Proposal for a Supplement to UN Regulation No. [155]  
(Cyber Security)

I. Proposal

*Paragraph 5.3.5., amend to read:*

“5.3.5. If it is not possible for the granting Approval Authority to take into account the comments received in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4., the Approval Authorities having sent comments and the granting Approval Authority shall seek further clarification in accordance with Schedule 6 to the 1958 Agreement. The relevant subsidiary Working Party[[1]](#footnote-2) of the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29) for this Regulation shall agree on a common interpretation of methods and criteria of assessment.[[2]](#footnote-3) That common interpretation shall be implemented and all Approval Authorities shall issue type approvals under this Regulation accordingly. **Until such common interpretation is reached, granting the approval in question shall be postponed.**”

**II. Justification**

There is an issue in paragraph 5.3.5., which may need clarification on what the granting Approval Authority should do, if it is not possible for that Authority to take into account the comments received in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4. Should it continue processing with granting the approval or postpone granting? The proposal is to clarify that the Approval Authority should postpone granting the approval until the agreement on the common interpretation of the questioned issue is reached.

1. The Working Party on Automated/Autonomous and Connected Vehicles (GRVA) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. This interpretation shall be reflected in the interpretation document referred to in the footnote to paragraph 5.3.3. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)