



United Nations
Economic Commission for Europe
(UNECE)



United Nations
Population Fund
(UNFPA)

Ageing – A challenge and an opportunity for the Countries of Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia

Training Workshop

Chişinău, Moldova, 13-16 March 2007

EVALUATION FORM: DISTRIBUTION OF ANSWERS

(Annex 3 to the Report)

The evaluation is based on **33** completed questionnaires

1. Overall comments

	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Overall rating of the meeting	51.5%	39.4%	9.1%	---
Content of the meeting	36.4%	45.5%	15.2%	3.0%
Organisation/Logistics	66.7%	30.3%	3.0%	--
<input type="checkbox"/> Do you feel that your participation at the meeting has increased:				
	Yes	Partially	No	
1. your access to information	81.8%	15.2%	3.0%	
2. your knowledge	54.5%	39.4%	6.1%	
3. your contact to colleagues	75.6%	21.2%	3.0%	

that will help you in your work with regard to the national follow-up of MIPAA/RIS?

II. Comments on the different sessions

<input type="checkbox"/> Session II: UNECE Regional Implementation Strategy of the Madrid International Plan of Action on Ageing (RIS/MIPAA)	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general	33.3%	57.6%	6.1%	3.0%
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA	33.3%	48.5%	15.2%	3.0%
<i>Please, explain what you found useful/less useful and why</i>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Session III: The Demographic Factor	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general (3.0% item non-response)	51.5%	30.3%	12.1%	3.0%
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA (3.0% item non-response)	39.4 %	45.6%	9.1%	3.0%

<input type="checkbox"/> Session IV: Commitments of MIPAA/RIS	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general (3.0% item non-response)	30.3%	57.6%	6.1%	3.0%
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA (3.0% item non-response)	39.4%	48.5%	6.1%	3.0%
<i>Please, explain what you found useful/less useful and why</i>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Session V: Country reports on implementing MIPAA/RIS	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general	27.3%	51.5%	21.2%	--
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA (3.0% item non-response)	27.3%	54.5%	15.2%	--
<i>Please, explain what you found useful/less useful and why</i>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Working groups on identified common RIS priorities:	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general	48.5%	30.3%	18.2%	3.0%
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA (3.0% item non-response)	39.4%	33.3%	21.2%	3.0%
<i>Please, explain what you found useful/less useful and why</i>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Session VI: National Action Plans – Project development	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general (3.0% item non-response)	30.3%	57.6%	6.1%	3.0%
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA (3.0 % item non-response)	33.3%	51.5%	9.1%	3.0%
<i>Please, explain what you found useful/less useful and why</i>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Working groups on future activities:	Very good	Good	Fairly good	Unsatisfactory
Usefulness for your work concerning ageing in general (6.1% item non-response)	36.4%	51.5%	3.0%	3.0%
Usefulness for your work on the review of RIS/MIPAA (6.1% item non-response)	36.4%	48.5%	6.1%	3.0%
<i>Please, explain what you found useful/less useful and why</i>				