



# **Recent CIAM activities**

EMEP Steering Body Geneva, September 13-16, 2016

Markus Amann EMEP Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling IIASA, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis



### **Some CIAM activities**

 Serbia, Montenegro, Kosovo, Bosnia-H. distinguished in GAINS, with data on inventories, legislation, projections, dispersion and impacts

- Bilateral consultations, catching up with living inventories
  - Some discrepancies remain, and new emerge
  - National projections seem strategic, ignoring new legislation
  - Major incoherence in PM inventories (condensables)



# Modelling the consequences of enhanced road emission standards



Hypothetical Euro 7/VII scenarios: emission standards ~1/3 of Euro 6/VI

6

### **New biodiversity indicators**

 The monetized biodiversity benefits of an illustrative scenario that reduces excess N deposition by an additional 2% are 1.5-10 times higher than the costs, depending on the methodology for valuation of biodiversity.

- To protect biodiversity from air pollution threats, an effective strategy should reduce emissions
  - of NH<sub>3</sub> in Europe, to halt the loss of biodiversity,
  - and of CH<sub>4</sub> at the hemispheric scale, to reduce ozone damage.

#### 80% of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions emerge from 5% of the farms in the EU



Source: IIASA-GAINS

The NEC proposal suggests measures for 3% of the farms, i.e., for large industrial animal holdings

9

# There are large differences in the size structure of farms in the EU

 $NH_3$  by farm size – 2005



#### Source: IIASA-GAINS, based on EUROSTAT

# **Current policies are insufficient** to avoid steep increases in global nitrogen emissions

Regional and Global Emissions of Air Pollutants: Recent Trends and Future Scenarios

Markus Amann, Zbigniew Klimont, and Fabian Wagner

Ann.Rev.Env.Res. 38(1)



#### Range of future NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in Asia: GAINS vs RCP scenarios



Range spanned by different RCP climate scenarios

## **Global health impact assessment (HIA) in GAINS**

- The global HIA method of WHO and Global Burden of Disease projects have been implemented in GAINS
- Main differences to the HRAPIE/WHO-Euro method:
  - Non-linear Exposure-Response (IER) functions
  - Cause-specific (IHD, COPD, stroke, lung cancer, ALRI)
  - Including natural background
- Inclusion of indoor pollution from household sources
- 'Population-attributable fraction' to scale pollution estimates with total deaths



## Health and climate benefits of new energy policies

- IEA 'Energy and Air Quality' report:
  - Current policies (CPS)
  - INDCs (NPS)
  - Best practices air pollution controls (BPR)
  - Clean Air Energy Policies (CAS)

- Key findings:
  - INDCs will have health co-benefits
  - Ageing (+population growth) will counteract health benefits of air pollution controls
  - A new energy policy can reduce health impacts, and cut CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 20%

#### China Exposure to WHO target levels



■ >35 μg/m3 ■ 25-35μg/m3 ■ 15-25 μg/m3 ■ 10-15 μg/m3 ■ <10 μg/m3



# Key points

- The further evolution of emission inventories reveals important new information, but should be validated
- In-equalities in emissions and air quality impacts are important for policy making, current models catch such aspects only partially
- Need for further review of methodologies for health impact assessment
- Ageing of societies is an important factor that might counteract benefits of emission reductions