



NIDI  
Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute  
[www.nidi.knaw.nl](http://www.nidi.knaw.nl)




GGP  
Generations & Gender Programme



## GGG Wave 3 Questionnaire


Andrej Kveder  
*GGP manager and QDG 3 coordinator*

7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009



## Outline of changes

- adaptations to Wave 3
- deletion of redundant information
- re-insertion of temporary deleted material
- additions
- corrections
- housing (migration) history



GGP  
Generations & Gender Programme

7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

2



## Adaptations (1)

- General
  - “Second Wave” changed to “Third Wave”
- Activity history
  - Change in introduction text
    - The reference period changed to [month and year of the second wave]
- Non-resident children
  - Goal: avoid the repetition of the whole grid
  - Grid split into 2 sub-grids
    - 1<sup>st</sup> grid inquires about children born or adopted in the inter-wave period
    - 2<sup>nd</sup> grid inquires about other children
    - 2<sup>nd</sup> grid has reduced information (already known)
      - no biological child, current partner, start living in HH

*7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009*



3



## Adaptations (2)

- Non-resident children (cont'd)
  - dead children question removed from grid
    - rationale: reduce respondent burden and question sensitivity
    - introduced as general question after the end of grids
    - the introduction changed
      - reference to “children **currently living** separately”

*7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009*



4



## Deletions (1)

- Education and first paid job
  - the information is redundant
    - information available in activity grid
  - subject of studies lost
    - available for large majority in previous waves
    - not used extensively
- Implausible values
  - Q315 – Partnership grid
    - With whom did children stay after break up
  - values 7,8
    - “children living independently”
    - refers only to unions started after Wave 2
      - any children are 0-3 years old



Generations & Gender Programme

7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

5



## Deletions (2)

- Intention to adopt
  - for people who are not likely to have biological children
- Ever had sexual intercourse
  - decreased relevance
  - easier routing in section 6



Generations & Gender Programme

7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

6



## Re-insertion

- TPB batteries
  - rationale: TPB needs to be established whether it is stable over time
  - DONDNA researchers assessed the measurement
  - inserted:
    - partnership formation, childbearing and leaving parental home
  - not-inserted:
    - retirement



7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

7



## Addition

- General life satisfaction question
  - rationale: Major life satisfaction (and subjective wellbeing) frameworks always include general life satisfaction question
  - introduced as 1<sup>st</sup> question in section 12
- Partner alimony
  - new item added (c) to Q1107
- Grandchildren and great-grandchildren grids
  - grids were added collecting information on
    - sex and age
    - distance (only for grandchildren)
    - frequency of contact and satisfaction



7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

8



## Corrections

- Intention to start living together (Wave 2)
  - not asked to the LAT with cohabiting partnership at Wave 1 and no cohabitation since Wave 1
    - a301=NO & a306=NO
  - Intention question and TPB placed after the section on “Current non-resident Partner or Spouse”



7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

9



## Housing (migration) history

- NOT to be implemented
- following decision of the CB in February 2009
  - too heavy for already very complex survey



7<sup>th</sup> GGP NNFP Meeting, Marrakech 27 September 2009

10