# The data editing process within the new statistical infrastructure of the Office for National Statistics

Pam Tate, Methodology Group, ONS



## Outline

- ONS modernisation programme
- Managing editing & imputation within the survey process
- Metadata on process feedback & interfaces
- Data & metadata structures
- Design & management implications
- Conclusions



#### **ONS modernisation programme**

Aim: to deliver standard technical infrastructure, methodologies & statistical tools

#### Components:

- Statistical Infrastructure Development Programme (SIDP)
- Re-engineering projects
- Information Management Programme
- Central ONS Repository for Data (CORD)
- Technical Web Development Programme



### **SIDP & the Statistical Value Chain**

- $\Downarrow$  Decision to start a collection or analysis
- $\Downarrow$  Collection design
- ↓ Accessing admin data
- $\Downarrow$  Sample design
- ↓ Implementing design
- ↓ Implementing collection
- $\Downarrow$  Editing, validation & imputation
- ↓ Weighting & estimation
- $\Downarrow$  Analysis of primary outputs
- ↓ Index number construction
- $\Downarrow$  Time series analysis
- $\Downarrow$  Further analysis
- ↓ Confidentiality & disclosure control
- ↓ Dissemination of data & metadata
- ↓ Data archiving & ongoing management



# Managing editing & imputation within the SVC

Simplification - editing & imputation (E&I) assumed:

- after Data collection &
- before Weighting & estimation
- In new SI, methods should incorporate best practice and be applied in a standard way - so should be possible to manage process by metadata:

#### E&I tool needs to be able to:

- recognise dataset due for E&I;
- recognise which methods to be applied;
- and with what options & parameters

And afterwards needs to be able to:

- indicate dataset due for weighting;
- and with what methods etc.



# Managing editing & imputation within the SVC

- Some of this information derives from E&I process
- Some from earlier processes so needs to be 'carried' with dataset - directly or indirectly
- So need 2 kinds of process metadata:
  - managing progress through SVC
  - indicating options & parameters within individual processes
- These metadata depend primarily on what outputs are to be produced, and with what quality attributes



# Information on E&I for rest of survey process

Relationship between editing process & output quality:

- editing changes affect accuracy;
- extent of imputation affects accuracy;
- time for editing affects timeliness of outputs;
- nature of edit checks affects comparability & coherence of outputs;
- imputation methodology affects comparability & coherence of outputs.

Hence these processing measures contribute (directly or as proxies) to quality indicators for outputs.



# Information on E&I for rest of survey process

We also need measures of quality of E&I process itself, possibly suggesting ways of improving it.
Other E&I process measures may suggest ways of improving other survey processes.
And management information on operation of E&I process can contribute to management of survey process as a whole.



# Role of metadata in interfaces between processes

Using these relationships between E&I and other processes to improve quality requires creation and use of metadata:

- Collection design needs metadata on how effectively the data collection process has functioned in the past.
- Implementing collection on mode of collection, and whether CAI used
- Editing & imputation on what edit checks applied, what proportion of records failed each edit, and what editing changes were made



# Role of metadata in interfaces between processes

- Weighting & estimation on whether data were imputed, and whether data identified as implausible but confirmed
- Analysis of primary outputs to support assessment and evaluation of quality of outputs, including reasons for implausible data
- For each key output, the quality indicators need to include:
  - % of records with data changed by editing;
  - % of records with imputed data;
  - difference made to output by editing;
  - % of output derived from imputed data.



#### **Data and metadata structures**

- This wide range of types and levels of metadata has implications for how data and metadata are held and managed.
- Central ONS Respository for Data (CORD) will hold all forms of ONS data, from all surveys and sources, at all levels of aggregation.
- It will incorporate CORM repository for metadata about entities such as methods, surveys, datasets, data items, classifications, questions.
- CORM will be able to ensure that metadata are available to users of outputs; and in parallel, microdata and associated unit level metadata will be available internally for analysis.



#### **Data and metadata structures**

We need to distinguish between:

- unit or record level metadata 'micrometadata'
- summarised or aggregated metadata

Microdata created as individual record passes through survey process - summary metadata derived from micrometadata but relate to various higher level entities

Micrometadata needed for monitoring process itself, and best held together with data - summary metadata better held in CORM together with other (e.g. descriptive) information relating to whole dataset



### **Data and metadata structures**

Hence:

- CORD design needs to take account of micrometadata;
- CORM design needs to take account of summary level metadata;
- need to be processes for deriving summary level metadata from micrometadata.

Also, some micrometadata relate generally to the unit, and need to be accessible across sources - these may need to be held with a frame or register - which would imply a need for linkages between frame and CORD.



### Managing the process interfaces

Interfaces between E&I and adjacent processes managed by 2 types of metadata:

- micrometadata on history of data passing through SVC;
- information on processes to be applied to dataset, and options & parameters.

Choice of options & parameters based on knowledge and analysis, and taking into account interactions with other processes.



### Conclusions

Many linkages between E&I and rest of SVC:

- management of E&I process within SVC;
- information on E&I contributing to other processes.

These relationships can improve quality of outputs, and efficiency and quality of survey process - but this depends on creating right metadata and using them effectively together with survey data.

This involves 3 elements:

- specify right metadata at unit & aggregate levels;
- specify right structures to support use of data & metadata in managing survey process;
- and to support analysis of data & metadata to optimise survey process in future.



# Thank you - any questions?

