



Practical application of SEA to the waste management sector of Georgia

SEA Training Workshop 2: Effect Assessment, Mitigation and Monitoring

21 – 22 September 2015 Tbilisi, Georgia











Identifying mitigation measures and designing the monitoring scheme: Preparation of effective inputs to decision making process

UNECE International Consultants on SEA:

Dr. Maia Gachechiladze-Bozhesku

Mr. Michal Musil









Mitigation measures

Recommendations for design of planned activities/projects.

- recommendations on specific issues and alternatives that should be investigated in their design,
- proposing scope and focus of specific EIAs that will be undertaken in the future for these activities,
- recommending conditions for implementation of these activities/projects.

Improvements in inter-institutional arrangements to ensure that side effects of the proposed plan are properly managed.

These may include improved coordination between relevant authorities, better use of economic instruments or enhanced enforcement and administrative supervision of proposed developments.

Other proposed initiatives to offset adverse impacts.















Mitigation measures: Environmental management system for implementation (1)

Preliminary ToRs (key issues and alternatives to be considered) for future SEAs - if the P/P triggers development of another P/P

Preliminary ToRs (key issues and alternatives to be considered) for future EIAs - if the P/P triggers specific projects that will require EIA

Guiding notes for decision-making on subsequent actions - if the P/P triggers specific projects that will not require EIA but yet may have significant effects

















Mitigation measures: Environmental management system for implementation (2)

Recommendations for future institutional arrangements for implementation (e.g. SEA team members part of Strategy/Plan steering committee)

Budgeting arrangements might be of utmost importance for influencing implementation

Reflection of environmental and health issues addressed within the SEA in the reporting & monitoring systems

















Case example 1: SEA for OP Enterprise and Innovations Czech Republic 2007 – 2013

Following principles were incorporated into the OPEI projects selection mechanism:

- Bonus for projects directly focusing on improvement of the environment
- Bonus for reduction of material intensity of the production
- Bonus for reduction of the energy consumption
- Bonus for reduction of emission and waste volumes
- Bonus for localization of the project in an old industrial site (instead on green field)

Within the OPEI component (sub-Program Eko-energie) focusing on support of the renewable energy and energy savings following criteria were adopted:

- Costs of the emissions reduction (CZK/kg CO2/ year)
- Verified total energy savings (electricity/heat)
- Average real use of installed energy generation capacity of a renewable resource















Case example 2:

SEA for National Waste Management Plan of Montenegro for period 2015-2020 (NWMP)

Measures of protection and reducing the impact on biological and landscape diversity and protected areas

- 1. When choosing locations for landfills and other facilities of the waste management system, it is necessary to use for this purpose existing degraded areas to the biggest extent possible.
- 3. During the preparation of the project documentation (environmental studies, etc.) for each landfill, the latest data on habitats (habitat map) and protected areas (border areas, of the future Natura 2000 sites) should be consulted.
- 4. It is necessary to initiate and sponsor a program of regular cleaning of garbage discarded or spread along the roads and in places under protection (natural and cultural heritage) as well as attractive tourist sites. These activities need to be implemented in cooperation with non-governmental organizations, schools and other stakeholders.











Partnership for Environment and Growth



Monitoring in SEA: Legal Requirements

SEA Directive:

- monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of P/Ps
- 2. undertake appropriate remedial action
- 3. use existing monitoring arrangements, if appropriate
- 4. monitoring measures to be publically available

Protocol on SEA

- 1. = 1. above + health effects
- 2. = 2. above
- 3. <u>results</u> of the monitoring undertaken to be publically available











Partnership for Environment and Growth



Tasks of Monitoring in SEA

- Compare predicted and actual effects, thus providing information on the implementation of the P/P
- Provide experience to help improve future SEAs (i.e., as a quality control tool)
- Check that environmental conditions imposed by the authorities are being complied with.
- Check that the P/P is implemented as described, including the prescribed mitigation measures.

















General approaches to monitoring

Impact-Related Monitoring on a Project Level

 data is collected at different stages of project development (permitting, start of operation, regular monitoring – emissions, wastes, etc.)

State-Related Monitoring (General Environmental Monitoring)

 to observe and describe the state of the environment (including changes) independently from programmes and plans

















General approaches to monitoring (cont'd)

Performance-Led Monitoring

 controlling the implementation and effectiveness of certain measures foreseen in a plan or programme (e.g. mitigation measures)

Objective-Related Monitoring

 controlling whether specific environmental quality objectives or environmental targets are attained within a given amount of time

Combined Approaches

















How to design the SEA Monitoring Scheme?

Monitoring

Evaluation and management

Communication/ reporting

Monitoring scheme design depends on the scope & content of a specific P/P + on existing monitoring capacities, administrative level and legal requirements.

Consider:

- Monitoring activity to be undertaken
- Responsibility for undertaking the monitoring
- When the monitoring needs to be carried out (dates &frequency)
- How results should be presented and in what format

















Approaches and tools (1/2)

Monitoring is linked to the environmental baseline, effect evaluation, and mitigation measures.

Example of structure for monitoring

| SEA objective | Significant environmental effect | Proposed monitoring |
|---|--|--|
| To protect and where possible enhance flora and fauna | Permanent loss of inter-tidal habitat due to 'hold the line' coastal protection schemes and on-going coastal squeeze | Monitoring of the quantity of inter-tidal habitat losses and gains using: modelling based on water levels (annual); aerial photography (at least on a 5 yearly basis). |
| | Inter-tidal habitat creation | Long-term monitoring through: aerial photography vegetation surveys bird surveys benthic macro-invertebrate surveys |
| To protect the historic environment | Potential to unearth or damage buried archaeological features | No strategic monitoring required. During preparation of detailed designs, appropriate archaeological assessments and watching briefs will be carried out. |













Approaches and tools (2/2)

Monitoring is based on the use of **indicators** that are tailored to each identified environmental and health issue/receptor

Indicator is a piece of information to measure the progress or achievement of an objective/a variable (economic, social or environmental).

For example, **SMART indicators**:

An indicator should be <u>specific</u>, <u>measurable</u>, <u>available</u>/achievable in a cost effective way, <u>relevant</u> for the programme, and available in a <u>timely manner</u> (SMART).

















Monitoring Examples from the Midlands WMP SEA

| Environmental | Indicator | Source |
|--|---|--|
| Receptor | | |
| Biodiversity, flora | Proximity of new facilities to designated areas | -Site EIS/AER/ DoEHLG |
| and | Area and % of designated habitat damaged due to | -Site EIS/otherwise may not |
| fauna | waste management facilities be availbale | |
| Soil/ Landuse | - Area of land occupied by ceased and existing waste | -AER, Local Authority |
| | activities (Total area and greenfield area) - Location of new facilities | AED |
| | | -AER |
| | Area of land contaminated due to waste activities | -Local Authority |
| | Area of contaminated land restored for beneficial use | -Local Authority |
| Air | - Number of licence exceedances for dust and noise | -AER |
| | No. of households with a waste collection service | - Local Authority |
| | Estimated tonnes of waste uncollected | /AER |
| | Amount of energy captured from waste facilities | |
| Climatic Factors | Estimate of landfill gases (CO₂ and methane) emitted/year from facilities -AER/ Local Authority | |
| Tonnes of waste recycled, landfilled and thermally treat | | ted -Local Authority/ |
| | | Annual Report/ |
| Transport | No. of waste generated journeys or km travelled | -may not be available |
| | Proximity of waste facilities to centres of population | Local Authority, CSO |
| | Amount of waste generated (success of waste minimis | ation) -Local Authority |
| | No. of households with home composting | -Local Authority |















Partnership for Environment and Growth

Implementing the SEA Monitoring Scheme

Monitoring measures should not only be envisaged, but also implemented!

SEA monitoring activities and reporting should be:

- optimally integrated in the overall monitoring scheme for the implementation of a P/P and/or linked to its regular revisions.
- reasonably based on existing monitoring schemes

Who is responsible?

- Protocol on SEA & SEA Directive do not suggest who, where, when or how should undertake the SEA monitoring.
- Practice:

















SEA and Decision-making

Decision-making: approval of a P/P

SEA shall provide information for decision-making, shall not be decision itself!

SEA shall inform about the environmental and health effects of a P/P

- P/P developers
- Decision-makers
- The Public

...and so contribute to the objective and knowledge-based decision

















SEA and Decision-making: Requirements of the SEA Protocol (1)

Article 11 – Decision

Decision-maker must take into account

- conclusions of environmental report
 - including measures to prevent / reduce / mitigate adverse effects of various P/P alternatives
- opinions expressed by
 - relevant environmental & health authorities
 - the public concerned
 - any affected Parties

















SEA and decision-making: Requirements of the SEA Protocol (2)

Following P/P adoption, decision-maker must inform

- relevant environmental & health authorities
- the public (not just the public concerned)
- any affected Parties

Adopted P/P must be made available, plus a statement:

- Summarizing how environmental and health considerations (in the environmental report) integrated into adopted P/P
- Summarizing how their opinions (of authorities & 'the public concerned') have been taken into account
- Summarizing reasons why P/P was adopted in light of reasonable alternatives considered

















SEA and Decision-making: Communication to Decision-makers

SEA is only as good as its results are reflected by the decision-makers (?)

For final recommendations use the language of decision-makers

- Don't extend too much on technical details
- Don't focus on problems but solutions
- Emphasize potentials of more sustainable solutions















Questions, comments?

Thank you for your attention!







