



Session III

Scoping, environmental report and quality control

Developing legislative framework for the strategic
environmental assessment in line with the Protocol on SEA

Sub-regional conference

2 November 2015

Lopota Lake Resort, Kakheti, Georgia

Scoping – art.6

- Mandatory stage in SEA
- Procedural elements
 - Scoping document
 - Who participates
 - Who decides
- Scoping determination/decision
 - Form and legal nature

Participants discuss examples from their drafts

SEA report – art. 7

- Relation to
 - Definition of SEA
 - Definition of SEA Report
- Requirements - Annex IV
 - Mandatory – all items must be included
 - New items may be added
 - Relation to scoping
 - Key elements
 - Environmental objectives
 - Health issues

Participants discuss examples from their drafts

Quality control

- Issue not regulated in SEA Protocol
- Different approaches
 - Regulating consultants
 - Regulating reviewers
- Role of
 - Environmental and health authorities (system of grading)
 - The public
- Transparency – mandatory publicly available databases of SEA Reports
- Combination of approaches

Participants discuss experience and examples from their drafts

Regulating consultants

- Legal requirements for consultants
 - Education
 - Experience
- Accreditation of consultants
 - Mandatory or voluntary
 - Scope
 - Individuals
 - Consultancy firms
- Experience : pros and cons

Participants discuss experience and examples from their drafts

Regulating reviewers

- Advisory commissions
 - Structure
 - Composition
 - Mandatory involvement or ad hoc
 - Advisory role
- Panels of experts
- Expertise model
- Ppros and cons

Participants discuss experience and examples from their drafts

Jerzy Jendrośka