

# Involvement of public in target setting and reporting in MDA

Ion SALARU, NCPH

Tatiana Sineaeva, NGO Eco-Tiras



# Public involvement in target setting process



- During target setting process in 2010 were organized 3 Regional Workshops with NGOs, with participation of the Focal Points, where discussed :
- obligations of the Republic of Moldova Goal under the Protocol on Water and Health,
- scope and objectives of the Protocol,
- Expected results project from target setting exercise,
- draft targets and target dates at each stage,
- Presented comments to the draft target proposal



# Public involvement in reporting

- Drafting First Report under the Protocol was an uneasy process and led by the Focal points from Health and Environment sectors, with participation of some other public authorities.
- NGOs at those stage were less involved in drafting process and discussion. Due to the deadline for presenting report to UNECE were not possible a large discussion of the first report.
- Relevant information and report contain presented at ordinary session of the established Steering Group for target setting.

# Lesson learned



- ▶ 1. Wasn't complicated, but efficient to involve different stakeholders in target setting process
- ▶ 2. Positive experience accumulated during target setting process should be used during second reporting exercise.
- ▶ 3. It's very important to have discussions on summary country report, authorities will assume the responsibility quality of data



# NGO Involvement in new project

- Main expected outcomes:
- development of an Action Plan for Protocol implementation, with a resource mobilization Strategy,
- establish a Clearing House under the Protocol,
- strengthen institutional capacities of Water/Sanitation Companies,
- a national communication campaign on Water and Health issues,
- development of National Regulations for small scale WSS,

# Drafting second report – role of NGOs



In accordance with the objectives of Aarhus Convention and of the Protocol, NGOs recommend:

To respond in the report to the following questions:

- Was report presented for public discussions before sent to UNECE,
- Possibility for NGOs to make amendments or comments,
- Time allowed for discussions
- How responsible authorities took into account NGOs proposals,



# Recommendations

- For more useful comments on the content of second summary report to allow enough time for public discussions
- To analyze and evaluate in second report progress made after previous one. To present what was realistic to achieve and what objectives should be extended.
- To use Clearing House for the Protocol on Water and Health to disseminate report and for public information

Thank you for attention!

