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1. The GMSP draft 2

- Draft 1 developed in 2006
- Draft 1 provided to UNECE WG members begin 2008
- Draft 2 takes into consideration new legal framework
2. Scope of the GMSP draft 2

- Non-food area
- Basically New Approach oriented but Old Approach and non-harmonized area are included, but not assessed
- LVD has been taken as an example
3. Structure of the GMSP

3 phases, each MS phase has sub-procedures
3. Structure of the GMSP

The overall procedure (all 3 phases, sections 0-14)
3. Structure of the GMSP

Section (5): definition of technical legislation, harmonised standards, ERs, compliance criteria, sampling, test plan (Phase 1)
3. Structure of the GMSP

Section (7): Execution of MS activity, administrative tasks, inspection, testing including in-situ sampling (Phase 2-1)
3. Structure of the GMSP

Section (7): Execution of MS activity, administrative tasks, inspection, testing including in-situ sampling (Phase 2-2)
3. Structure of the GMSP

Next pages

✔ Explanations to the sections in the flow charts
3. Structure of the GMSP

Annex 1: List of sub-procedures

10 Sub-procedures were identified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sub-procedure</th>
<th>Template reference</th>
<th>Remarks – Template availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPC: sub-procedure Methodological guide for notifications regarding dangerous products</td>
<td>Appendix A: Contact information for respective government inspecorates (MSAs) Appendix B: Safety notification form (to be performed by Economic Operators) Appendix C: Risk Assessment Appendix D: checklist corrective actions, for Economic Operators Appendix E: notification form for dangerous products to be used by other MSAs and to be sent to the SPC Appendix F: standard list of product types Appendix G: Standard list of risks (GFSD)</td>
<td>acc.europa.eu/consumers/cosi_sifie/prod_safeguard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Notification procedure according to Art. 9 of LVD (safeguard clause)</td>
<td>Version 1.3 Oct 1006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MS information systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General MS test plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sampling procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Procurement procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Requirements for and follow-up of CABs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Communications, PR and visibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Reporting of MSAs to national/Regional authorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Market Surveillance and Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Structure of the GMSP

Templates

✔ in development: not included in current draft
4. Further development of the GMSP

Questionnaires

✔ To get more data/opinions from authorities, stakeholders:
  ✔ Questionnaire 1
  ✔ Questionnaire 2
5. Research questions

Research question 1

If a heterogeneous mass of products on the market (x) is considered, and if a limited sampling plan is used, how sure are we that these MS actions are appropriate?

Issues:
- ISO 2859-1 statistical standard cannot be used directly as it was developed for 1 production run (homogeneous product mass)
- If ISO 2859-1 is still used the number of samples, hence the costs become excessive high

Possible deliverables:
- Definition of the type of distribution for (x) independent random variables
- Characteristics of the distribution (mean, stand.deviation,..)
- Probability curves depending number of samples
5. Research questions

Research question 2

Considering the same distribution as described in research question 1, how can we model the effects of:
- Risk assessment
- Measurement uncertainty
- Sampling schemes
- Visibility and its effect on MS effectiveness

Issues:
- Interrelation between above parameters has not been studied

Possible deliverables:
- Advanced model: possible to simulate what-if scenarios (cost of MS action)
6. Impact model

\[
\text{IMPACT} = \frac{\% \text{ non-complying action 1}}{\% \text{ non-complying action 2}}
\]

- Total relevant products on market
- Delay (e.g. 1 year)
- Sampling 1
- Assessment plan 1
- PR Amplifying effects
- Action 1 % non-complying costs
- Sampling 2
- Assessment plan 2
- PR Amplifying effects
- Action 2 % non-complying costs
7. Preliminary conclusions and way forward

- Current draft has been assessed by 1 authority only
- Open questions how sampling is done (ISO 2859-1 parameters need to be defined or other sampling methods needs to be developed: complete new area!
- Authorities hesitating to provide data for questionnaires

Possible way forward

1. consortium for development GMSP (user group)
   which sectors?
2. Research project 1: new sampling procedure
3. Research project 2: advanced MS model
   multidisciplinary approach(authorities, industry, CABs, academia)