

Training workshop on SEA scoping

19 April 2016 Tbilisi, Georgia



19 April 2016



Scoping opinion

UNECE International Consultants on SEA:

Mr. Martin Smutny Mr. Michal Musil



19 April 2016

Purpose of scoping

Scoping shall identify development interventions and relevant environmental and health issues, which should be further considered within the SEA

Scoping can also identify, as far as possible:

- territorial scope of the assessment and key areas of concern,
- **stakeholders** to be involved,
- data and information to be considered



Scoping opinion

Key purpose (I.):

- To formaly define "scope" of <u>further</u> analyses, i.e. Terms of Reference for SEA team
 - Identify issues (topics) that SEA shall focus on, and set SEA boundaries (e.g. Air quality issues will be included, biodiversity will not be included, except for aquatic ecosystems)
 - To specify requirement regarding the assessment of planning alternatives (if applicable or desirable)
 - To specify any particular desired analytical method to be used in the SEA (e.g. Multicriterial analysis, or GIS map of conflicts between protected areas and planned infrastrucutre)
 - To indicate strategic documents or environmental policy objectives to be included in the compliance analysis within the SEA



Scoping opinion

Key purpose (II.):

- To identify stakeholders who must be consulted
- Specify requirements for the further consultation process (e.g. only one public hearing shall be organized in the capital city, or 3 additional public workshops has to be conducted in 3 potentially most affected districts)



Scoping opinion (Cont'd)

Scoping opinion is NOT a review or response to or an approval of the Scoping report!

It should be entirely oriented towards the future phase of the SEA proces.

- Scoping opinion is an autoritative statement of the SEA authority, it might or might not adopt the point of view presented in the scoping report
- Scoping opinion is formulated based on the information presented in the scoping report AND opinions of relevant stakeholders gathered during the scoping process



Case Example 1:

SEA for Transport Sectorial Strategy 2

- Strategy deals with 1270 road projects in 260 clusters, 360 railway in 90 clusters, and 20 water transport projects in 3 clusters
- It applies Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) for selection of priority investments
 - Desirability of a project (transport, economic, social)
 - Realization obstacles (land-use planning, environmental)
 - Preliminary Cost-benefit analysis
- Transport model supplies information on present and future transport intensities on network and their changes in case implementing individual investments
- GIS data only for corridors (digital map with +/- 1 km accuracy)



Key issues addressed in scoping

Major issues:

- Biodiversity and Natura 2000
- Air quality
- Health

Minor issues:

• water, cultural heritage, forests, soil

Transboundary impacts



Specific concerns for biodiversity

- Natura 2000 sites
- Special protected areas
- Loss of natural habitats
- Supra-regional and regional territorial systems of ecological stability
- Important landscape features
- Landscape fragmentation (new projects in unfragmented area by traffic; in areas important for migration)
- Water regime of landscape (wetlands, protected areas for natural accumulation of water and large forest areas)



Specific concerns for air quality

Changes of transport intensities in:

- Urban areas (old and new roads, increasing and reducing intensities bellow 15,000 cars per day)
- Sensitive ecosystems (large-scale protected areas, forests, areas above 800 meters above sea level)

Total emissions in areas with poor air quality status



Specific concerns for human health

- Air emissions in urban areas
- Noise (isolines 60 dB)
- Socio-economic impacts (accessibility for work-related travel and social and health services)



Minor issues

- Water: areas of natural water accumulation and water bodies for drinking water supply, protected areas of mineral waters, barrier effect in flood zones)
- **Soil:** general impacts on soil types
- Cultural heritage: nationally important cultural monuments and heritage reserves - impacts caused by vibration and aesthetic concerns
- **Climate change:** consistency with relevant targets for climate change mitigation in the transport sector



Scoped-out

- Waste
- Soil and forests

SEA team proposed to scope these out, however MoE required "full scope of assessment"

See the full text of the Scoping decision attached.



Proposed strucutre of the Scoping Opinion

See attached form



Suggestions for practical implementation of scoping procedure

- The SEA authority shall develop a capacity to properly consider:
 - Feasibility of required scope of SEA (time, costs, data availability)
 - Value added to the planning process (the ultimate aim is to help planning agency to prepare "greener" plan or programme, not to hinder the planning proces)
 - Realistic potential for the environmental effects of the plan or programme (i.e. Steer the SEA to address key important environmental/health issues, to focus on aspects where the plan/programme can make a difference in the overall environmental situation, instead of insisting on analysing every theoretical (but in reality likely marginal) effect.



Questions or comments?

Thank you for your attention!



19 April 2016