

Informal document GRVA-09-33
9th GRVA, 1-5 February 2021
Agenda item 4(d)

ALKS Implementation

Douglas Hannah Head of Automated Vehicle Technologies

Considerations from UK implementation of R 157- ALKS

Background

- UK Government's Centre for Connected and Autonomous Vehicles published a 'Call for Evidence' on the Safe
 Use of ALKS (<u>www.gov.uk/government/consultations/safe-use-of-automated-lane-keeping-system-on-gb-motorways-call-for-evidence</u>)
- Included questions regarding the ability of ALKS to comply with certain road traffic rules.
- Received a mixture of responses (including those from safety organisations and vehicle manufactures)

UK road traffic rules

Highway Code lists the mandatory legal requirements ('must / must not') and advisory rules ('should / should not') - www.gov.uk/guidance/the-highway-code



Considerations from UK implementation of R 157- ALKS

Examples of UK traffic rules

A driver must comply with the direction of an enforcement officer

(Rule 106, 107 & 108)

Red X - You must not proceed further in this lane

(Rule 258)

 If you are involved in a collision which causes damage or injury to any other person, vehicle, animal or property, you must stop

(Rule 268)



Not all enforcement vehicles have sirens and blue flashing lights so will the vehicle respond to them?



A legal requirements so can they be detected and understood?





Will this collision be a detectable collision?



Considerations from UK implementation of R 157-ALKS

Local traffic rules

- Should knowledge should be shared on local traffic rules?
- What is the best way to do this?

Detectable collisions

- Is there a disparity with what is considered a detectable collision?
- Is there a need to specify what is a collision?

