

Constructing a Human Development Index for Hungarian microregions

Possibilities and alternatives

Máté Farkas

Mate.Farkas@ksh.hu

Hungarian Central Statistical Office, Living Conditions Department

UNECE Seminar on the Role of National Statistical Offices in the
production of Leading, Composite and Sentiment Indicators

Geneva, 8–10 December 2015



**HUNGARIAN
CENTRAL
STATISTICAL
OFFICE** 


Contents of the presentation

- changing discourses on development and well-being in the XX. century
- the problematization of „human development”
- methodological and statistical problems of HDI
- constructing a modified HDI for microregions of Hungary

Antecedents

- new *concepts* in social sciences in the XX. century – quality of life, well-being, sustainability, competitiveness, development, basic needs, level of living etc. → they need to be measured somehow...
- changing concepts and methodological innovations of measuring these phenomenas
- growing number of available indicators, „data revolution”
- growth versus development – „developmentalism”, and unexpected outcomes


Figure 1: Growth in the number of indices



Source: Bandura (2008)




HUNGARIAN
CENTRAL
STATISTICAL
OFFICE






HUNGARIAN
CENTRAL
STATISTICAL
OFFICE

If you wanna be happy, explore Central-America!





HUNGARIAN
CENTRAL
STATISTICAL
OFFICE



What is human development?

- its roots trace back to the ancient philosophy of Aristotle
- „*human well-being is generated by our actions*”
- 1960s: „Social Indicator Movement” – well-being versus welfare
- Amartya Sen’s capabilities approach
 - development as freedom
 - against the „mainstream” economic approaches of development
 - means and ends

The HDI and its problems

- 1990: First Human Development Report
- 3 dimensions of social and economic „life”
 - long and healthy (?) life
 - education
 - wealth (?)
- changing methodology in the last 25 years
- „reinvention of the wheel?” – is it a good proxy-indicator against the GDP-based approaches?

The HDI and its problems

- Criticisms from the early 90's
 - ❑ **Critics of the index and its components**
(dimensions and sub-indicators)
 - ❑ **Methodological** critics
(computation methodology, weighting problems)
 - ❑ Criticism of the **whole concept** of HDI
 - „objective statistics may look good in a paper, but subjective realities should be better measures of general welfare and well-being”
 - Happiness and subjective well-being researches

Possibilities for constructing a sub-national HDI

- Fact: HDI is a *national* indicator
- → average of the averages, doesn't take into account the inequalities *within* a country
- methodological problems in Hungary
 - life expectancy: age-specific mortality tables (available) ✓
 - GNI / GDP: methodological problems... ✗
 - literacy, average schooling years: restricted data-collection ✗
 - most dimensions need a proxy indicator – **which is correct?**

Possibilities for constructing a sub-national HDI

- Data source: HCSO
- **life expectancy:** available at sub-national levels, but the concept of HD refers to the long and healthy life
- **GDP / GNI:**
 - net income per capita – NTCA, complete cover, yearly
 - net income of households – EU-SILC methodology, yearly
- **average and expected years of schooling:**
 - globally it's based on the methodology of Barro & Lee (2013) and UNESCO (2013)
 - in Hungary only Censuses (10-years) and Microcensuses (5-years) could be appropriate data sources
 - proxy: rate of 25-year population and older with a college degree + number of years performed in school

Calculating methods

- Goalposts:
 - *life expectancy*: max.: observed, min.: 20 years
 - *income per capita*: observed max. and min. values of macroregions
 - in a longitudinal research it could be changed by the observed max. and min. values of different years, thus the basis could be a former year as well
 - transformation: „function from income to capabilities has to be concave”
 - using natural logarithm of incomes
 - *education dimension*:
 - ratio of people with college degree over 25 years
 - max.: observed, min.: 0%

Calculating methods

First step:


$$\text{Dimension index} = \frac{\text{actual value} - \text{minimum value}}{\text{maximum value} - \text{minimum value}}$$

Second step:

$$HDI = (I_{Health} \cdot I_{Education} \cdot I_{Income})^{1/3}$$


Results

Income per capita of microregions (2012)




Results

Calculated HDI of microregions (2012)



Results




Results

- However significant correlations exist between income per capita and HDI, some areas have differences in their rankings
- Transdanubia: re-industrialization, revitalization of former „heavy industrial axis” – better income situation
- Opposite side: blue colour, where HDI situation is better than income position → universities, bigger hospitals, health/recreation areas, educational and demographic situation is higher-ranked

Results

	Δ HDI	Δ LEXP	Δ DEG	Δ INCPC
Δ HDI	1			
Δ LEXP	0.422	1		
Δ DEG	0.888	0.284	1	
Δ INCPC	0.82	0.275	0.514	1

- Methodological problems: *static* or *dynamic* comparison?



Afterword

„In practice, it is difficult to imagine that the debate on the use of composite indicators will ever be settled. Just to give an example that is linked to our experience, official statisticians may tend to resent composite indicators, whereby a large amount of work in data collection and editing is ‘wasted’ or ‘hidden’ behind a single number of dubious significance. However, the temptation of stakeholders and practitioners to summarize complex and sometime elusive processes (e.g. sustainability or a single-market policy) into a single figure to bench-mark country performance for policy consumption seems likewise irresistible.”

Saisana, Saltelli & Tarantola (2005: 308)

Thank you for your attention!

Máté Farkas

Mate.Farkas@ksh.hu

Hungarian Central Statistical Office,
Department of Living conditions



**HUNGARIAN
CENTRAL
STATISTICAL
OFFICE** 