



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# Addressing data gaps for active ageing indicators – active ageing indicators in the Russian Federation

**Maria Varlamova**

Geneva, Institute for Social Development Studies,

18 Nov 2015 National Research University Higher School of Economics

- In 2014 our research team (Varlamova M.A., Sinyavskaya O.V., Ermolina A.A.) in the Center for Studies of Incomes and Living Standards (NRU HSE) made an attempt to calculate AAI-2012 for Russia
- The results were first shown on the international seminar “Building an evidence base for active ageing policies: Active Ageing Index and its potential” Brussels, 16–17 April 2015
- We highlighted the strong and weak features of active ageing in Russia, compared AAI and Global AgeWatch Index, conducted sensitivity analyses and now use the information in commenting the drafts of Joint Action Strategy for Older People for the Ministry of Labour and Social Protection and the Expert Council of the Government (Open Government)



# Data on elderly and active ageing in Russia

- Official statistics published by Rosstat:  
[http://www.gks.ru/wps/wcm/connect/rosstat\\_main/rosstat/ru/statistics/population/generation/](http://www.gks.ru/wps/wcm/connect/rosstat_main/rosstat/ru/statistics/population/generation/)
- Russian Population Census, 2010 and 2002
- Rosstat regular surveys:
  - Comprehensive Monitoring of Living Conditions of the Population 2011 (+ 2014 – microdata not opened yet)
  - Statistical Survey of Income and Participation in Social Programs 2012 (+2014 microdata not opened yet)
  - Survey of Behavior Factors Influencing on Health 2013
  - Survey on the Quality and Accessibility of Services in Education and Employment, Medical and Social Services 2013 (microdata not opened yet)
- Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey, 1994-2014
- Russian Generations and Gender Survey, 2004, 2007, 2011
- European Social Survey 2010, 2012

## Criteria we wanted to apply

- (1) questionnaire has at least some information necessary to calculate the active ageing indicators;
- (2) data are of good quality (we know sampling and fieldwork procedures, sample is representative at the federal level, fieldwork company is reliable);
- (3) it is a repeated or longitudinal survey so that information can be used for different years to observe dynamics;
- (4) it is an international study and the same indicator can be constructed for other countries calculating active ageing indicators.

## Challenges we faced

- (1) no regular surveys focused on elderly population or on ageing;
- (2) existing data do not always provide comparable results with other EU countries;
- (3) most of the existing surveys in Russia are cross-sectional, even GGS has been terminated after three waves. Only RLMS is longitudinal and ESS is a repeated survey.

- ***Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey***  
(RLMS, round 20, 2011: 4722 respondents aged 55+);
- ***Russian Population Census***  
(2010, microdata: 35 869 670 respondents aged 55+);
- ***3rd wave of Russian Generations and Gender Survey***  
(GGG, 2011: 4276 respondents aged 55+);
- ***“Comprehensive Monitoring of Living Conditions of the Population”***  
(CMLC, 2011: 6873 respondents aged 55+);
- ***2 rounds of European Social Survey*** (ESS, round 5, 2010: 926 respondents aged 55+; round 6, 2012: 854 respondents aged 55+);
- ***Data from Human Mortality Database (HDM)***, 2010;
- ***Data of the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME)***, 2010.



# Methodology, example

## 2.1 Voluntary activity

Please look carefully at the list of organizations and tell us, how often did you do unpaid voluntary work through the following organizations in the last 12 months?

1. Community and social services (e.g. organizations helping the elderly, young people, disabled or other people in need).
2. Educational, cultural, sports or professional associations Social movements (for example environmental, human rights) or charities (for example fundraising, campaigning)
3. Other voluntary organizations

Scale:

1. **Every week**
2. Every month
3. Less often/occasionally
4. Not at all

EQLS (2012)

In the past 12 months, how often did you get involved in voluntary socially beneficial activities or work for voluntary or charitable organizations?

Scale:

1. **At least once a week**
2. At least once a month
3. At least once every three months
4. At least once every six months
5. Less often
6. Never

ESS (2012)

Are you a member of any public, voluntary or charitable organizations (movements) ?

1. **Yes**
2. No

CMLC (2011)



# Methodology, example

Indicator	Data source	Total	Men	Women	EU average
2 Participation in Society		15,7	13,4	17	18,1
2.1 Voluntary activities	CMLC (2011)	2,4	2,2	2,5	14,8
	ESS (2012)	4,3	2	5,3	
2.2 Care to children	Russian GGS (2011)	37,2	35,8	38,2	32,5
	CMLC (2011)	17,4	11,9	20,3	
2.3 Care to older adults	Russian GGS (2011)	8,1	4,8	10,3	12,9
	CMLC (2011)	7,2	5,9	7,9	
2.4 Political participation	ESS (2012)	14,4	12,5	15,3	12

# 1<sup>st</sup> domain – Employment

- We used CLMS finally, as Census and Sample Survey on Employment have the upper limit of 72 years, which complicate the international comparison – but they can easily be used for observing the dynamics inside the country.
- RLMS shows higher results for women and upper age-groups, but the wording of the question is a bit different – in RLMS it is asked about main current occupation, while the original question is about any paid work in the 7 days

Indicator	Data source	Total	Men	Women	EU average
1 Employment	CMLC (2011)	25,1 (15)	31,1(14)	21,3 (14)	27
	RLMS (2011)	28,9 (12)	34,3 (10)	25,8 (10)	
1.1 Employment rate 55-59	CMLC (2011)	53,6	67,5	44,4	60,3
	Russian Census (2010)	53,4	66,1	43,8	
	RLMS (2011)	56,7	66	50,4	



## 2<sup>nd</sup> domain – Participation in society

2.1  
Voluntary  
activity

Please look carefully at the list of organizations and tell us, how often did you do unpaid voluntary work through the following organizations in the last 12 months?

1. Community and social services (e.g. organizations helping the elderly, young people, disabled or other people in need).
2. Educational, cultural, sports or professional associations Social movements (for example environmental, human rights) or charities (for example fundraising, campaigning)
3. Other voluntary organizations

Scale:

1. **Every week**
2. Every month
3. Less often/occasionally
4. Not at all

EQLS (2012)

In the past 12 months, how often did you get involved in voluntary socially beneficial activities or work for voluntary or charitable organizations?

Scale:

1. **At least once a week**
2. At least once a month
3. At least once every three months
4. At least once every six months
5. Less often
6. Never

ESS (2012)

Are you a member of any public, voluntary or charitable organizations (movements) ?

1. **Yes**
2. No

CMLC (2011)



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# European Social Survey

	Round 5 [2010]	Round 6 [2012]	Round 7 [2014]
Albania		•	
Israel	•	•	•
Russian Federation	•	•	
Ukraine	•	•	



# 2<sup>nd</sup> domain – Participation in society

## 2.2 Care to children, grandchildren

In general, how often are you involved in any of the following activities outside of work?

a. Caring for your children, grandchildren

1. **Every day;**
2. **Several days a week**
3. **Once or twice a week**
4. Less often
5. Never

EQLS (2012)

Proxy indicator, combining:

- a. How often do you help take care of your grandchild (any of your grandchildren)?  
Scale: at least once a week or 52 times in a year or 4 in a month
- a. I will list various tasks that need to be done when there are children in the household. Please tell me who does this in your household.
  1. Dresses the children and takes care of their clothes?
  2. Puts the children to bed or sees that they go to bed?
  3. Stays at home when the children are ill?
  4. Plays with the children, takes part in their leisure and entertainment?
  5. Helps the children with their homework?
  6. Brings the children to school, kindergarten, to the baby-sitter, or various study groups, and brings them home?
1. **Always respondent**
2. **Usually respondent**
3. **Equally respondent and partner**
4. Usually partner
5. Always partner

GGs (2011)

Does the range of your daily activities include childcare (for your own or other people children without being paid)?

1. **Yes**
2. No

CMLC (2011)



## 2<sup>nd</sup> domain – Participation in society

### 2.3 Care to older adults

How often are you involved in any of the following activities outside of paid work?

c. Caring for elderly or disabled relatives

1. **Every day;**
2. **Several days a week**
3. **Once or twice a week**
4. Less often
5. Never

EQLS (2012)

In the last 12 months, have you provided regular assistance to anyone with eating, getting up, getting dressed, bathing, using the bathroom, etc.? Do not include childcare here.

1. Yes
2. No

GGs (2011)

Does the range of your daily activities , include carrying for another person, who is in need of special care because of the ageing, , illness or disability ? This person (or these persons) can live in your household or elsewhere.

1. **Yes**
2. No

CMLC (2011)



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

## 2<sup>nd</sup> domain – Participation in society

### 2.4 Political participation

Over the last 12 months, have you ...?

- a. Attended a meeting of a trade union, a political party or political action group
- b. Attended a protest or demonstration
- c. Signed a petition, including an e-mail or on-line petition
- d. Contacted a politician or public official (other than routine contact arising from use of public services)

**1. Yes**

2. No

EQIS (2012)

There are different ways of trying to improve things in Russia or help to prevent things from going wrong.

During the last 12 months, have you done any of the following?

- 1. contacted a politician, government or local government official?**
- 2. worked in a political party or action group?**
3. worked in another organization or association?
- 4. worn or displayed a campaign badge/sticker?**
- 5. signed a petition?**
- 6. taken part in a lawful public demonstration?**
7. boycotted certain products?

**1. Yes**

2. No

ESS (2012)

If not – try to check Gallup World Poll for proxy (In this country, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with your freedom to choose what you do with your life?) 50+

## 2<sup>nd</sup> domain – Participation in society

Indicator	Data source	Total	Men	Women	EU average
2 Participation in Society		15,7	13,4	17	18,1
2.1 Voluntary activities	CMLC (2011)	2,4	2,2	2,5	14,8
	ESS (2012)	4,3	2	5,3	
2.2 Care to children	Russian GGS (2011)	37,2	35,8	38,2	32,5
	CMLC (2011)	17,4	11,9	20,3	
2.3 Care to older adults	Russian GGS (2011)	8,1	4,8	10,3	12,9
	CMLC (2011)	7,2	5,9	7,9	
2.4 Political participation	ESS (2012)	14,4	12,5	15,3	12



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

3.1  
Physical  
exercise

Percentage of people aged 55 years and older undertaking physical exercise or sport almost every day

Take part in sports or physical exercise / How frequently do you do each of the following? **Every day or almost every day**/At least once a week/One to three times a month/Less often

EQLS (2012)

Which of the following kinds of physical activity did you do during the 12 months preceding survey? If did, how many times in a month?

1. Jogging, skating, skiing
2. Gym
3. Walking
4. Sport walking
5. Cycling
6. Swimming
7. Dancing, aerobics, shaping, yoga
8. Basketball, volleyball, football, hockey
9. Badminton, tennis, table tennis
10. Wrestling, boxing, karate

The sum of all kinds of physical activity in a month is **16 times and more**

RLMS (2011)



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

3.2 Access to health and dental care	Percentage of people aged 55 years and older who report no unmet need for medical or dental examination or treatment during the 12 months preceding the survey	Do you have problems with unavailability of state and municipal health care services in your local area? Yes/No/Don't know
	EU-SILC (2010)	CLMC (2011)
		Did you always have an opportunity to go to hospital or did you have situations when you had nowhere to turn?
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Always had the opportunity to go to the hospital</li><li>2. There were cases when there was nowhere to turn</li></ol>
		RLMS(2011)
		Proxy: number of beds, health expenditure by WHO



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

3.3  
Independent living  
arrangements

Percentage of people aged 75 years  
and older who live in a single  
person household or who live as  
couple (2 adults with no dependent  
children)

EU-SILC (2010)

Same as in European methodology

Russian Population Census (2010)



# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

3.4  
Relative  
median  
income

The ratio of the median equalized disposable income of people aged 65 and above to the median equalized disposable income of those aged below 65

EU-SILC (2010)

Household disposable income is established by summing up individual incomes of household members

How much money did you receive during the 30 days preceding survey (including salary, pension, premium, profits, benefits, material help, occasional incomes and other monetary incomes, currency incomes in rubles)?

The equivalence scale is the same as in European methodology

RLMS (2011)

If not – there is an indicator “Average income/consumption of people aged 60+ as a proportion of average income/consumption for the rest of society” in AgeWatch

# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

## 3.5 No poverty risk

Percentage of people aged 65 years and older who are not at risk of poverty  
People at risk of poverty are defined as those with equalized disposable income after social transfers below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold, which is set at 50% of the national median equalized disposable income after social transfers

EU-SILC (2010)

Same as in European methodology  
Household disposable income is the same as for indicator 3.4

RLMS (2011)

If not – there is an indicator “Proportion of people aged 60+ living in households where the equivalised income/consumption is below the poverty line threshold of 50 per cent of the national equivalised median income/consumption (equivalising factor is the square root of household size)” in AgeWatch



# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

## 3.6 No severe material deprivation

Percentage of people aged 65 years and older who are not severely materially deprived. Severe material deprivation refers to a state of economic and durable strain, defined as the enforced inability (rather than the choice not to do so) to afford at least four out of the following nine items:

1. To pay their rent, mortgage or utility bills;
2. To keep their home adequately warm;
3. To face unexpected expenses;
4. To eat meat or proteins regularly;
5. To go on holiday;
6. A television set;
7. A washing machine;
8. A car;
9. A telephone.

EU-SILC (2010)

The definition of severe material deprivation is the same as in European methodology  
Did you have any occasions during the 12 months preceding survey when your household couldn't pay for ...?

1. To pay their rent, mortgage or utility bills;
- Yes/No

Could your household afford ...?

1. To keep their home adequately warm;
- Yes/No

If we talk about total household income, how easy do you make both ends meet?  
Very difficult/difficult/slightly difficult/rather easy/easy/very easy

Could your household afford ...?

1. To go on holiday every year;
2. To eat meat, chicken or fish at least every other day.

Yes/No

Does your household have – or want to have - the following items in your household?

1. A television set;
2. A washing machine;
3. A domestic or foreign car
4. A mobile telephone

Yes, your household has/Your household wants to have but can't afford/Your household doesn't have for other reasons

GGG (2011)



# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

3.7

Physical  
safety

Percentage of people aged 55 years and older who are feeling very safe or safe to walk after dark in their local area  
How safe do you – or would you – feel walking alone in this area (Respondent's local area or neighborhood) after dark?  
Do – or would – you feel  
Very safe/safe/unsafe/very unsafe  
ESS (2010)

Same as in European  
methodology

ESS (2010)

If not – the same question in  
Gallup WorldPoll : Percentage of  
people aged 50+ who feel safe  
walking alone at night in the city  
or area where they live



# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

## 3.8 Lifelong learning

Percentage of people aged 55 to 74 who stated that they received education or training in the four weeks preceding the survey

Did you attend any courses, seminars, conferences or received private lessons or instructions within or outside the regular education system within the last 4 weeks?

Yes/No

EU-LFS (2011)

Did you study – or do you study – on professional courses, training programs or any other courses including language courses, training in the workplace during the 12 months preceding survey?

Yes/No/Don't know

RLMS (2011)

# 3<sup>rd</sup> domain – Independent, healthy and secure living

Indicator	Data source	Total	Men	Women	EU average
3 Independent, healthy and secure living		59,6 (28)	63,9 (27)	57,9 (28)	70,1
3.1 Physical exercise	RLMS (2011)	3,7	4,7	4,2	15,6
3.2 Access to health and dental care	CMLC (2011)	72,3	73,2	71,8	88,4
	RLMS (2011)	97,2	97,9	96,8	
3.3 Independent living	Russian Population Census (2010)	52,4	60	49,7	83,3
	RLMS (2011)	53,9	67,1	49,5	
3.4 Relative median income	RLMS (2011)	91,9	97,1	90,1	84,4
3.5 No poverty risk	RLMS (2011)	94,4	96,1	93,6	92,2
3.6 No severe material deprivation	Russian GGS (2011)	94,9	95,2	94,7	90,1
3.7 Physical safety	ESS (2010)	57,1	64,3	53,3	68,8
3.8 Lifelong learning	RLMS (2011)	1,4	1,2	1,5	4,2
	CMLC (2011)	1,5	1,3	1,6	



# 4<sup>th</sup> domain – Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing

4.1 Remaining life expectancy achievement of 50 years at age 55

EHLEIS (2010)

Federal Statistics

Human Mortality Database

4.2 Share of healthy life years in the remaining life expectancy at age 55

EHLEIS (2010)

Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation

All the countries-participants are there



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# 4<sup>th</sup> domain – Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing

## 4.3 Mental well-being

Five survey questions are used to calculate a composite measure of mental health

Q45a: I have felt cheerful and in good spirits

Q45b: I have felt calm and relaxed

Q45c: I have felt active and vigorous

Q45d: I woke up feeling fresh and rested

Q45e: My daily life has been filled with things that interest me

Response categories of each of these five survey questions are:

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. More than half of the time
4. Less than half of the time
5. Some of the time
6. At no time

The raw score is calculated by reversing the value order of the variable, and then totaling the figures of the five answers. The raw score converted so as to range from 0 to 25, 0 representing worst possible and 25 representing best possible quality of life. The Major Depression is defined if the raw score is below 13.

EQLS(2012)

Five survey questions are used to calculate a composite measure of mental health

I will now read out a list of the ways you might have felt or behaved during the past week.

- a) ...you were happy?
- b) ...you felt calm and peaceful?
- c) ...you had a lot of energy? d) ...your sleep was restless? e) ...you enjoyed life?.

Response categories of each of these five survey questions are:

1. None or almost none of the time
2. Some of the time
3. Most of the time
4. All or almost all of the time

The raw scores were calculated by assigning values to the response options: from 0 for “none or almost none of the time” to 3 for “all or almost all of the time”, except for the issue d) “your sleep was restless?”, for which we used a reverse scale. The presence of depression was defined for respondents with the integrated score below 8.

ESS (2012)

If not – relative psychological well-being from WorldPoll

# 4<sup>th</sup> domain – Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing

## 4.4 Use of ICT

How often on average have you used internet in the last 3 months?

1. **Every day or almost every day**
2. **At least once a week (but not every day)**
3. At least once a month (but not every week)
4. Less than once a month

ICT Survey (2010)

How often do you use Internet access?

1. **Permanently (more than once per week)**
2. From time to time.
3. Do not use

CLMC (2011)

Did you use the Internet during the past 12 months?

1. **Yes**
2. No

RLMS (2011)



НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

# 4<sup>th</sup> domain – Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing

4.5 Social  
connected  
ness

How often socially meet with friends,  
relatives or colleagues?’

Answers:

1. never,
2. less than once a month,
3. once a month,
4. several times a month,
5. once a week,
6. several times a week,
7. every day

ESS(2010)

Same as in European methodology

ESS(2010)

If not - percentage of people aged 50+ who have  
relatives or friends they can count on to help them  
whenever they need them if they were in trouble  
from WorldPoll

# 4<sup>th</sup> domain – Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing

4.6  
Educational attainment of older persons

Highest ISCED level attained?

1. 0 pre-primary,
2. 1 primary,
3. 2 lower secondary,
4. 3 (upper) secondary,
5. 4 post-secondary non tertiary,
6. tertiary

LFS (2010)

Same as in European methodology

Russian Population Census (2010)

# 4<sup>th</sup> domain – Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing

Indicator	Data source	Total	Men	Women	EU average
4 Capacity and Enabling Environment for Active Ageing		54,9 (15)	53,4 (17)	56,4 (10)	53,9
4.1 Remaining life expectancy at age 55	HMD (2010)	42,2	34,5	48,3	53,7
4.2 Share of healthy life expectancy at age 55	IHME (2010)	79,1	80,4	78	53,2
4.3 Mental well-being	ESS (2012)	56,7	61,4	54,8	64,6
	RLMS (2011)	Data not available yet			
4.4 Use of ICT	CMLC (2011)	35,1	41,1	31,1	33,5
	RLMS (2011)	79,4	84,7	76,2	
4.5 Social connectedness	ESS (2010)	40,4	38	41,7	50,7
4.6. Educational attainment	Russian Population Census (2010)	78,2	79	77,7	56,8
	RLMS (2011)	78,4	76	79,8	



# Sensitivity to data sources

	Involved in work for voluntary or charitable organisations, how often past 12 months (ESS)					How often did you do unpaid voluntary work through the following organisations in the last 12 month? (EQLS)
	At least once a year	At least once every six months	At least once every three months	At least once a month	At least once a week	At least every month
Belgium	35,76	28,34	24,18	20,47	11,72	16,4
Bulgaria	9,17	3,26	2,06	1,20	0,43	3,3
Czech Republic	21,82	11,19	7,73	5,25	1,10	12,9
Denmark	38,12	32,11	27,57	23,02	13,78	26,8
Estonia	13,77	8,44	6,93	5,73	3,02	6,8
Finland	41,73	26,77	19,60	13,07	5,27	25,5
France	33,00	27,52	24,83	21,92	12,75	23,2
Germany	47,93	37,87	34,85	29,09	14,80	18,3
Hungary	16,98	8,22	4,72	3,10	1,35	6,1
Italy	39,16	26,81	21,39	18,07	7,53	14,9
Lithuania	19,15	7,05	3,17	1,53	0,12	6
Netherlands	52,03	46,25	42,44	38,01	27,31	30,5
Poland	11,80	7,82	5,75	3,24	1,92	4,8
Portugal	28,50	16,17	10,55	6,41	2,37	7,6
Slovakia	40,19	17,36	9,48	6,01	1,60	5
Slovenia	25,10	21,49	16,87	12,85	6,02	10,1
Spain	53,35	39,00	30,42	22,78	6,55	9,6
Sweden	38,29	24,52	21,21	16,94	6,47	30,7
United Kingdom	38,28	30,53	26,22	21,24	12,73	21,4



# Sensitivity to data sources

Country	How often, if at all, do you worry about becoming a victim of violent crime? (OLD), %	How safe do you – or – would you – feel walking alone in this area after dark? (NEW), %	Difference, p.p.	Rank according to the old approach	Rank according to the new approach
Netherlands	88,2	80,3	-7,9	6	5
Poland	95,1	79,9	-15,2	1	6
United Kingdom	85,5	67,4	-18,1	9	14
Finland	86,5	86,2	-0,3	8	2
Sweden	85,2	81,2	-4	10	4
Russia	80,7	57,1	-23,6	15	21
France	65,9	68,1	2,2	19	13
Portugal	62	66,4	4,4	22	15
Belgium	78,2	76,5	-1,7	16	7
Spain	64,7	74,4	9,7	20	9
Czech Republic	89,8	66	-23,8	4	16
Latvia	83,5	39,9	-43,6	12	24
Hungary	87,4	59,5	-27,9	7	20

# Results and discussion: *Limitations and Solutions*

- *Limited sociological and social statistical information on active ageing:*
  - no regular surveys focused on elderly population or on ageing
  - existing data do not always meet the requirements of the AAI
  - most of the existing surveys in Russia are cross-sectional
- **What can be done:**
  - Include necessary questions in regular conducted surveys, like RLMS in Russia (ask experts from science if any exist in your countries)
  - Include suitable indicators to Strategy on Active Ageing (or its analogs) or to the Statistic Plan if possible, make them key indicators for the regions on some suitable social programs
  - Check international databases