body decision 2016/3, the period for reporting the information referred to in article 5 (1) (a) of the Protocol on Further Reduction of Sulphur Emissions, article 7 (1) (a) of the Protocol on Heavy Metals, article 9 (1) (a) of the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants and article 7 (1) (a) of the Gothenburg Protocol was at least once every four years. The Working Group strongly encouraged the Parties that had not reported on the respective protocols to do so at its next session.

B. Implementation of commitments under the Batumi Action for Cleaner Air initiative

- 35. The representative of Canada informed participants about the progress in the implementation of 4 of its 17 commitments under the Batumi Action for Cleaner Air initiative, namely, those on reducing methane emissions in the upstream oil and gas sector, reducing volatile organic compound emissions in the downstream oil and gas sector, reducing carbon dioxide emissions from coal-fired generation of electricity regulations, and the introduction of a code of practice to reduce emissions of fine particulate matter from the aluminium sector. The representative of Switzerland informed participants of the country's intention to continue actions under its commitment to support and to facilitate the participation of experts from Central Asia and Azerbaijan in the work of the Convention. The representative of Georgia underscored the usefulness of the Batumi Action for Cleaner Air initiative for Georgia, as it had facilitated, among other things, the development of the national air quality monitoring system, promotion of clean modes of transport, and improvement of fuel quality. The Working Group took note of the presented information.
- 36. The Working Group noted the usefulness of the Batumi Action for Cleaner Air initiative as an instrument to support countries' efforts in improving air quality and recommended to the Executive Body to explore possibilities for continuing the initiative and extending it beyond 2021.
- 37. The Co-Chair of the Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen suggested that the progress in the implementation of national ammonia codes and other aspects of annex IX to the Gothenburg Protocol continue to be monitored by collecting information through a dedicated questionnaire. The Working Group took note of that proposal.

VIII. Broader geographic cooperation on air pollution

38. The Working Group welcomed the willingness of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to lead the work of the forum for international cooperation on air pollution. Representatives of Canada, the European Union, Norway, Switzerland and the United States of America shared their initial views on the proposal and questions set out by the lead countries. The Working Group invited delegations to submit comments on the proposal and questions by 25 June 2021 to the secretariat. It recommended

⁴ The presentations delivered at this and previous sessions, together with the templates with examples of good practices with respect to air pollution-related policies, strategies and measures submitted to the secretariat are available at https://unece.org/strategies-and-policies-abatement-air-pollution.

to the Executive Body that the forum be established as a task force reporting to the Working Group on Strategies and Review. The Working Group invited the lead countries to develop a draft mandate for the task force, taking into consideration, inter alia, the comments received from delegations, and to submit it for consideration by the Executive Body at its forty-first session.

IX. Amendments to the rules of procedure for sessions of the Executive Body

- 39. The Chair recalled the request of the Executive Body to the Working Group to discuss possible amendments to the rules of procedure⁵ and the proposals submitted by Parties following the invitation by the Executive Body. The representative of Canada noted that, in addition to identifying the scope of responsibilities of the Bureaux of the Executive Body and the Working Group on Strategies and Review and clarifying the roles of Bureaux members, as suggested in the submitted proposal, Canada would also like the rules of procedure6 to give guidance on the way in which virtual meetings were to be managed and on the procedure to follow in case not enough nominations were submitted for the election of chairs and vicechairs, including on the start and expiry of their terms of office. The representative of the European Union noted that its proposal for amending rules 17 and 28 was still relevant and that alternative ways of bringing clarity regarding the Bureaux responsibilities, rather than amending the rules of procedure, could be considered. She did not support the introduction of a formal principle of geographical representation for officers. Representatives of the United States of America and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland supported the proposal to reflect the changes in the working conditions of the Convention bodies during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and the respective lessons learned.
- 40. The Working Group recommended to the Executive Body that a comprehensive review of the rules of procedure be undertaken by an ad hoc group of experts and reviewed by the ad hoc legal group. It invited delegations to identify experts to be nominated for such a group of experts. The Working Group welcomed the initiative of Canada to develop a proposal detailing the process for the review of the rules of procedure for consideration by the Executive Body at its forty-first session.

X. Election of officers

- 41. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure, the Working Group elected Mr. Peter Meulepas (Belgium) and Ms. Donna Yates (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland) and re-elected Ms. Dominique Pritula (Canada) for a second term and Mr. Till Spranger (Germany) for a third term as Vice-Chairs of the Working Group.
- 42. The Working Group expressed its appreciation to Mr. Ivan Angelov (Bulgaria) for his service as Vice-Chair of the Working Group.

XI. Other business

- 43. The representative of the European Union informed participants of the Third European Union Clean Air Forum (Madrid, 18 and 19 November 2021), to be co-organized by the European Commission and Spain. The Working Group took note of that information.
- 44. The Working Group was presented with a proposal by the European Union for a decision on methodology to update tables 2 to 6 of annex II to the Gothenburg Protocol as amended to account for changes in the membership of the European Union and forwarded it to the Executive Body for consideration.

⁵ ECE/EB.AIR/144, para. 57.

⁶ Available at https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2016/AIR/Decision2010_9and2013_1.pdf.

45. The representative of the European Union informed the Working Group of ongoing discussions concerning the quantitative targets on pollution under the Convention on Biological Diversity and opportunities for cooperation. The Working Group took note of that information.

XII. Adoption of the conclusions and recommendations of the Working Group

46. The Working Group on Strategies and Review adopted the conclusions and recommendations agreed at its fifty-ninth session.

Annex

Policy-related aspects and elements of the draft 2022–2023 workplan for the implementation of the Convention

- 1. In line with the priorities set out in the long-term strategy for the Convention, the policy-related work in the period 2022–2023 will, inter alia, aim to support increased ratification and implementation of the three most recent Protocols as amended (i.e. the Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone (Gothenburg Protocol), the Protocol on Heavy Metals and the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants) throughout the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) region, with a particular emphasis on the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia. It will also continue to focus on the pollutants best controlled at the regional level and consider the need for further action to address emerging issues, including particulate matter and its precursors, black carbon as a component of particulate matter, tropospheric ozone and its precursors, eutrophying pollution with a focus on ammonia and, where still needed, acidifying pollution. An integrated approach to environmental policymaking will be sought that includes: interaction between ground-level ozone, nitrogen, climate change and ecosystems; integrated nitrogen management; and co-benefits and interactions between air pollution and climate change policies.
- 2. Policy work will also support the completion of the review of the Gothenburg Protocol as amended and consideration of the need for any future update of the Protocol. The Working Group on Strategies and Review is also expected to continue policy conversations on the hemispheric transport of ozone and particulate matter and their precursors, including a discussion of control strategies to recommend for use by the Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution in future scenarios. Specific policy-related activities in the 2022–2023 period are presented in the table below.

Workplan item	Activity description/objective	Expected outcome/deliverable	Lead body(ies)	Resource requirements and/or funding source		
2.1	Exchange of information, review of protocols and strategies and policies					
2.1.1	Exchange information on national, subregional and regional policies and strategies for the control of major air pollutants, in accordance with article 8 of the Convention and the Protocols to the Convention. Provide a platform for sharing information on progress and challenges faced by countries in acceding to and implementing the Convention's three most recent Protocols	(a) Information on strategies and policies for air pollution abatement throughout the ECE region, including information on measures shared at the sessions of the Working Group, following Executive Body decision 2016/3	Secretariat			
		(b) Recommendations to the Executive Body on strengthening the ratification of the Protocols	WGSR			
2.1.2	Review of the sufficiency and effectiveness of the Gothenburg Protocol as amended	(a) Input provided to support the review	WGSR, TFIAM, TFTEI, TFRN and scientific bodies			

(b) Consideration of the main

findings and conclusions of the

WGSR

Workplan item	Activity description/objective	Expected outcome/deliverable	Lead body(ies)	Resource requirements and/or funding source
		review of the amended Gothenburg Protocol; final report on the review submitted to the Executive Body		
		(c) Session on barriers to ratification and implementation	WGSR, EECCA Coordinating Group	
2.1.3	Consideration of the conclusions and implications for the way forward of the review of the amended Gothenburg Protocol	Recommendations to the Executive Body for next steps and further work	WGSR	
2.1.4	Policy discussions in follow-up to the Gothenburg Protocol review on reporting of condensable part in emissions of particulate matter	Recommendations provided to the Executive Body	WGSR	
2.1.5	Demonstrate the costs of inaction on air pollution to encourage ratification of the key Protocols to the Convention, in particular the Gothenburg Protocol.	The report for policymakers on the costs of inaction on air pollution submitted to the Executive Body for adoption	TFIAM, TFTEI	
2.1.6	Promotion of multiscale modelling for formulating effective measures and policies	Policy brief on multilevel governance	TFIAM	Funding needed
2.1.7	Discuss the implications of future global and regional emissions scenarios	(a) Based on scientific work in point 1.X.Y., identify priority emission sectors and regions outside the Convention that have a significant potential to have an impact on the achievement of the Convention's goals	WGSR, TFHTAP, TFIAM, CIAM	
		(b) Make recommendations to the EB	WGSR	
2.1.8	Activities to support broader geographic cooperation on air pollution	(a) Carry out activities specified in the mandate	TFFICAP [pending EB decision]	In-kind contributions by participating
		(b) Develop a website		countries
		(c) Hold first meeting as appropriate and resources permit.]		In-kind contributions by participating countries
				In-kind contributions by participating countries
2.1.9	Review of the rules of procedure for the Convention and discussion on possible amendments	Recommendations provided to the Executive Body	WGSR	

Workplan item	Activity description/objective	Expected outcome/deliverable	Lead body(ies)	Resource requirements and/or funding source		
2.2	Development and promotion of guidance documents					
2.2.1	Analysis of the interactions between emissions of methane and ammonia, and other nitrogen compounds, and the potential for their co-mitigation from agricultural sources	Guidance document and policy brief	TFRN in cooperation with other bodies	€50,000, subject to co-funding		
2.2.2	Promotion of the Guidance document on integrated sustainable nitrogen management	Workshops with further analysis	TFRN	€50,000, subject to co-funding		
2.2.3	Development of a Guidance document on non-technical and structural measures	Draft guidance document submitted for adoption by the Executive Body at its forty- third session	TFIAM, TFRN, TFTEI	In-kind contributions by participating countries		
2.2.4	Promotion of guidance documents, including those recently adopted	Explore opportunities to promote guidance documents, including those recently adopted within and outside ECE	TFRN, TFTEI, TFIAM			

Abbreviations: CIAM, Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling; EB, Executive Body; EECCA Coordinating Group, Coordinating group on the promotion of actions towards implementation of the Convention in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia; TFFICAP, Task Force for the Forum for International Cooperation on Air Pollution; TFIAM, Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling; TFHTAP, Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution; TFRN, Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen; TFTEI, Task Force on Techno-economic Issues; WGSR, Working Group on Strategies and Review.

11