



# Determining a need for transboundary EIA

27 October 11:30 – 12:15 CET

Subregional Workshop on the Practical Application of SEA and Transboundary EIA, 26 – 27 October 2020

Action implemented by:















## Determining a need for transboundary EIA (Tb EIA) *versus* EIA screening

- A need for transboundary EIA (Espoo Convention, Appendix III): Criteria to determine a need for Tb EIA for the activities not included in Appendix I (i.e. for a wide range of activities)
  - Size
  - Location
  - Effects

- EIA screening (EU EIA Directive): If EIA is needed for the projects in Annex II
  - Information about the project to be provided by the developer (Annex IIa)
  - Selection criteria (Annex III)
  - Size (annex II), Location, Likely Effects + characteristics of the project





### Espoo Convention, Appendix III

Size: proposed activities which are large for the type of the activity;

**Location:** proposed activities which are located in or close to an area of **special environmental sensitivity or importance** (such as wetlands designated under the Ramsar Convention, national parks, nature reserves, sites of special scientific interest, or sites of archaeological, cultural or historical importance); also, proposed activities in locations where the characteristics of proposed development would be likely to have **significant effects on the population**;

**Effects:** proposed activities with particularly complex and potentially adverse effects, including those giving rise to serious effects on humans or on valued species or organisms, those which threaten the existing or potential use of an affected area and those causing additional loading which cannot be sustained by the carrying capacity of the environment





#### EU EIA Directive, Annex II

**Characteristics of projects**: size and design of the whole project; the cumulation with other existing and/or approved projects; the use of natural resources, etc.

**Location of projects**: wetlands, riparian areas, river mouths; coastal zones and the marine environment; mountain and forest areas; nature reserves and parks;

Type and characteristics of the potential impact: including the transboundary nature of the impact





### In which EIA steps to determine likely transboundary impacts?

- Early in EIA e.g. as a part of the national screening procedure:
  - Pros: Allows sufficient time for entire transboundary consultations, enables to well prepare for it etc.
  - Cons: The data and information available might not allow to determine the likely transboundary impacts
- Later on (scoping, EIA report):
  - Pros: The likely transboundary impacts can be well identified and clearly described
  - Cons: A need for the transboundary consultations may complicate and delay the national EIA procedure





#### Example: Czech Republic

- Screening and scoping is one joint procedural step based on 'notification' (i.e. similar to the scoping report)
- Notification has to include also information about likely significant adverse transboundary impacts
- Screening has also to consider 'nature of the likely impacts including its transboundary nature'
- EIA report has to include also 'complex characteristics of the likely impacts, considering the significancy and their interrelationships, with a special emphasis on the possibility of the transboundary impacts'
- So, the likely transboundary impacts can be determined earlier or later and SEA process can proceed with necessary transboundary consultations accordingly