ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION

Timber Committee

European Forestry Commission

Joint FAO/UNECE Working Party on Forest Economics and Statistics

Thirty-second session Geneva, 24-25 March 2010 Agenda item 2 of the provisional agenda

Guidance on Work Area 3: Forest Sector Outlook Studies

AGENDA ITEM 2.4 – Follow-up on the workshop "Estimating Potential Sustainable Wood Supply": Good Practice Guidance on PSWS

Note by the secretariat

Summary

Through this background note, Working Party members are being informed on work under the UNECE/FAO timber and forestry programme on assessment of Potential Sustainable Wood Supply in Europe. This work is linked to the Working Party's "Work Area 3: outlook studies". This note provides good practice guidance on estimating potential sustainable wood supply and has been developed as a follow-up to the PSWS workshop, held in Geneva on 30 March 2009. The guidance is at the disposal of countries on a voluntary basis in order to ease preparation of future PSWS studies. The Working Party is kindly asked to review the document and provide suggestions on how to improve it.

I. Background

- 1. The question of how much wood is available on a sustainable basis for energy and industrial raw material has become urgent in the light of the ambitious targets for biomass energy being adopted at national and European level. In March 2009, the UNECE/FAO Timber Section held a workshop on Estimating Potential Sustainable Wood Supply (PSWS) which brought together recent work and to propose an overall assessment of potential sustainable wood supply. The workshop identified considerable differences among national approaches towards the assessment of PSWS and thus acknowledged difficulties in constructing a regional picture. The variation in reporting is a significant drawback as many of the policy instruments are designed at the European level. As a follow-up to the workshop, good practice principles for PSWS studies were developed in order to harmonize future national PSWS studies.
- 2. Furthermore, a linked workshop on "Strategies to increase wood mobilisation on a sustainable basis" was co-organized by UNECE/FAO in June 2009 in Grenoble, France. This is discussed in paper 2.1

II. Good practice principles for PSWS studies

- 3. This note provides guidance for the estimation of wood supply potential in Europe to be used on a national level. The guidance is at the disposal of countries on a voluntary basis in order to facilitate preparation of future PSWS studies. It is suggested that national PSWS studies are orientated on the following seven principles.
 - Cover and define all elements of wood supply;
 - Present study results in harmonized way;
 - Separately identify potential constraint screens of woody biomass;
 - Identify the sources of uncertainty concerning PSWS estimates;
 - Apply different wood supply and demand scenarios;
 - Coordinate data research;
 - Make estimates which are consistent with all dimensions of sustainable development.

A. Cover and define all elements of wood supply

- 4. PSWS studies should cover all elements of wood supply: forest (stem, above and below ground biomass, harvest residues), trees outside the forest, expansion of forest area, co-products from industry and recovered wood (see Table 1).
- When it is difficult to cover one of these make it clear that the estimates are only partial;
- Estimates should be provided, if sound data for a source is difficult to calculate;
- Imports are another source of wood supply and need to be included into PSWS studies.

Table 1: Overview on elements of wood supply

| Domestic | Forest | Stem wood | (cbm) |
|----------|---|--|-------|
| | | Bark | (cbm) |
| | | Other woody biomass | (cbm) |
| | | Harvesting residues | (cbm) |
| | Expansion of forest area / short-rotation plantations | | (cbm) |
| | Wood supply out- | Other wooded land | (cbm) |
| | side the forest | Trees / woody biomass outside the forest | (cbm) |
| | | Wood fiber from agriculture | (cbm) |
| | | Industry co-products / residues | (cbm) |
| | | Post-consumer recovered wood | (cbm) |
| Imports | Imports | | (cbm) |
| Sum | | | (cbm) |

B. Present study results in a harmonized way

- 5. It is necessary to use existing international terms and definitions, concepts and units to allow regional comparisons. It is suggested to use the following key reporting variables and their definitions and units:
- Indicate reference period: year or range of years for which estimates are expected;
- When reporting figures in cubic meters (cbm) please use solid wood equivalent under bark¹;
- Provide results in the standard unit cbm or oven-dry tonne, i.e. avoid using different units for different types of supply, so that national and international aggregations are possible;
- Provide a conversion from national data to an agreed international classification of categories of wood supply, for example that used in the PSWS study by UNECE/FAO²;
- Specify conversion factors from oven-dry metric tons (ODMT) to cbm;
- Indicate oven-dry tonnes for each biomass component (stem wood, bark, branches, foliage, stumps and roots) where applicable;
- Be specific about how estimates were made and transmit national expansion and conversion factors. When estimates are required, be specific about how they were made and about type of wood to be supplied (stem, chips, clean, contaminated etc.);
- If there is no national default value, use 0.35 ODMT per cbm (IPCC³ default value) as expansion factor for other above-ground biomass (branches, twigs);
- If there is no national default value, use 0.30 ODMT per cbm (IPCC default value) as expansion factor for below-ground biomass (roots, stumps).

C. Separately identify potential constraint screens of woody biomass

- 6. The total woody biomass potential can be defined as the overall supply potential from forest and non-forest sources in a country (all woody biomass components and their net annual increment, respectively the annual amount of co-products and waste). This maximum supply potential states only a theoretical figure. It is important to consider different constraint screens of wood supply and to clearly state what assumptions underlie each in order to estimate the amount of woody biomass which is actually available.
- 7. Taking account of several research studies⁴, the following approach helps to identify whether the calculated supply potential is truly sustainable, from all points of view (economic, social, environmental)⁵:
- Theoretical potential (TP): Define the theoretical woody biomass potential from forest, wood sources outside the forest, forest expansion, agriculture via the respective Net Annual Increment (NAI) and taking account of the age of forest stands and their harvest maturity, as well as the woody biomass potential from co-products and waste.
- *Bio-technical constraint screen*: Subtract biomass from TP which can not be utilised from a bio-technical viewpoint. These relate to biological (i.e. site conditions, near-natural silviculture and biodiversity needs, soil and water protection) and technical (i.e. topography, site conditions, forest machinery) constraints, as well as to national forest law restrictions. The resulting figure is the bio-technically available biomass (CS1).

¹ If reported figures refer to growing stock, please provide data o.b. (over bark)

² http://www.unece.org/timber/docs/tc-sessions/tc-66/pd-docs/Paper_PotentialWoodSupply_v18Oct.pdf

³ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

⁴ BAFU (2009): Wood Utilization Potential in the Swiss Forest; Schadauer, K. (2009): HOBI - ein umfassender Ansatz zum künftigen Holz- und Biomassenaufkommen. BFW-Praxisinformation 18, 3 – 4; Asikainen (2009): Constraints of Energy Wood Potentials / State of the Art report; Draft EU Wood interim report (unpublished)

⁵ The categorization into three constraint screens is not definite as some of the constraints can refer to more than one category.

- Social constraint screen: Subtract the amount of biomass from CS1 which can not be utilised due to social limitations. These limitations relate to recreation forests, protective function needs, supply from private forests according to different mobilization measures, CO₂ sink services and the skill level of the forest sector workforce. The resulting figure is the socially available biomass (CS2). If exact data do not exist, please provide estimates.
- *Economic constraint screen:* Subtract the amount of biomass from CS2 which is not utilised due to economic constraints. These relate to harvest operations where income would be lower than costs and to a lack of forest infrastructure, e.g. in mountainous or remote regions. The resulting figure is the economically available biomass (CS3).
- The assumptions which underlie the maximum supply potential of a particular constraint screen should be developed by countries on the basis of their national circumstances.

Calculation example on a national basis:

- The (NAI) in a country is 10 million. m3 of wood. The bio-technically available biomass is 8 million. m3, as 2 million. m3 of the NAI can not be fully used due to biological and technical limitations. The biological constraints amount to 1 million. m3, consisting of unfavourable site conditions (0.2 million. m3), near-natural silviculture needs (0.1 million. m3), biodiversity needs (0.5 million m3), soil and water protection needs (0.1 million m3) and national forest law restrictions (0.1 million m3). There is 1 million m3 of technical limitations as well.
- The socially available biomass is 7 million m3, as 1 million m3 of the bio-technically available biomass cannot be utilised. These social constraints consist of recreation forests needs (0.1 million m3), protective function needs (0.1 million. m3), forest owners' unwillingness to harvest (0.7 million m3), CO2 sink services (0.05 million m3) and lack of skills of forest sector workforce (0.05 million m3).
- The economically available and thus actually available supply potential is 6 Mio. m3 of wood. The economic wood supply restrictions amount to 1 million m3 resulting from negative contribution margins of timber harvest (0.7 million m3) and from high transport costs (0.3 million m3).
- Note: The following example calculation approach may be considered to estimate the amount of wood which can be supplied under given economic conditions (i.e. wood prices and supply costs): If the lowest supply of a specific wood type refers to 10 USD per m3 and the highest to 60 USD per m3, then the actual supply level at a wood price of 40 USD is 60%
- In the same year, additional 30 million m3 of co-products and waste is being re-collected, traded or processed within the country. This potential needs to be converted and added to the really available supply potential. The suggested conversion factor for co-products and waste is as follows: 1 toe equals 1.6 m3 of round wood.

The resulting figure is the potential sustainable wood supply PSWS:

 $PSWS = TP - CS1 - CS2 - CS3 + industry \ co-products \ / \ residues + post-consumer \ wood \\ + imports$

D. Identify the sources of uncertainty concerning PSWS estimates

8. Policies need to be based on sound estimates of potential future wood supply. Therefore it is important to know the sources and extent of uncertainty in PSWS studies. It is suggested to:

- Indicate the sources and amount of uncertainty concerning estimates of the amount that maybe sustainable;
- Include unused stem wood (harvest residue of stem wood) in the statistics;
- Consider unregistered fellings, i.e. in private forests, in order to ensure sustainable forest management and to develop a sound wood resource balance.

E. Apply different wood supply and demand scenarios

- 9. In order to ensure sustainable forest management under shifting ecological and socioeconomic conditions it is necessary to apply different wood supply and demand scenarios. It is suggested to:
- Report two basic future scenarios: "Business as usual" and "Highest sustainable supply";
- Report the expected future development of national wood supply;
- Specify age class distributions and diameter class distributions by tree species to ease assessment of environmental sustainability;
- Specify growing stock and both gross and net annual increment.

F. Coordinate data research

- 10. Those responsible for studies of wood supply are often from national forest inventory background. It is suggested to reach out to expertise in other bodies and disciplines to make the best use of all available information:
- Coordinate data research with experts in other bodies and disciplines and in the industry;
- Obtain data on wood supply from industry residues and -co-products and post-consumer wood from forest-based or pulp and paper companies;
- Use different types of enquiries and information sources to cross-check data.

G. Make estimates which are consistent with all dimensions of sustainable development

11. PSWS estimates need to be in line with the principles described above in order to compile a comprehensive and correct estimate of PSWS on a national and regional level, and to allow sound policy making. Moreover PSWS studies should be consistent with all dimensions of sustainable development, i.e. income, employment, ecological functions and climate change.

III. Spreadsheet framework for each country to use in estimating

12. A spreadsheet template may be used for national PSWS studies. The excel file is at the disposal of correspondents and can be obtained on the UNECE/FAO website under: http://timber.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/meetings/20100324/2-4-psws-spreadsheet.xls

| Theoretical biomass potential from sternwood Constraint screens (sum) Constraint screens (sum) Constraint screens (sum) Constraint screens (sum) Constraint screens | Country: Albania | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|----------|----------|--|--|
| Constraint screens (sum) 0 | | | | | | | |
| Stemwood from FAWS* (above national threshold) Stemwood from FAWS* (above national threshold) Stemwood from FAWS* (above national threshold) Theoretical above-ground biomass other than stemwood Oncertaint screen On | | | l ' | | | | |
| (above national threshold) Social constraint screen | | | | 0 | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS FROM THE FOREST THAT STEMPOOD THE FOREST THE FOREST THAT STEMPOOD THAT STEMP | | Stemwood from FAWS* | Bio-technical constraint screen | | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS FROM THE FOREST THE | | (above national threshold) | Social constraint screen | | 1,000 m3 | | |
| Theoretical above-ground biomass other than sternwood THE FOREST Theoretical biomass other than sternwood THE FOREST Theoretical biomass other than sternwood Theoretical biomass potential Theoretical biomass other than sternwood Theoretical biomass potential Theoretical biomass Theoretica | | | Economic constraint screen | | | | |
| Above-ground biomass other than stemwood than stemwood and the provided biomass of the provided biomass biomass potential constraint screen and provided biomass biomass potential constraint screen and provided biomass biomass potential constraint screen and provided biomass biomass from the forest and provided biomass biomass from the forest and provided biomass from an analysis biomass from an analysis biomass from an analysis biomass from an analysis biomass from an analysis biomass from an analysis biomass from biomas | | | Available biomass from stemwood | 0 | | | |
| Theoretical biomass potential from OWL Constraint screen | | | Theoretical above-ground biomass other than stemwood | | | | |
| Theoretical biomass potential from OWL Constraint screen | | | Constraint screens (sum) | 0 | | | |
| THE FOREST than stemwood Social constraint screen 1,000 m3 | WOODY BIOMASS FROM | | Bio-technical constraint screen | | | | |
| Economic constraint screen Available blow-ground blomass other than stemwood O Theoretical below-ground blomass potential O O O O O O O O O | | | | | | | |
| Available above-ground biomass other than sternwood 0 Theoretical below-ground biomass potential Constraint screen Sum Dio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Available biomass potential Constraint screen Available biomass Constraint screen C | | | Economic constraint screen | | | | |
| Below-ground biomass from the forest Below-ground biomass from the forest Below-ground biomass from the ground biomass from the ground biomass from grou | | | | 0 | | | |
| Below-ground biomass Below-ground biomass Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Available below-ground biomass Total available biomass from the forest WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest Trees outside forest Trees outside forest Bio-technical constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Dio-technical constraint screen Economic constraint screen Dio-technical constraint screen Economic constraint screen Dio-technical constraint screen Dio-technical constraint screen Dio-technical constraint screen Economic constraint screen Dio-technical c | | | · | | | | |
| Below-ground biomass Biot-echnical constraint screen Social constraint | | | | 0 | | | |
| Below-ground blomass Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available below-ground blomass O | | | | - J | | | |
| Economic constraint screen | | Below-ground biomass | | | | | |
| Available below-ground biomass from the forest 0 | | | | | | | |
| Theoretical biomass potential from OWL Constraint screen (sum) Other wooded land Other wooded land Other wooded land Biot-ethnical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Constrain | | | | 0 | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations/ Afforestation Afforestation Agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Foral available biomass from agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Total available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Available biomass from outside the forest Total available biomass from streen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constra | | | • | | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest FOREST EXPANSION Agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Total available biomass from agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Theoretical biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen In,000 m3 In,000 | | | Total available biomass from the forest | 0 | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest FOREST EXPANSION Agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Total available biomass from agriculture Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Theoretical biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen In,000 m3 In,000 | | | | | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest Total available biomass from outside forests Total available biomass from outside forests Total available biomass from outside forest Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from ag | | Other wooded land | | | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Leonomic constraint screen Social constraint screen Leonomic constraint | | | ` ' | 0 | | | |
| WOODY BIOMASS OUTSIDE THE FOREST OUTSIDE THE FOREST Trees outside forest Total available biomass from trees outside the forest Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Trees outside forest Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from co-products and waste Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Available biomass from co-products and waste Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Bio- | | | | | | | |
| Available biomass from other wooded land 0 1,000 m3 | | | Social constraint screen | | | | |
| Trees outside forest Constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Available biomass from trees outside forests Total available biomass from outside the forest Total available biomass from SRP / afforestation Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from forest expansion Total available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass f | | | Economic constraint screen | | | | |
| Trees outside forest Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint | | | Available biomass from other wooded land | 0 | 1,000 m3 | | |
| Trees outside forest Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Available biomass from outside the forest Total available biomass from outside the forest Theoretical biomass potential from SRP / afforestation Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from SRP / afforestation Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen | | Trees outside forest | | | | | |
| FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations/ Afforestation Forest expansion Afforestation Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Total available biomass from from serven (Sum) Forest expansion AGRICULTURE Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from co-products and waste Constraint screen Social constraint screen Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Total available biomass from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) | | | Constraint screens (sum) | 0 | | | |
| FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations / Afforestation Afforestation Forest expansion Addiable biomass potential from SRP / afforestation Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | Bio-technical constraint screen | | | | |
| Available biomass from trees outside forests 0 | | | Social constraint screen | | | | |
| Total available biomass from outside the forest 0 Theoretical biomass potential from SRP / afforestation | | | Economic constraint screen | | | | |
| FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations / Afforestation Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic sonstraint screen Economic sonstraint screen Economic constraint screen Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Total available biomass from agriculture 1,000 m3 | | | Available biomass from trees outside forests | 0 | | | |
| FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations / Afforestation Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic sonstraint screen Economic sonstraint screen Economic constraint screen Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Total available biomass from agriculture 1,000 m3 | | | Total available biomass from outside the forest | . 0 | | | |
| FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations / Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Available biomass from spread available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen (sum) Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Bio-technical constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| FOREST EXPANSION Short Rotation Plantations / Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Afforestation Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Available biomass from spread available biomass from agriculture Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen (sum) Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Bio-technical constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screen (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen | | | Theoretical biomass potential from SRP / afforestation | | 1,000 m3 | | |
| Short Rotation Plantations / Afforestation Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from SRP / afforestation O | FOREST EXPANSION | | | 0 | | | |
| Afforestation Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from SRP / afforestation 0 Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screens (sum) 0 | | | | - U | | | |
| Economic constraint screen Available biomass from SRP / afforestation Total available biomass from forest expansion Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| AGRICULTURE Theoretical biomass from SRP / afforestation 0 | | | | | | | |
| Total available biomass from forest expansion 0 Theoretical biomass potential from agriculture Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture 0 Total available biomass from agriculture 0 Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | 0 | | | |
| AGRICULTURE Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from agriculture Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| AGRICULTURE Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Fruit trees, olives | Total available biomass from forest expansion 0 | | | | | | |
| AGRICULTURE Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Fruit trees, olives | | ı | The continual bis access to a stantial forms a point to access | | | | |
| AGRICULTURE Fruit trees, olives and vineyards Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | AGRICULTURE | · | | <u> </u> | | | |
| AGRICULTURE and vineyards Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Available biomass from agriculture 0 Total available biomass from agriculture 0 Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste 0 1,000 m3 Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) 0 Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | U | | | |
| Total available biomass from agriculture CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Consumer wood Consumer wood Consumer wood Constraint screen Economic constraint screen I,000 m3 I,000 m3 I,000 m3 | | | | | | | |
| Available biomass from agriculture Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste Constraint soreens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | 1,000 m3 | | |
| Total available biomass from agriculture 0 Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste 0 1,000 m3 Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | |
| Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste 0 1,000 m3 Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | Total available biomass from agriculture | 0 | | | |
| CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Chips, residues, post-consumer wood Theoretical biomass potential** from co-products and waste Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post- consumer wood Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | Total available biomass from all sources excl. Co-products and waste 0 | | | | | | |
| CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post- consumer wood Constraint screens (sum) Bio-technical constraint screen Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| CO-PRODUCTS AND WASTE Chips, residues, post- consumer wood Chips, residues, post- Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| WASTE consumer wood Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | 0 | 1,000 t | | |
| WASTE Consumer wood Social constraint screen Economic constraint screen | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Available biomass from co-products and waste 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Available biomass from co-products and waste | 0 | | | |

IV. References

- Asikainen, A. (2009): Constraints of Energy Wood Potentials / State of the Art report; Draft EU Wood interim report (unpublished draft)
- BAFU (2009): Wood Utilization Potential in the Swiss Forest; as submitted to the 30th session of the Joint FAO/UNECE Working Party on Forest Economics and Statistics, UNECE/FAO Timber Section, Geneva
- Country Statements, as submitted to the 30th session of the Joint FAO/UNECE Working Party on Forest Economics and Statistics, UNECE/FAO Timber Section, Geneva, 2008
- Mannsberger, G. (2009): HOBI-Studie: Zusammenschau und Schlussfolgerungen. BFW-Praxisinformation 18, 20 21
- Schadauer, K. (2009): HOBI ein umfassender Ansatz zum künftigen Holz- und Biomassenaufkommen. BFW-Praxisinformation 18, 3 4
- Hetsch, S. (2008): Potential Sustainable Wood Supply in Europe, UNECE/FAO Timber Section, Geneva.
- Mantau, U., Steierer F., Hetsch S., Prins Ch. (2008): Wood resources availability and demands Part I National and regional wood resource balances 2005; Background paper to the UNECE/FAO Workshop on Wood balances, Geneva.
- MCPFE/UNECE/FAO (2007): State of Europe's forests 2007. The SOEF 2007 on sustainable forest management in Europe. Warsaw, Poland.
- UNECE (2008): Wood resources availability and demands II future wood flows in the forest and energy sector. European countries in 2010 and 2020. Background paper to the UNECE/FAO Workshop on Wood balances, Geneva.
- UNECE/FAO (2005): European Forest Sector Outlook Study. Main Report. Geneva Timber and Forest Study Paper 20. ECE/TIM/SP/20. Geneva, Switzerland.