

11 November 2020

Mr. Gordon Duff
United Kingdom

Dear Mr. Duff,

Re: Communication PRE/ACCC/C/2020/180 (United Kingdom)

As you may recall, at its 67th meeting on 6-10 July 2020, the Compliance Committee agreed to defer its determination on preliminary admissibility on your communication until its upcoming 68th meeting on 23-27 November 2020 in order to ask you to clarify certain aspects of your communication.

To that end, please find enclosed two questions from the Committee for your attention. I would be grateful if you would send your reply to the enclosed questions to aarhus.compliance@un.org by **Friday 20 November**. Please copy the Party concerned on your reply.

Please do not hesitate to contact the secretariat if you have any questions.

Yours sincerely,



Fiona Marshall
Secretary to the Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee

Cc: Ms. Danielle Angelopoulou, national focal point for the Aarhus Convention, DEFRA,
United Kingdom
Permanent Mission of United Kingdom to the United Nations Office and other
international organizations in Geneva

Enc: Questions from the Committee to the communicant

Questions from the Committee to the communicant:

1. At paragraph 23 of your communication you mention that the Court of Appeal judgment of 22 January 2020 could still be appealed to the Supreme Court. If leave to appeal was granted, approximately how long would you expect the Supreme Court to take to issue a decision on the appeal? Would Rural Integrity Lisburn 01 Ltd or you personally be the applicant of the appeal to the Supreme Court? Would it be possible to bring the appeal without having to appoint a lawyer to represent the applicant?
 2. What, if anything, would prevent you entering a service contract with Rural Integrity Lisburn 01 Ltd to become, as director, an employee of the company for a minimal or token remuneration?
-