

Proposal for amendments to ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2019/120

I. Proposal

Paragraph 6.3.3.(g), amend to read:

“(g) Excessive ~~visual~~ **visible** smoke emission;

Paragraph 6.4.2.4.(s), amend to read:

“(g) ~~Visual~~ **Visible** smoke emission, or unobtrusive drive-by emissions measurement;

Paragraph 6.5.3.(a), amend to read:

“(a) Vehicles identified as gross polluters by means of remote sensing techniques, or emitting excessive ~~visual~~ **visible** smoke;

II. Justification

IWG on PTI discussed informal document WP.29-180-06 submitted by GRPE. The document contains draft amendments to the document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2019/120. It proposes to add soot deposit, as an evidence of defects, which could pose a threat to the environment.

The IWG on PTI view is that whereas the soot in the exhaust pipe is an undeniable symptom that something has been wrong about the particle trap, it may be the case where the vehicle could have been fixed but the exhaust pipe not cleaned.

Altogether, the IWG on PTI prefers not having soot deposit, as an evidence of defects, which could pose a threat to the environment and add it to R.E. 6, because there is not a certainty that the problem is still there, and the target of the inspection is to assess the vehicle in the very moment of the assessment, not before.

The IWG on PTI could recommend WP.29 to submit ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2019/120 to AC.4 for voting during the 182 session of WP.29 having in mind the draft amendments contained in this document.
