



# Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General  
9 December 2015

Original: English

---

## Economic Commission for Europe

### Committee on Environmental Policy

#### United Nations Economic Commission for Europe Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development

##### Eleventh meeting

Geneva, 15 and 16 February 2016

Item 2 (b) of the provisional agenda

##### Preparations for the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe High-level Meeting of Education and Environment Ministries in 2016: official documents for consideration at the High-level Segment on Education for Sustainable Development

### Draft future implementation framework\*

#### Note by the secretariat

##### *Summary*

At its eighth meeting in March 2013, the Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development voiced its strong commitment to continue implementing the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development on the regional level beyond the third phase of implementation, which ran until 2015. It tasked its Bureau with developing a draft framework for implementation of the Strategy beyond 2015, taking into account the results of the 2014 national implementation reporting (ECE/CEP/AC.13/2013/2, paras. 37–44).

Annex I to the present document contains the draft implementation framework. It was drafted by the Bureau with the assistance of the secretariat on the basis of the basic elements agreed by the Steering Committee at its ninth meeting in 2014, and further revisions agreed at its tenth meeting in 2015. Another source of inspiration was the Global Action Programme on Education for Sustainable Development, launched at the World Conference on Education for Sustainable Development in Japan in November 2014. The new draft framework is intended to follow up on the implementation framework adopted by

---

\* The present report was submitted late owing to resource constraints.



Economic Commission for Europe environment and education ministries in Vilnius in 2005, which spanned three implementation phases (2005–2015), defining the practical arrangements for the future implementation of the Strategy.

Annex II contains proposed terms of reference for the Steering Committee for the four-year period 2016–2019.

The Steering Committee is expected to consider these documents at its eleventh session and decide on the next steps.

## Background

1. At its eighth meeting (Geneva, 21–22 March 2013), the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development voiced its strong commitment to continue implementing the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development (Strategy for ESD) on the regional level beyond the third phase of implementation (see ECE/CEP/AC.13/2013/2, paras. 37–44). To assess the progress made under the Strategy, and to consider the continuation of the Strategy's implementation, the Steering Committee proposed to hold a high-level meeting of education and environment ministries at the Eighth Environment for Europe Ministerial Conference to be held in Batumi, Georgia, from 8 to 10 June 2016.

2. At its ninth meeting (Geneva, 3–4 April 2014), the Committee reconfirmed its strong commitment to continuing implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD beyond 2015. It furthermore decided that, until the Eighth Environment for Europe Ministerial Conference, two more Steering Committee meetings would take place in the current format (in 2015 and 2016), and reconfirmed its decision to prepare a high-level meeting on education for sustainable development (ESD), including the preparation of a draft implementation framework for the future implementation of the Strategy and a draft ministerial declaration (ECE/CEP/AC.13/2014/2, paras. 35–36).

3. It is important to note that the future implementation framework is not a new UNECE Strategy for ESD. The Strategy for ESD is expected to remain in place, including the main objectives, definitions and the vision provided therein. The new implementation framework will rather follow up on the Vilnius implementation framework — adopted by ECE environment and education ministries in 2005 in Vilnius and reconfirmed at the Environment for Europe Ministerial Conference in Belgrade in 2007 — which spanned three implementation phases (2005–2015). The Vilnius framework defined the practical arrangements for the implementation of the Strategy.

4. At its ninth meeting, the Steering Committee considered and decided upon basic elements of the new implementation framework based on proposals made by the Bureau. The present draft implementation framework (annex I) reflects these elements and is also inspired by and aligned with the Global Action Programme on Education for Sustainable Development coordinated by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). Annex II contains proposed terms of reference for the Steering Committee for the four-year period 2016–2019.

## Annex I

### Draft future implementation framework

#### I. Progress made and challenges encountered in implementing the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development

1. The progress that United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) member States have made over 10 years has been an important contribution to the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005–2014) and the recognition across global education initiatives that education systems must respond to the social, environmental and economic challenges facing the world today. The following are the five key findings of the third evaluation report (ECE/CEP/AC.13/2016/3)<sup>1</sup> of the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development (Strategy for ESD):

1. Securing leadership and political will has been a critical factor in the Strategy's success.
2. Under the Strategy, important advancements were made on policy integration, curricula, tools, resources and networking.
3. Full integration of sustainable development knowledge, abilities and values, while well in progress, has yet to be realized across all levels and types of education.
4. The three priorities for phase III of the Strategy are proving to be challenging but necessary leverage points in whole-system change.
5. Recognition that ESD lies at the core of the purpose of education has increased, but remains to be secured fully across all member States.

##### A. Securing and sustaining leadership and political will

2. Almost all ECE member States have participated to a lesser or greater extent in advancing ESD during the Strategy's implementation period 2005–2015, with the endorsement and support of their political and administrative leadership. Over 91 per cent of the 56 ECE member States have reported formally or informally to ECE and/or the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) on their work to advance the role of education in achieving sustainability. Furthermore, two thirds of ECE member States have provided indications of their interest and intention to continue to work towards full implementation of ESD. Leadership and political will have contributed to member States' ability to sustain their commitment to ESD, although concerns are expressed by some that changes in government have and may continue to adversely affect the level of ongoing participation.

##### B. Advancements on policy integration, curricula, tools, resources and networking

3. ESD is now reflected in national education policy documents by over 90 per cent of reporting member States, and 89 per cent of reporting member States consider that

---

<sup>1</sup> The report reviews the data collected through national implementation reports (NIRs) submitted by member States (38 NIRs submitted) at the end of the third phase of implementation of the Strategy, as well as supplemental information provided to the secretariat.

education is now part of their countries' sustainable development policies and planning. Member States (84 per cent) report that ESD has been included in national curriculum frameworks, with the majority of efforts focused on primary, lower and upper secondary levels. While the environmental dimension continues to receive most of the attention, it is encouraging to see the levels of effort to address social and economic themes as well. Government, academic and civil society stakeholders in all reporting member States are involved in the development and production of ESD materials. However, while the supply may be growing, the demand is unknown: mechanisms to promote and disseminate the materials vary widely from country to country and to what extent these materials are being used is difficult to assess. Mechanisms to evaluate the quality of ESD materials are also limited.

4. Member States (95 per cent) report that ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process, and support a wide range of working groups, councils, networks and partnerships. Regional cooperation is strong, and the role of different stakeholders, especially civil society actors, is recognized and appreciated.

**C. Full integration of sustainable development knowledge, abilities and values yet to be realized across all levels and types of education**

5. There have been substantive good practices, generated among a wide range of stakeholders in formal, non-formal and informal education in the region. Many of the indicators of success for the Strategy as set out by the Expert Group on Indicators have been met, but reporting member States nevertheless cautiously consider that they are still "in progress" or "developing" towards a comprehensive implementation of ESD across all levels of education. The majority of advances reported by member States are in explicitly addressing ESD themes in the curricula (97 per cent), competences and learning outcomes (92 per cent) and pedagogical approaches (95 per cent) at the earliest levels of early childhood learning, as well as primary and secondary levels of formal education. Less is known about ESD in higher education, although member States report that higher education institutions in the region are increasingly engaged in ESD through high-level affirmations by university leaders and through networks of committed institutions.

6. Close to 90 per cent of member States report that ESD methods and instruments are in place for non-formal and informal learning. Member States presented a wide range of non-formal and informal ESD learning initiatives, but acknowledged that many of these have been undertaken independent of, or in partnership with, government agencies. Non-governmental organizations are playing a significant leadership role in the promotion of ESD in non-formal and informal settings. However, less is known about the extent and effectiveness of these efforts as there is no central mechanism for reporting and documenting them and they are rarely evaluated.

**D. The three priorities for phase III are proving to be challenging but necessary leverage points in whole-system change**

7. Nearly three-quarters (71 per cent) of member States are promoting whole-institution approaches. Most continue to focus on enhancing sustainable development in the curriculum and classroom through projects and/or promote voluntary schemes for individual schools to seek ESD recognition and certification. With respect to teacher education, close to 90 per cent of member States report that ESD is now a part of educators' initial and in-service training, although the qualitative comments provided suggest that many are cautious about how widespread and transformative the support might be for ESD, given the autonomous nature of teacher education institutions in many countries. It is also not clear whether significant numbers of teachers have been trained to date.

8. From 2012 to 2015, some member States have moved beyond discussions of what constitutes a green economy and green jobs to concerted efforts to retool technical and vocational education and training (TVET) to support sustainability, in consultation and partnership with industry sectors and TVET institutions. However, while in some States new competences and skills are being defined, new courses developed and TVET programming revised, less than half of member States indicate that there has been significant progress made.

**E. Recognition that education for sustainable development lies at the core of the purpose of education has increased, but remains to be secured fully across all member States**

9. Securing the recognition that ESD lies at the core of the purpose of education will require overcoming challenges and obstacles that have persisted throughout all three phases of the Strategy's implementation, including: the need for sustained leadership and political will among key decision makers; securing structural reform of education systems, including embedding ESD in mainstream budgets; preparing educators; strengthening mechanisms for cooperation and engagement across a broader cross-section of stakeholders; and supporting the need for more ESD research, monitoring and evaluation.

**II. Joint Vision of the High-level Meeting of Education and Environment Ministries**

10. Among the different initiatives developed in the framework of the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development, the ECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development, established at the High-level Meeting in Vilnius (2005), is an outstanding example of regional implementation of ESD and has served as a blueprint for other regions.<sup>2</sup>

11. ESD is a part of the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) on education (Goal 4), with Target 4.7 requiring countries to ensure by 2030 that all learners acquire the knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development. However, the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD in the period beyond 2015 will contribute not only to the implementation of SDG 4 and the accomplishment of Target 4.7, but to the implementation of all the SDGs. ESD is a cross-cutting process that will allow for a better understanding and enhanced competences related to all aspects of sustainable development.<sup>3</sup>

12. The ECE region will continue to implement the Strategy for ESD and as such make a tangible regional contribution to the Global Action Programme on Education for Sustainable Development, adopted by the UNESCO General Conference at its thirty-seventh session (UNESCO 37 C/Resolution 12). The Global Action Programme was acknowledged by the United Nations General Assembly as the follow-up to the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development in its resolution 69/211, which requests UNESCO to continue to provide coordination for the implementation of the Global Action Programme.

---

2 A very concrete example is the endorsement of the Mediterranean Strategy on Education for Sustainable Development by the Union for the Mediterranean Ministerial Meeting on Environment and Climate Change (Athens, May 2014).

3 In addition, ESD could contribute significantly to the achievement of the United Nations 10-year framework of programmes on sustainable consumption and production patterns implemented by the United Nations Environment Programme.

13. The Global Action Programme on ESD focuses on five priority action areas to enable strategic focus and stakeholder commitment: (a) policy support; (b) whole-institution approaches; (c) educators; (d) youth; and (e) local communities. The future implementation framework of the UNECE Strategy for ESD is informed by the GAP, and this is reflected in the “vertical” and “horizontal” priority action areas of the implementation framework (see sect. IV below).

14. The ECE Education and Environment Ministries gathered at the High-level Meeting in Batumi, Georgia, reaffirm the joint vision set out in the Strategy on ESD (see box).

#### **Vision of the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development**

Our vision for the future is of a region that embraces common values of solidarity, equality and mutual respect between people, countries and generations. It is a region characterized by sustainable development, including economic vitality, justice, social cohesion, environmental protection and the sustainable management of natural resources.

Because achieving a sustainable future will require a change in mindset regarding how we relate to each other and to the ecosystems that support life on this planet, education systems must be realigned to support sustainable development. Education for sustainable development is an essential tool for good governance, informed decision-making and the promotion of democracy. It develops and strengthens the capacity of individuals, groups, communities, organizations and countries to make judgements and choices that will improve the quality of life by making our world safe, sustainable, healthy and prosperous.

### **III. Governance body of the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development**

15. ECE Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting also reconfirm the mandate of the ECE Steering Committee on ESD to decide on the Strategy’s implementation and review its progress. Representatives from environment and education ministries should remain at the core of the Steering Committee; however, countries are invited to include other ministries in their delegations, depending on their country situation. Also, countries are encouraged to consider including UNESCO National Commission representatives in their national delegations and other stakeholders to ensure the close alignment with the global ESD process.

16. To ensure efficient regional governance and communication, member States are requested to reconfirm or newly nominate focal points for ESD in education and environment ministries. They may also nominate, in addition, focal points in other departments or ministries, as appropriate, to participate in the ECE ESD process.

17. The Steering Committee should meet annually, preferably in person. If resources permit, funding will be provided to one person per eligible country to participate in these meetings, in accordance with the rules established by the ECE Committee on Environmental Policy. However, with a view to both environmental and financial considerations, the Committee may consider holding an electronic Steering Committee meeting every other year (see annex II for the Committee’s terms of reference).

18. The Environment for Europe process should continue to be used as a platform for the regional high-level coordination of the implementation of the Strategy.

19. At its ninth meeting (Geneva, 3–4 April 2014), the Steering Committee extended implementation phase III until the High-Level Meeting of Education and Environment Ministries to take place in June 2016. At its tenth meeting (Geneva, 8–9 June 2015), the Steering Committee proposed the time frame for the next phase should run from 2016 to 2019.

#### IV. Priority action areas

20. While member States recognize the importance of continuing to implement all the objectives of the Strategy for ESD, ECE Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting stress in particular the importance of advancing three “vertical” priority action areas (subparas. (a)–(c) below), which focus on what should be done, and three “horizontal” priority action areas (subparas. (d)–(f) below), which focus on how it should be done:

(a) **Encouraging whole-institution approaches by establishing education for sustainable development school plans, or embedding education for sustainable development in existing school plans, for every school by 2019:** ESD school plans are a crucial component of a whole-institution approach and in the ongoing process of implementing of the UNECE Strategy for ESD. Promoting whole-institution approaches by integrating ESD in capacity-building, governance, curricula, teaching and learning, campus facilities and their operation and the larger community is an important vehicle for achieving holistic and structural implementation of ESD in formal education (primary and secondary) and vocational and higher education, as well as facilitating a link between formal and non-formal education. Therefore, the establishment of ESD school plans, or the embedding of ESD in existing school plans (i.e., ESD school planning), is strongly encouraged. The adoption of whole-school approaches in pre-school education is also considered beneficial;

(b) **Promoting the extension of education for sustainable development in teacher education and in the training of all educators:** Teachers and, more broadly, educators, are key drivers of change in the process of integrating ESD into education. Their capacities have to be strengthened through the inclusion of ESD in pre-service and in-service teacher education and through professional development that supports the acquisition of ESD competences by non-formal and informal educators. Therefore, ECE Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting strongly support the further integration and extension of ESD in teacher education;

(c) **Strengthening technical and vocational education and training in support of sustainable development and the transition to a green economy:** Technical and vocational training (TVET) provides promising opportunities to initiate and to foster the transition to a green, entrepreneurial and sustainable economy. In this respect, several concrete steps to prioritize could include: educating students about the importance of moving to a green economy and becoming ecologically literate; understanding the needs of industry to transition to a green economy, with an emphasis on sustainable production and consumption; and ensuring that policymakers’ support for educators is sufficient to enable them to provide the requisite training for new skills. One of the first steps in these efforts is integrating ESD into national TVET policy. Against this background, ECE Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting recognize the importance of strengthening TVET in support of sustainable development. In particular, they support the more active involvement of professional unions, vocational training centres and the business sector in the strengthening of TVET in support of sustainable development;

(d) **Strengthening integration of education for sustainable development in both international and national education and sustainable development policies and other relevant processes:** Member States should foster the integration of ESD in both international and national education and sustainable development policies and other relevant processes. On the regional level in particular, the link with the United Nations environmental conventions should be strengthened. Synergies should be developed with other relevant programmes and key stakeholders active in the promotion and integration of ESD policy in region. Institutional ESD-related policies should also be supported at different levels of education;

(e) **Linking education for sustainable development in formal, informal and non-formal education:** Formal education needs to synergize with non-formal and informal education to effectively promote ESD in educational and environmental contexts. Non-formal and informal education promotes ESD by supporting ESD learning within formal education and by raising public awareness and learning about ESD outside formal education. It is essential that formal, non-formal and informal education systems work together in a complementary fashion, so that diversity and innovation is supported;

(f) **Acknowledging the important role of networks, including those of civil society, academia and science, non-governmental organizations, business and enterprises, in implementing ESD:** The role of networks, including those of civil society, academia and science, youth, non-governmental organizations, companies and enterprises, in ESD should be considered as a vital part of the implementation framework. Empowering and increasing the capacity of civil society, and in particular of youth as critical agents of change, through networking and co-learning, is essential for a wide and participatory implementation process. Strengthening multi-stakeholder networks at the local level is one example of an action under this priority area.

It is important to note that these ECE ESD priority actions areas align with the priority areas of the Global Action Programme on ESD.

21. In addition, the benefits of the possible collaboration of ECE with particular networks might be explored further, with a view to supporting the achievement of progress in the six priority areas.

## V. Time frame and monitoring

22. ECE Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting recognize that the implementation of the Strategy for ESD is a continuous, long-term process. For the next implementation period, the first phase will align with the first five-year phase of the Global Action Programme on ESD, which ends in 2019. The Steering Committee should consider aligning the second phase of the new process with the next phases of the Global Action Programme.

23. ECE Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting note that member States have had success in the three implementation phases since the Strategy's adoption in Vilnius in 2005:

(a) *Phase I (until 2007):* countries took stock of what was included within the remit of the Strategy and also identified priorities for action required to implement it;

(b) *Phase II (until 2010):* the implementation of the Strategy was advanced. Countries reviewed progress made in the implementation of their national strategies in formal, non-formal and informal learning contexts, and in putting the Strategy into action;

(c) *Phase III (until 2015):* countries made considerable progress, with a focus on practical steps and actions to implement ESD.

24. In ESD, developing capacity for monitoring as well as long-term evaluation of quantitative as well as qualitative aspects is critical. Therefore, at the end of the next implementation phase a mandatory national progress reporting should be carried out. The Steering Committee on ESD will align future monitoring and evaluation work as much as possible with the Global Action Programme reporting scheme, while also allowing for comparability of the reporting outcomes with previous ECE national implementation reporting exercises.

## **VI. Financial arrangements**

25. Effective implementation of the Strategy for ESD depends, inter alia, on the availability of sufficient financial and human resources. There is a need for stable and predictable sources of funding, and a fair sharing of the burden should be the guiding principle of any financial arrangements established for this purpose.

## Annex II

### **Proposed terms of reference of the Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development for 2016–2021**

#### **I. Mandate**

1. The United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) Education and Environment Ministries at the High-level Meeting in Batumi, Georgia, mandate the ECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development to continue to decide on the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development (Strategy for ESD) and to review its progress.
2. ECE will provide secretariat support to the Steering Committee in accordance with ECE rules and practices, subject to sufficient funding being provided by the member States.

#### **II. Composition**

3. The members of the Steering Committee will be designated by Governments of the ECE member States. The Committee will include at least representatives of the environment and education sectors and will be open-ended. Countries are invited, moreover, to include representatives of other ministries as well as those from United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) National Commissions. Committee members will be responsible for representing the consolidated national position in the work of the Steering Committee. The reconfirmation or nominations of national focal points should be submitted to the secretariat by [e.g., November 2016, if the High-level Meeting takes place in June 2016 as expected]. Representatives of United Nations agencies, international organizations and non-governmental organizations will be invited as observers, as decided by the Steering Committee. Representative of UNESCO and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) will be invited under the status of permanent observers.

#### **III. Purpose**

4. The Steering Committee will constitute the principal decision-making body for activities carried out under the Strategy for ESD in the ECE region, and be responsible for giving guidance and strategic directions to the implementation of the Strategy. It will also review the progress of its implementation. In particular, it will continue to:
  - (a) Promote and coordinate the implementation of the Strategy in line with the Global Action Programme on Education for Sustainable Development and, in consultation with UNESCO, the lead agency for education for sustainable development (ESD) in accordance with General Assembly resolution 69/211, and with other relevant international activities and processes, such as the Sustainable Development Goals process, seeking synergies and avoiding overlaps;
  - (b) Advance the three vertical priority action areas:
    - (i) Encouraging whole institutional approaches by establishing ESD school plans in every school or embedding ESD in existing school plans in every school by 2019;

- (ii) Promoting the extension of ESD in teacher education and in the training of all educators;
- (iii) Strengthening technical and vocational education and training in support of sustainable development and the transition to a green economy.
- (c) Advance the three horizontal priority action areas:
  - (i) Strengthening integration of education for sustainable development in both international and national education and sustainable development policies and other relevant processes;
  - (ii) Linking education for sustainable development in formal, informal and non-formal education;
  - (iii) Highlighting the important role of networks in implementing education for sustainable development;
- (d) Monitor progress in implementation, preparing assessments of progress made, proposing priorities for action, identifying challenges and proposing corrective measures, where appropriate;
- (e) Liaise and cooperate closely with relevant key actors, i.e., intergovernmental organizations and non-governmental organizations that are active in education, the environment and sustainable development, as well as ESD networks;
- (f) Establish ad hoc working groups, task forces and other bodies as needed to implement the activities;
- (g) Provide guidance on the need for possible new instruments promoting ESD;
- (h) Serve as a forum for the consideration of issues related to ESD at the regional and international levels;
- (i) Liaise, as required, with other regional commissions, including those of the United Nations, on matters related to its competence;
- (j) Keep the ECE Committee on Environmental Policy and other relevant bodies informed about its activities.

#### **IV. Officers**

5. For the upcoming implementation phase a chair, vice-chair and the Bureau will be elected from among the representatives of the ECE member States present at the meeting. Officers should represent different sectors (e.g., environment and education). They shall serve as the officers of the Steering Committee until [*their successors have been elected*] [*the next High-level Meeting*].

#### **V. Meetings**

6. The meetings of the Steering Committee will be convened by ECE. They will ordinarily be held at the United Nations Office at Geneva, unless there is a feasible proposal by a member State to host the meeting. If resources permit, funding will be provided to one person per eligible country to participate in these meetings, in accordance with the rules established by the ECE Committee on Environmental Policy. However, with a view to both environmental and financial considerations, the Committee may consider holding an electronic Steering Committee meeting every other year. The Steering Committee will take decisions by consensus and vote on decisions, if required.

---