

SESSION 4 - Guidance on approaching the reporting, especially related to basin / arrangement level reporting
(Section II, questions 1 and 2 of the reporting template)

Hungarian experience

First meeting of the drafting group on the guide to reporting under the water convention
and as a contribution to SDG indicator 6.5.2
Geneva, Switzerland, 9-10 May 2019

Section II, questions 1 and 2 of the reporting template

- Challenge: „II. Questions for **each transboundary basin, river, lake or aquifer**” v. „Questions 2 and 3 to be completed for **each bilateral or multilateral agreement**”
- 7 neighboring country, 7 working transboundary commission, 1 basin agreement
- 1 basin, 4 sub basin, 24 sub-sub basin
- Bilateral agreements covers and overlaps
- Territorial and sectoral scope different



Hungary's reporting approach

- Consultation with the secretariat

Decision:

- 1 report covering all issues (Sections I. – IV.) – based on the Danube Convention
- 7 report on Section II. for all bilateral commissions

Reports developed together with all secretaries of the bilateral commissions,

Consultation with the partners before submission.

What are your lessons and what the Guide should recommend in that respect

- We would like to follow a similar approach but give more detailed explanations
- Consultation with neighbors were very useful, public involvement is questionable.
- More clear guidance is needed to decide the order of reporting templates
- SDG indicator 6.5.2 calculation could be still challenging