Jerzy Jendrośka International standards of EIA and transboundary procedure in the light of the requirements of the Espoo Convention

Strengthening environmental assessment systems in Kazakhstan in line with the UNECE Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context and its Protocl on Strategic Environmental Assessment

Radisson Hotel Astana, 14 November 2018

Key mandatory requirements for EIA national scheme

- National EIA procedure with mandatory
 - Public participation conducted by authorities
 - Preparation of EIA Report by developer
 - Possibility for transboundary procedure
 - Final binding decision required before initiating activity
- List of activities subject to EIA in line with Espoo and Aarhus
- EIA starts early enough to
 - identify potential transboundary effect
 - meaningfully consider alternatives
- Requirements for EIA Report are in line with Espoo

Key mandatory requirements for EIA national scheme - cd

- Details of public participation are clearly regulated in line with Aarhus
- Details of transboundary procedure are clearly regulated in line with Espoo
- Clear obligation for competent authorities to take due account of the results of EIA and prepare justification
- Possibility for post-project analysis

Modalities

- List of activities beyond Espoo and Aarhus
- Individual screening
- Individual scoping
- Competence of authorities
- Consultation with other authorities
- Fees
- Time-frames (but must be reasonable)

Key practical features in EU countries

- Individual screening (usually)
- Individual scoping (usually)
- Nature of EIA Report
- Active role of competent authorities in providing individual conditions
- Statement of reasons
- Elaborated documentation and procedures at each stage

EIA - practice in EU

- Full EIAs yearly appr 20 000-25 000
- Average duration 11,6 months
- Average costs of EIA Report 1% of project costs (41 000 Euro per EIA average)
- Responsibility for conducting EIA procedure
 - In big/medium countries local and regional authorities with general competence
 - In smaller countries environmental authorities

Development control procedures for specific projects - typical structure in EU

- EIA decision
- Siting decision/planning permission
- Construction/building permit
- Pollution control (IPPC) permit and/or resource use decisions (e.g. water permit, mining license, nuclear permit)

EIA in Poland

- Population: about 39 milion
- Rapid development
- Decentralised
 - 16 regions (voivodship)
 - 380 counties (poviat)
 - 2479 local communities (gmina)

EIA procedures in Poland

- Number of EIA Decisions yearly: about 16000
- Number of projects subject to full EIA procedures yearly: about 4000-4500
- Number of projects subject to screening yearly: about 11000-12000
- Approximate ratio of positive screening yearly: about 20% positive screening determinations
- The fee for EIA procedure: 205 PLN (about 50 Euro)

Officials involved in issuing EIA decisions as competent authority

- At the central level (General Directorate for Environmental Protection - GDOS) – about 10 persons dealing with EIA (mostly transboundary)
- At the regional level (Regional Directorates for Environmental Protection RDOS) – about 240 persons
- At the local level
 - about 300-500 persons dealing with EIA on exclusive basis (mostly in big cities)
 - about 2500 persons dealing with EIA on non-exclusive basis in other local authorities

Consulted authorities

- Regional Environmental Directorate RDOS
- Sanitary Inspection
- Authorities responsible for issuing integrated environmental permit (IPPC- integrated pollution prevention and control)
- Authorities responsible for water management (Polish Water)

Time-frames: EIA decisions for Group I projects (full EIA)

- Stage I (scoping) non-mandatory
- Preparation of the EIA Report (timing not regulated, usually a couple of months)
- Stage II verification of the EIA Report and issuing the decision

Time-frames for scoping for I Group

- One month, within this time-frame there is:
 - one week for checking quality of submitted scoping information c (if bad - they return it for revision andthetimeframes would count again when it is resubmitted)
 - two weeks for consulting other authorities
 - public concerned may submit comments during the entire period

Time -frames for for I Group

- Up to two months, within this time-frame there is:
 - one week for checking quality of submitted application and EIA Report
 - one month for consulting other authorities
 - one month for public participation

EIA decisions for Group II projects (subject to screening)

- One month, within this time-frame there is:
 - one week for checking quality of submitted application and screening information (if bad they return it for revision andthetimeframes would count again when it is resubmitted)
 - two weeks for consulting other authorities
 - public concerned may submit comments during the entire period

Verification

 At any time authorities (usually after getting) comments from the authorities and the public) may return the documentation (screening or scoping documents, EIA Report) for revision - in such case the time frames stop - and are counted again when the report is resubmitted - ie again one month for public participation etc).