



Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General
5 October 2016

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

Thirty-sixth session

Geneva, 15 and 16 December 2016

Item 6 of the provisional agenda

Financial requirements for the implementation of the Convention

Financial requirements for the implementation of the Convention*

Note by the secretariat

Summary

The present note sets out the financial requirements for the implementation of the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution in 2017. In particular, it outlines the needs for the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP), in accordance with the Protocol on Long-term Financing of EMEP (EMEP Protocol), and financial information on activities to support implementation of the Convention and its protocols in countries with economies in transition, including information on the trust fund established for that work and the trust fund used to support the secretariat.


The note also presents recommendations to the Executive Body for the Convention, as required by the EMEP Protocol and Executive Body revised decision 2002/1 (ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1, annex I, para. 3, and ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 115 (e)).

* The present document is being issued without formal editing.

GE.16-17166(E)



* 1 6 1 7 1 6 6 *

Please recycle 



Contents

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	1	4
I. Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe	2–11	4
A. Status of the trust fund, contributions in kind and extrabudgetary contributions	3–7	4
B. Budget and mandatory contributions for 2017–2018.....	8–10	5
C. Proposed decisions.....	11	5
II. Core activities not covered by the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe.....	12–21	6
A. Contributions from Parties in 2015 and 2016 and the use of contributions	13–18	6
B. Budget and contributions required for 2017 and provisional budgets for 2018 and 2019	19–20	7
C. Proposed decisions	21	7
III. Promotion and implementation of the Convention.....	22–28	8
IV. Trust fund to support the secretariat.....	29–31	9
Annex		
Draft annex referred to in article 4 of the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for the Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe.....		26
Tables		
1. Contributions to the financing of EMEP ¹ as of 27 September 2016		10
2. Proposed 2017 EMEP ¹ budget covered by mandatory contributions.....		11
3. EMEP ¹ scale of contributions calculated on the basis of the 2015 United Nations scale of assessments and the schedule of contributions for 2017–2018		12
4. 2015 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention.....		14
5. 2016 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention, as of 27 September 2016		16
6. Recorded contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities in 2015		19
7. Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2015.....		19
8. Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2016 (as of 27 September 2016)		20

¹ Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe.

9.	Use of cash contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities and recorded in-kind contributions in the period 2007–2016.....	20
10.	International coordination costs for core activities in 2017 not funded through the EMEP ¹ Protocol	21
11.	Recommended scale of contributions to the trust fund for core activities not covered by the EMEP Protocol for 2017–2018, based on the request of the Executive Body to revise the table of its revised decision 2002/1, and table 10 above	22
12.	2015 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E239).....	23
13.	2015 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112, E196 and E239)	23
14.	2016 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112, E196) as of 25 September 2016 (estimated)	24
15.	2016 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112, E196 and E239) as of 25 September 2016 (estimated)	24

Introduction

1. Chapter I of the present note sets out the financial requirements for 2017 for the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP), as required under article 5 of the 1984 Protocol on the Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP Protocol). Chapter II sets out the 2017 requirements for the core activities not covered by the EMEP Protocol, as required by the Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution in its revised decision 2002/1 (ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1, annex I, para. 6, and ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 115 (e)). Chapter III sets out financial information on activities to support implementation of the Convention and its protocols in countries with economies in transition, including information on the trust fund established for that work, and chapter IV reports on the status of the trust fund used to support the secretariat. The note also provides the basis for, and lists, recommendations to the Executive Body as required by the EMEP Protocol and revised decision 2002/1.

I. Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe

2. Based on the decisions taken by the EMEP Steering Body (SB) and the Working Group on Effects at their first joint session in 2015 (ECE/EB.AIR/GE.1/2015/2–ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2015/2) and in the second joint session (ECE/EB.AIR/GE.1/2016/2–ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2016/2, forthcoming) in 2016, this chapter reports on the status of the EMEP trust fund and the contributions by Parties, including those that are outstanding. It also presents for the Executive Body's approval the proposed detailed EMEP budget for 2017, the schedule of mandatory contributions calculated on the basis of that budget and the 2015 United Nations scale of assessments and a revised annex to the EMEP Protocol, taking into account the accession to the Protocol by the Republic of Moldova on 26 July 2016.

A. Status of the trust fund, contributions in kind and extrabudgetary contributions

3. The contributions paid by the Parties to the Convention for the long-term financing of EMEP as of 27 September 2016 are summarized in table 1. They include both the mandatory contributions from the Parties to the EMEP Protocol within the geographic scope of EMEP and the voluntary contributions from Canada and the United States of America, as Parties outside the geographic scope of EMEP. As detailed in table 1, payments in cash in 2016 totalling \$2,304,334 were received by 27 September 2016. The expected cash contributions for 2016 to the trust fund from the following Parties were still outstanding: Armenia, Belarus, Greece, Ireland, Lithuania, Malta, Montenegro, Portugal, Slovakia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Ukraine.

4. In addition to the contributions summarized in table 1, voluntary extrabudgetary contributions have been allocated directly to the EMEP centres over several years. In 2013, the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-West (MSC-W) received extrabudgetary contributions directly from its host institution, the Norwegian Meteorological Institute, totalling \$1,576,212 in 2013, excluding the contribution by the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling (CIAM) of \$197,590; the Chemical Coordinating Centre (CCC) received the equivalent of \$111,000 from its host institution, the Norwegian Institute for

Air Research (NILU); and the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-East (MSC-E) received the total extrabudgetary contributions totalling \$57,635. In 2014, CCC reported in-kind contributions of a value of \$127,000, MSC-W reported in-kind contributions of a value of \$1,698,443 (including \$137,613 from CIAM) and MSC-E reported in-kind contributions valued at \$75,308. In 2015, MSC-W reported in-kind contributions of a value of \$1,632,156 (including \$96,264 from CIAM) and MSC-E reported in-kind contributions valued at \$40,678.

5. According to the secretariat's records, the total arrears in cash and in kind by 1 January 2016 stood at \$176,067, while the arrears by 1 January 2015 stood at \$118,976.

6. Greece and Ukraine have not paid their contributions for 2014–2015. Malta has not paid its contributions for 2013–2014. In 2016, Romania and Serbia repaid their accumulated arrears by 1 January 2016 (\$41,560 and \$7,120, respectively).

7. In 2016, Switzerland made two voluntary contributions to support the completion of the Towards Cleaner Air Scientific Assessment Report 2016. CHF 5,000 (\$ 4,854) was earmarked for MSC-W and CHF 15,000 (\$ 14,563) was earmarked for ICP Waters (see table 5).

B. Budget and mandatory contributions for 2017–2018

8. At its twenty-sixth session, the Executive Body decided, based upon the recommendation by the EMEP Steering Body, to increase the EMEP budget for the period 2008–2010 to \$2,358,700 in order to support the new priorities and the increased resource requirements, in particular for the work on emissions data and the work to strengthen the involvement of the countries in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia.

9. At its sixty-seventh session in December 2012, the United Nations General Assembly decided on the scale of assessments for the period 2013–2015 (2013 United Nations assessment rates).² At its seventieth session in December 2015, the General Assembly decided on the scale of assessments for the period 2016–2018 (2015 United Nations assessment rates).³

10. At the second joint session of EMEP SB and the Working Group on Effects (Geneva, 13–16 September 2016), the Steering Body considered the detailed EMEP budget for 2017 and agreed on the budget as set out in table 2 below. The EMEP SB also agreed on the schedule of mandatory contributions from Parties for 2017–2018, based on the 2015 United Nations scale of assessments. The proposed EMEP scale of contributions for 2017–2018 is presented in table 3. It recommended that the Executive Body adopt the budget for 2017 and the schedule of contributions for 2017–2018. The Executive Body is recommended to amend the EMEP Protocol by adopting, in accordance with article 4, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, a revised annex which now includes the Republic of Moldova.

C. Proposed decisions

11. The Executive Body may wish, inter alia:

(a) To adopt, in accordance with article 4, paragraph 3, of the EMEP Protocol, the revised annex, which now includes the Republic of Moldova, as set out in the annex to the present document;

² See General Assembly resolution 67/238.

³ See General Assembly resolution 70/245.

(b) To decide on the detailed use of resources in 2017 as set out in table 2 below and on the scale of mandatory contributions as set out in table 3 below;

(c) To support the Steering Body's call on the Parties to the EMEP Protocol to consider making additional voluntary contributions (in kind or in cash through the trust fund) to ensure that the work in 2017 can be accomplished as foreseen in the 2016–2017 workplan for the implementation of the Convention (ECE/EB.AIR/133/Add.1);

(d) To request the Steering Body, with the assistance of its Bureau, to present the details of the 2018 budget for approval by the Executive Body at its thirty-seventh session;

(e) To urge Parties that have not yet done so to pay their 2016 contributions in cash to the trust fund and, in 2017, to pay their contributions so that they reach the trust fund in the first half of the year.

II. Core activities not covered by the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe

12. This chapter sets out the financial requirements of the programme centres not funded through the EMEP Protocol, based on decisions taken by the Working Group on Effects at the second joint session of EMEP SB and the Working Group of Effects (ECE/EB.AIR/GE.1/2016/2–ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2016/2, forthcoming). It reports, as specified in revised decision 2002/1, on the contributions from Parties, both in cash and in kind, made in 2015 and 2016. It also presents for the Executive Body's consideration a budget for 2017 and provisional budgets for 2018 and 2019.

A. Contributions from Parties in 2015 and 2016 and the use of contributions

13. At its thirty-fourth session, the Executive Body decided to adopt a total budget for 2016 of \$2,152,700 for the core activities not funded by the EMEP Protocol (ECE/EB.AIR/133, para. 37 (c)). The secretariat sent a letter to all Parties informing them of the recommended contributions to meet the 2016 budget and invited them to pay them to the trust fund for effects-oriented activities, as agreed in revised decision 2002/1 (ECE/EB.AIR/109, para. 53 (f)).

14. Throughout 2015 and in 2016, Parties made cash contributions to the trust fund in accordance with revised decision 2002/1. Some contributions were earmarked for particular programme centres and are listed accordingly. Other contributions were not earmarked and are listed as non-earmarked contributions to the trust fund. Tables 4 (2015) and 5 (2016) also identify the contributions recommended to Parties by the Executive Body, as well as the actual contributions made.

15. For 2016, a total of 26 Parties made contributions, totalling \$1,599,364 as of 27 September 2016, of which \$1,066,682 (table 8) were contributions in kind and \$532,682 were contributions in cash to the trust fund (table 5).

16. As allowed under revised decision 2002/1, Finland, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland informed the secretariat of their contributions in kind for 2015 and 2016 and the secretariat included the appropriate sums in tables 7 and 8. The use of earmarked

and non-earmarked cash contributions and in-kind contributions in 2015 are given in detail in table 6 to and presented for the period 2007–2016 in table 9.

17. As contributions are received throughout the year, with no advance notice of amounts to be received, it is not possible to plan for contracts with the centres. Contracts for the current year are drawn up on the basis of contributions made Parties to the trust fund by 30 November of the preceding year.

18. In addition to the cash contributions, the Executive Body may wish to note the additional contributions made by the lead countries of the programmes and by the countries hosting programme centres. A number of Parties also provided additional support through hosting task force meetings, workshops and/or expert meetings.

B. Budget and contributions required for 2017 and provisional budgets for 2018 and 2019

19. At its thirty-fourth session, the Executive Body decided to adopt, for the core activities not funded by the EMEP Protocol, a provisional budget for 2016 of \$2,152,700 (including 3 per cent programme support costs). Subsequently, the Working Group on Effects at the second joint session of EMEP SB and the Working Group on Effects agreed on a detailed budget for the costs of core activities for 2017 (see (ECE/EB.AIR/GE.1/2016/2–ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2016/2, forthcoming) (table 10 below) totalling \$1,850,000 (\$1,905,500 with 3 per cent programme support costs). In addition, the Working Group agreed that the 2016 budget for CIAM not being financed by EMEP would remain at \$240,000 (\$247,200 with 3 per cent programme support costs). The total budget for 2017 is therefore \$2,152,700 (including 3 per cent support costs), in line with the provisional budget estimate made in 2015.

20. At the second joint session with EMEP SB, the Working Group on Effects invited the secretariat to provide the information on the amount of contributions made to the trust fund for effects-oriented activities as of 30 November 2016, and to make it available to the Executive Body at its thirty-sixth session. It recommended that the amount be used to co-fund the effects-oriented activities in 2017 (table 10). The Working Group further recommended that the Executive Body encourage Parties to make contributions to the trust fund before 30 November each year, and agreed on provisional budgets for 2018 and 2019 of \$2,152,700 per year for the costs of core activities.

C. Proposed decisions

21. The Executive Body may wish, inter alia:

(a) To take note of the contributions made to the trust fund for effects-oriented activities for 2016, and to welcome the payments made, but also to express disappointment at the lack of response by many Parties;

(b) To urge all Parties that have not yet done so to consider providing the recommended contributions to the trust fund for financing core activities without undue delay;

(c) To decide that the essential international coordination costs for financing the core activities of the Convention and its protocols, other than those covered by the EMEP Protocol, shall be \$2,152,700 in 2017, and shall provisionally be \$2,152,700 in 2018 and \$2,152,700 in 2019;

(d) To apply the 2015 United Nations scale of assessments for use in calculating the recommended contributions for 2017–2018 (table 11);

(e) To invite the secretariat to provide the information on the amount of contributions made to trust fund for effects-oriented activities as of 30 November 2016 at the thirty-sixth session of the Executive Body, and to use the amount as a basis to co-fund the effects-oriented activities in 2017;

(f) To request the secretariat to inform Parties of the recommended contributions to the trust fund to meet the 2017 budget, inviting them to make them as agreed in revised decision 2002/1;

(g) To encourage the Parties to make the recommended contributions to the trust fund before 30 November each year;

(h) To note with appreciation the essential support provided to the Convention and its bodies by lead countries, countries hosting coordinating centres and those organizing meetings, as well as countries that fund activities of their national focal centres/points and the active participation of national experts.

III. Promotion and implementation of the Convention

22. The Executive Body at its twenty-second session noted the importance of the Convention's work in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and the need for workshops, manuals and implementation guides to encourage implementation in countries of that subregion, and recognized the importance of adequate resources for that work (ECE/EB.AIR/83, para. 56 (g)). The need for capacity-building in countries with economies in transition and more active involvement of a greater number of Parties in the work of the Convention was also highlighted in the Convention's Long-term Strategy (ECE/EB.AIR/106/Add.1) and the revised action plan for Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2007/17).

23. At the Executive Body's twenty-third session, the secretariat noted the need for capacity-building to support implementation in all countries with economies in transition. A special trust fund (E112) was created for that purpose. At the Executive Body's twenty-fifth session, Parties were urged to investigate ways to secure funds for future activities including workshops, seminars, development of actions plans and participation in meetings and the work of the secretariat (ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 51 (e)). In 2013 trust fund E196, with the same objectives as E112, was established for operational reasons to accommodate contributions from the European Union, for which an administrative cost of 7 per cent is applied. In 2015 trust fund E239 was established to accommodate the contribution of the Russian Federation to strengthen the implementation of the Convention in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia.

24. Expenditures in 2015 totalled \$375,249 (table 13). Estimated expenditures in 2016 as of 25 September 2016 totalled \$ 302,631 (table 15). These covered the travel costs to support participation of delegates from countries with economies in transition in the activities under the Convention, the costs of organization and facilitation of workshops and meetings, other consultancy costs, as well as the secretariat staff support to organize capacity-building and awareness-raising activities and related travel.

25. In 2015, contributions were received from Norway and the Russian Federation totalling \$564,339 (table 12). In 2016, there were contributions received from the European Union and Switzerland totalling \$86,010 (table 14).

26. Estimated expenditures in 2015 as of 31 August totalled \$320,213 (table 15). They covered the travel costs to support the participation of delegates from countries with economies in transition in the activities under the Convention, the organizational and consultancy costs to facilitate the workshops in Armenia, Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan, the

consultancy costs to make a legislation analysis with its presentation to decision-makers in Uzbekistan as well as secretariat staff support to manage these activities and related travel.

27. The proposed budget for 2016–2017 of 1,712,000 (including respective 7 and 13 per cent United Nations programme support costs) includes necessary funding to support the participation of representatives of countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and South-Eastern Europe in meetings under the Convention (table 16). The budget also includes the organization of capacity-building and awareness-raising activities in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, described in draft workplan for the implementation of the Convention in 2016–2017 (ECE/EB.AIR/2015/1, section 4). Finally, table 16 also includes an estimate of the cost for the extrabudgetary staff member working full time on activities regarding the implementation of the capacity-building activities for countries with economies in transition, funded by Norway and the European Union, and of a staff member working full time to support the work of the secretariat on communication and outreach, partially funded by Germany.

28. The Executive Body may wish, inter alia:

(a) To reiterate its support to this element of the work programme, the success in an enlarged implementation of the Convention in countries in transition of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia being crucial for the future of the Convention;

(b) To invite all Parties, but especially those that lead task forces and expert groups, to promote activities such as special workshops in countries of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, and to collaborate with the secretariat in developing and carrying out such projects;

(c) To approve the proposed 2016–2017 budget of \$1,712,000 for promotion and implementation of the Convention;

(d) To welcome the contributions to the trust fund and to thank those countries that have contributed.

IV. Trust fund to support the secretariat

29. At its twenty-fourth session, the Executive Body took decision 2006/12, which agreed that travel by secretariat staff members to Convention meetings or meetings where they represent the Convention should, wherever possible, be funded through a United Nations trust fund.

30. Further to its contributions in 2011 (\$87,616), in 2013 (\$50,743), in 2014 (\$46,111), in 2015 (\$35,667), Norway continued to support the work of the secretariat and committed NOK 300,000 (\$35,460) in 2016.

31. As of 31 December 2015, related expenditures for 2014–2015 amount to \$188,495, including United Nations programme support costs. This figure includes the cost of a short-term secretariat staff member and official travel by secretariat staff to meetings relevant for the Convention. As of 31 May 2016, related expenditures for 2016 amounted to \$ 7,098, covering official travel by secretariat staff to meeting relevant for the Convention. An amount of \$ 75,000 has been allocated to co-funding the secretariat staff position on outreach and communication activities.

Table 1
Contributions to the financing of EMEP as of 27 September 2016

(in United States dollars)

<i>Parties</i>	<i>EMEP Protocol in force since</i>	<i>Contributions received</i>		<i>Arrears by 01/01/2016</i>	<i>Contributions in 2016</i>	
		<i>In kind 1981–2010</i>	<i>In cash 1981–2015</i>		<i>Expected</i>	<i>Received</i>
Albania	06/09/2011	—	1 120	—	560	560
Armenia	21/01/2014	—	—	390	390	—
Austria	28/01/1988	—	1 120 224	—	44 440	44 440
Belarus	28/01/1988	120 650	14 500	—	3 120	—
Belgium	28/01/1988	—	1 312 028	—	55 570	55 700
Bosnia and Herzegovina	06/03/1992	—	8 985	—	950	950
Bulgaria	28/01/1988	60 169	20 510	—	2 620	2 620
Canada	28/01/1988	—	86 614	—	^a	^a
Croatia	08/10/1992	—	68 301	—	7 020	7 020
Cyprus	18/02/1992	—	38 728	—	2 620	2 620
Czechia	01/01/1993	—	307 612	—	21 490	21 490
Denmark	28/01/1988	—	932 720	—	37 590	37 590
Estonia	07/03/2002	—	16 679	—	2 230	2 230
Finland	28/01/1988	—	818 329	—	28 900	28 880
France	28/01/1988	—	7 049 359	—	311 430	311 430
Georgia	08/05/2014	—	780	—	390	390
Germany	28/01/1988	—	10 755 636	—	397 630	397 630
Greece	22/09/1988	—	487 751	71 060	35 530	—
Hungary	28/01/1988	24 945	208 478	47	14 810	14 810
Ireland	28/01/1988	—	414 398	—	23 280	—
Italy	12/04/1989	—	5 233 875	-1 472	247 680	247 680
Latvia	19/05/1997	—	21 770	2 620	2 620	5 250
Liechtenstein	28/01/1988	—	9 809	—	500	500
Lithuania	07/11/2003	—	21 600	3 410	4 060	—
Luxembourg	28/01/1988	—	84 998	—	4 510	4 510
Malta	12/06/1997	—	7 769	5 441	890	—
Monaco	25/11/1999	—	3 792	—	670	670
Montenegro	23/10/2006	—	1 336	54	280	—
Netherlands	28/01/1988	—	2 387 838	20	92 100	92 100
Norway	28/01/1988	—	1 082 059	—	47 390	47 390
Poland	13/12/1988	131 337	551 883	—	51 280	51 280
Portugal	10/04/1989	—	399 801	26 390	26 390	—
Romania	27/07/2003	—	24 120	41 560	12 580	54 140
Russian Federation	28/01/1988	2 434 909	1 353 489	—	135 750	135 750
Serbia	27/04/1992	—	38 705	7 120	2 230	8 240
Slovakia	28/05/1993	—	105 655	—	9 520	—

Parties	EMEP Protocol in force since	Contributions received		Arrears by 01/01/2016	Contributions in 2016	
		In kind 1981–2010	In cash 1981–2015		Expected	Received
Slovenia	06/07/1992	—	83 836	—	5 570	5 570
Spain	28/01/1988	—	2 876 344	6 577	165 550	165 550
Sweden	28/01/1988	—	1 496 699	—	53 460	53 460
Switzerland	28/01/1988	—	1 585 703	—	58 300	63 154 ^b
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	31/03/2010	—	—	1 830	450	—
Turkey	28/01/1988	—	606 869	—	73 950	73 950
Ukraine	28/01/1988	180 164	36 357	11 020	5 510	—
United Kingdom	28/01/1988	—	6 949 445	—	288 380	288 380
United States	28/01/1988	—	179 850	^a	^a	—
European Union	28/01/1988	—	1 847 617	—	78 510	78 510
Former Czechoslovakia		113 405	—	—	—	—
Former German Democratic Republic		171 052	—	—	—	—
Former Soviet Union		2 669 522	—	—	—	—
Former Yugoslavia		—	25 699	—	—	—
Total	46 Parties	5 906 153	50 587 590	176 067	2 358 700	2 304 334

^a Voluntary.

^b Includes voluntary contribution of US\$ 4,854 (CHF 5,000) to support the 2016 assessment report.

Table 2

Proposed 2017 EMEP budget covered by mandatory contributions

(in United States dollars)

	Total	CCC	MSC-E	MSC-W (combined) ^a	MSC-W (own) ^b	CEIP	CIAM
A. Atmospheric monitoring	820 000	820 000	—	—	—	—	—
B. Atmospheric modelling	845 000	—	395 000	450 000	450 000	—	—
C. Source-receptor calculations	180 000	—	60 000	120 000	120 000	—	—
D. Integrated assessment modelling	155 000	—	—	155 000	—	—	155 000
E. Emission inventories	220 000	—	—	220 000	—	220 000	—
F. Emerging issues ^c	70 000	20 000	20 000	30 000	—	30 000	—
Subtotal	2 290 000	840 000	475 000	975 000	570 000	250 000	155 000
G. Programme support cost (3%)	68 700						
Grand total	2 358 700						

^a Represents the budget for MSC-W, CEIP and CIAM covered by mandatory contributions.

^b Represents the budget for MSC-W alone.

^c Includes: (a) support to Parties by providing gridding emissions tools and continuation of the work on condensables and semi-volatile organic compounds in emission inventories (CEIP); (b) a study focused on benzo(a)pyrene in the Mediterranean area (MSC-E); (c) support the work on quality assurance and quality control with respect to EMEP monitoring (CCC).

Table 3
**EMEP scale of contributions calculated on the basis of the 2015 United Nations scale of assessments
and the schedule of contributions for 2017–2018**

	<i>United Nations 2015 assessment rate (%)</i>	<i>“EMEP share” (%)</i>	<i>EMEP scale of contributions (%)</i>	<i>2017 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>	<i>2018 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>
<i>Non-European Union Parties to the EMEP Protocol</i>					
Albania	0.008	0.0209	0.0211	500	500
Armenia	0.006	0.0157	0.0158	370	370
Belarus	0.056	0.1463	0.1474	3 480	3 480
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.013	0.0340	0.0342	810	810
Canada	2.921	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
Georgia	0.008	0.0209	0.0211	500	500
Liechtenstein	0.007	0.0183	0.0184	430	430
Monaco	0.010	0.0261	0.0263	620	620
Montenegro	0.004	0.0104	0.0105	250	250
Norway	0.849	2.2174	2.2341	52 700	52 700
Republic of Moldova	0.004	0.0104	0.0105	250	250
Russian Federation	3.088	8.0652	8.1258	191 660	191 660
Serbia	0.032	0.0836	0.0842	1 990	1 990
Switzerland	1.140	2.9774	2.9998	70 760	70 760
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.007	0.0183	0.0184	430	430
Turkey	1.018	2.6588	2.6788	63 180	63 180
Ukraine	0.103	0.2690	0.2710	6 390	6 390
United States	22.000	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>European Union Parties</i>					
Austria	0.720	1.8805	1.8946	44 690	44 690
Belgium	0.885	2.3114	2.3288	54 930	54 930
Bulgaria	0.045	0.1175	0.1184	2 790	2 790
Croatia	0.099	0.2586	0.2605	6 140	6 140
Cyprus	0.043	0.1123	0.1132	2 670	2 670
Czechia	0.344	0.8985	0.9052	21 350	21 350
Denmark	0.584	1.5253	1.5367	36 250	36 250
Estonia	0.038	0.0992	0.1000	2 360	2 360
Finland	0.456	1.1910	1.1999	28 300	28 300
France	4.859	12.6907	12.7860	301 580	301 580
Germany	6.389	16.6867	16.8121	396 550	396 550
Greece	0.471	1.2302	1.2394	29 230	29 230
Hungary	0.161	0.4205	0.4237	9 990	9 990
Ireland	0.335	0.8749	0.8815	20 790	20 790
Italy	3.748	9.7890	9.8625	232 630	232 630
Latvia	0.050	0.1306	0.1316	3 100	3 100
Lithuania	0.072	0.1880	0.1895	4 470	4 470

	<i>United Nations 2015 assessment rate (%)</i>	<i>“EMEP share” (%)</i>	<i>EMEP scale of contributions (%)</i>	<i>2017 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>	<i>2018 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>
Luxembourg	0.064	0.1672	0.1684	3 970	3 970
Malta	0.016	0.0418	0.0421	990	990
Netherlands	1.482	3.8707	3.8997	91 980	91 980
Poland	0.841	2.1965	2.2130	52 200	52 200
Portugal	0.392	1.0238	1.0315	24 330	24 330
Romania	0.184	0.4806	0.4842	11 420	11 420
Slovakia	0.160	0.4179	0.4210	9 930	9 930
Slovenia	0.084	0.2194	0.2210	5 210	5 210
Spain	2.443	6.3806	6.4285	151 630	151 630
Sweden	0.956	2.4969	2.5156	59 340	59 340
United Kingdom	4.463	11.6564	11.7440	277 010	277 010
European Union	^b	3.3300	3.3300	78.550	78.550
Total		99.2791	100.000	2 358 700	2 358 700
<i>Parties to the Convention not Party to the EMEP Protocol</i>					
Azerbaijan	0.060	0.1567	^b	^b	^b
Iceland	0.023	0.0601	^b	^b	^b
Kazakhstan	0.191	0.4989	^b	^b	^b
Kyrgyzstan	0.002	0.0052	^b	^b	^b
Total (excluding Canada and United States)		37.013	100.000		

^a Voluntary.

^b Not applicable.

Table 4
2015 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention

(in United States dollars)

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non-earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Albania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	495	495	495
Armenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	344	344	344
Austria	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	42 057	—	—	42 057	40 363
Azerbaijan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024	2 024	2 024
Belarus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 842	2 842	2 842
Belgium	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	37 144	37 144	50 481
Bosnia and Herzegovina	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	861	861	861
Bulgaria	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 368	2 368	2 368
Canada	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a
Croatia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6 372	6 372	6 372
Cyprus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 368
Czechia	1 500	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19 525	21 025	19 525
Denmark	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34 142	34 142	34 142
Estonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024
Finland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	26 241
France	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	282 908
Georgia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	344	344	344
Germany	27 705	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27 705	—	27 705	361 202
Greece	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	32 269
Hungary	655	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	655	13 425	14 080	13 454
Iceland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 356
Ireland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21 400	21 400	21 140
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	224 979
Kazakhstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6 114
Kyrgyzstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	108

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non-earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Latvia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 368
Liechtenstein	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	452
Lithuania	568	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	568	3 703	4 271	3 703
Luxembourg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4 090	4 090	4 090
Malta	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	818
Monaco	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	603
Montenegro	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	232	232	258
Netherlands	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	83 654
Norway	1 695	43 054	—	—	—	—	—	—	44 749	—	44 749	43 054
Poland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	46 584	46 584	46 584
Portugal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	23 981
Republic of Moldova	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	151	151	151
Romania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11 431	11 431	11 431
Russian Federation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	123 307
Serbia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024	2 024	2 024
Slovakia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8 654	8 654	8 654
Slovenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10 118	10 118	5 059
Spain	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	150 388
Sweden	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48 565
Switzerland	3 390	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3 390	52 956	56 346	52 956
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	409
Turkey	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	67 164	67 164	67 164
Ukraine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10 032	10 032	5 016
United Kingdom	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	261 962
United States	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a
European Union	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	71 725	71 725	71 725

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non-earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Total	35 513	43 054	—	—	—	—	—	42 057	120 624	429 890	550 514	2 152 700

Note: Contributions listed under Programme Centres and other subsidiary bodies of the Convention include earmarked funds towards their work. Recommended contributions are as agreed by the Executive Body.

Abbreviations: ICP F = The International Cooperative Programme (ICP) on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests; ICP W = ICP on Assessment and Monitoring of Effects of Air Pollution on Rivers and Lakes; ICP M = ICP on Effects of Air Pollution on Materials, including Historic and Cultural Monuments; ICP V = ICP on Effects of Air Pollution on Natural Vegetation and Crops; ICP IM = ICP on Integrated Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Ecosystems; ICP M&M = ICP on Modelling and Mapping of Critical Levels and Loads and Air Pollution Effects, Risks and Trends; Health = the Joint Task Force on the Health Aspects of Air Pollution; CIAM = Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling.

^a Voluntary.

Table 5
2016 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention, as of 27 September 2016

(in United States dollars)

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non-earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Albania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	495	495	495
Armenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	344
Austria	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	37 978	37 978	—	37 978	40 363
Azerbaijan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024	2 024	2 024
Belarus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 842
Belgium	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35 614	35 614	50 481
Bosnia and Herzegovina	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	861	861	861
Bulgaria	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 368	2 368	2 368
Canada	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a
Croatia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6 372	6 372	6 372
Cyprus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 368
Czechia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19 525	19 525	19 525
Denmark	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34 142	34 142	34 142

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non- earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Estonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024
Finland	1 605	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 605	—	1 605	26 241
France	14 665	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14 665	—	14 665	282 908
Georgia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	344
Germany	27 705	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27 705	—	27 705	361 202
Greece	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	32 269
Hungary	675	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	675	13 454	14 129	13 454
Iceland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 356	1 356	1 356
Ireland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21 140
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	224 979
Kazakhstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6 114
Kyrgyzstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	228	228	108
Latvia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 352	2 352	2 368
Liechtenstein	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	452
Lithuania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3 703	3 703	3 703
Luxembourg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4 090	4 090	4 090
Malta	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	818
Monaco	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	603	603	603
Montenegro	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	258
Netherlands	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	83 654
Norway	—	43 054	—	—	—	—	—	—	43 054	—	43 054	43 054
Poland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	46 584
Portugal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	23 981
Republic of Moldova	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	151
Romania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11 431	11 431	11 431
Russian Federation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	123 307
Serbia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024	2 024	2 024

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non- earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Slovakia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8 654
Slovenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5 059	5 059	5 059
Spain	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	150 388
Sweden	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48 565
Switzerland	—	14 563	—	8 307	—	—	—	—	22 870	52 956	75 826	52 956
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	409
Turkey	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	67 164	67 164	67 164
Ukraine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5 016
United Kingdom	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	261 962
United States	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a
European Union	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	71 725	71 725	71 725
Total	44 650	57 617	—	8 307	—	—	—	37 978	148 552	384 130	532 682	2 152 700

Note: Abbreviations used in the table are defined in the notes to table 4 above. Contributions listed under Programme Centres and other subsidiary bodies of the Convention include earmarked funds towards their work. Recommended contributions are as agreed by the Executive Body.

^a Voluntary.

Table 6
Recorded contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities in 2015

(in United States dollars)

	<i>Contributions in cash paid by Trust Fund</i>		<i>Contributions in kind</i>	<i>Total recorded contributions</i>	<i>Budget 2015</i>	<i>Budget deficit (in %)</i>
	<i>Earmarked</i>	<i>Non-earmarked^a</i>				
ICP F	54 600	42 600	257 921	355 121	305 000	—
ICP W	42 800	42 600	204 115	289 515	260 000	—
ICP M	—	42 600	107 400	150 000	310 000	52
ICP V	—	42 600	156 489	199 089	250 000	20
ICP IM	—	42 600	216 300	258 900	300 000	14
ICP M&M and CCE	—	42 600	604 634	647 234	310 000	—
CIAM	37 800	42 600	—	80 400	240 000	67
Health	—	42 600	70 325	112 925	115 000	2
Total	135 200	340 800	1 617 184	2 150 784	2 090 000	—

Notes: The total budget is subject to 3 per cent programme support costs, i.e., \$62 700.

^a Non-earmarked contributions were shared equally among ICPs, the Task Force on Health and CIAM.

Table 7
Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2015

(in United States dollars)

<i>Contributors in 2015</i>	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>CCE</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>Total (Party)</i>
Finland	—	—	—	—	170 000	—	—	—	170 000
France ^a	—	—	—	—	—	84 500	—	—	84 500
Germany ^b	257 921	—	—	—	—	—	—	9 225	267 146
Italy	—	—	48 000	—	—	—	—	—	48 000
Netherlands ^c	—	—	—	—	—	—	520 134	—	520 134
Norway	—	178 657	—	—	—	—	—	—	178 657
Sweden ^d	—	—	59 400	—	46 300	—	—	—	105 700
Switzerland ^e	—	10 183	—	—	—	—	—	61 100	71 283
United Kingdom	—	—	—	156 489	—	—	—	—	156 489
Total (body)	257 921	188 840	107 400	156 489	216 300	84 500	520 134	70 325	1 601 909

Notes: For column heading abbreviations, please see notes to table 4. CCE = Coordination Centre for Effects.

^a Contribution in kind worth EUR 65,000.

^b Contribution in kind worth EUR 237,475 and 8,275, respectively.

^c Contribution in kind worth EUR 465,000.

^d Contribution in kind worth SEK 490,000 and 382,000, respectively.

^e Contribution in kind worth CHF 10,000 and 60,000, respectively.

Table 8
Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2016 (as of 27 September 2016)

(in United States dollars)

<i>Contributors in 2016</i>	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>CCE</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>Total (Party)</i>
Finland	—	—	—	—	170 000	—	—	—	170 000
France	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germany ^a	257 921	—	—	5 195	—	8 187	—	9 819	281 122
Italy	—	—	48 000	—	—	—	—	—	48 000
Netherlands	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Norway	—	182 766	—	—	—	—	—	—	182 766
Spain ^b	—	—	—	9 476	—	—	—	—	9 476
Sweden ^c	—	—	60 500	—	29 500	—	—	—	90 000
Switzerland ^d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61 100	61 100
United Kingdom	—	—	—	216 072	—	—	—	—	216 072
Total (body)	257 921	182 766	108 500	230 743	199 500	8 187	—	70 918	1 058 536

Notes: For column heading abbreviations, please see notes to table 4. CCE = Coordination Centre for Effects.

^a Contributions in kind worth (preliminary) EUR 237,475, EUR 4,660, EUR 7,344 and 8,807, respectively.

^b Contribution in kind worth EUR 8,500.

^c Contribution in kind worth SEK 490,000 and 382,000, respectively.

^d Contribution in kind worth CHF 60,000.

Table 9
Use of cash contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities and recorded in-kind contributions in the period 2007–2016

(in United States dollars)

<i>Activity area</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>	<i>2014</i>	<i>2015</i>	<i>2016^a</i>
<i>Earmarked</i>										
ICP F	133 000	106 000	61 000	48 000	74 160	57 800	36 700	59 900	54 600	35 900
ICP W	59 000	32 000	39 000	36 000	38 000	40 600	41 900	41 900	42 800	55 900
ICP M	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ICP V	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8 000
ICP IM	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ICP M&M	—	—	—	—	12 000	—	—	—	—	—
Health	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CIAM	—	—	43 248	43 000	52 000	—	38 600	38 600	37 800	40 800
Substantive reporting	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total earmarked	192 000	138 000	143 248	127 000	176 160	98 400	117 200	140 400	135 200	140 600
<i>Non-earmarked^b</i>										
ICP F	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800

Activity area	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 ^a
ICP W	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
ICP M	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
ICP V	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
ICP IM	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
ICP M&M	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
Health	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
CIAM	93 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	47 600	42 600	49 800
Substantive reporting	—	9 000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total non-earmarked	576 000	545 000	472 000	544 000	392 000	565 600	389 600	380 800	340 800	398 400

Notes: Abbreviations used in this table are defined in the notes to table 4 above.

^a Committed but not yet debited from the trust fund.

^b Non-earmarked contributions were shared equally among ICPs, the Task Force on Health and CIAM; the sum for CIAM has included additional amounts from the past years' accumulated allocation and earmarked contributions.

Table 10
International coordination costs for core activities in 2017 not funded through the EMEP Protocol

(in United States dollars)

Activities	ICP F PCC ^a	ICP W; PC ^b	ICP M; PMRC ^c	ICP V; PCC ^d	ICP IM PC ^e	ICP M&M; CCE ^f	Health ^g	CIAM ^h	Subtotal activities
Monitoring and assessment	100 000	60 000	70 000	30 000	75 000	—	—	—	335 000
Dose-response	40 000	60 000	75 000	75 000	40 000	—	—	—	290 000
Critical loads and levels	45 000	35 000	70 000	55 000	30 000	135 000	—	—	370 000
Dynamic modelling	35 000	40 000	35 000	20 000	80 000	140 000	—	—	350 000
Database management	85 000	65 000	60 000	70 000	75 000	35 000	—	—	390 000
Total ICPs, Task Force on Health and CIAM	305 000	260 000	310 000	250 000	300 000	310 000	115 000	240 000	2 090 000ⁱ

Notes: Abbreviations used in the table are defined in the notes to table 4 above. In addition, PCC = Programme Coordinating Centre; PMRC = Programme Main Research Centre; PC = Programme Centre; CCE = Coordination Centre for Effects.

^a Lead Country: Germany. Programme Coordinating Centre: Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, Germany.

^b Lead Country: Norway. Programme Centre: Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Oslo.

^c Lead Countries: Sweden and Italy. Programme Main Research Centre: Swerea KIMAB AB, Stockholm.

^d Lead Country: United Kingdom. Programme Coordination Centre: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor, United Kingdom.

^e Lead Country: Sweden. Programme Centre: Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki.

^f Lead Country: France. CCE: Netherlands National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

^g Led by the World Health Organization (WHO) European Centre for Environment and Health, Bonn, Germany; essential coordination costs borne by the WHO European Centre for Environment and Health.

^h Hosted by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria.

ⁱ Subject to 3 per cent programme support costs, i.e., \$62,700.

Table 11

Recommended scale of contributions to the trust fund for core activities not covered by the EMEP Protocol for 2017–2018, based on the request of the Executive Body to revise the table of its revised decision 2002/1 and table 10 above

<i>Parties to the Convention^a</i>	<i>United Nations 2015 assessment rate^b (%)</i>	<i>Recommended scale (%)</i>	<i>Recommended contribution per year (in US\$)</i>
<i>Non- European Union countries</i>			
Albania	0.008	0.021	452
Armenia	0.006	0.016	344
Azerbaijan	0.060	0.157	3 380
Belarus	0.056	0.146	3 143
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.013	0.034	732
Georgia	0.008	0.021	452
Iceland	0.023	0.060	1 292
Kazakhstan	0.191	0.499	10 742
Kyrgyzstan	0.002	0.005	108
Liechtenstein	0.007	0.018	387
Monaco	0.010	0.026	560
Montenegro	0.004	0.010	215
Norway	0.849	2.217	47 725
Republic of Moldova	0.004	0.010	215
Russian Federation	3.088	8.065	173 615
Serbia	0.032	0.084	1 808
Switzerland	1.140	2.977	64 086
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.007	0.018	387
Turkey	1.018	2.659	57 240
Ukraine	0.103	0.269	5 791
<i>European Union countries</i>			
Austria	0.720	1.880	40 471
Belgium	0.885	2.311	49 749
Bulgaria	0.045	0.118	2 540
Croatia	0.099	0.259	5 575
Cyprus	0.043	0.112	2 411
Czechia	0.344	0.898	19 331
Denmark	0.584	1.525	32 829
Estonia	0.038	0.099	2 131
Finland	0.456	1.191	25 639
France	4.859	12.691	273 199
Germany	6.389	16.687	359 221
Greece	0.471	1.230	26 478
Hungary	0.161	0.420	9 041
Ireland	0.335	0.875	18 836
Italy	3.748	9.789	210 728

<i>Parties to the Convention^a</i>	<i>United Nations 2015 assessment rate^b (%)</i>	<i>Recommended scale (%)</i>	<i>Recommended contribution per year (in US\$)</i>
Latvia	0.050	0.131	2 820
Lithuania	0.072	0.188	4 047
Luxembourg	0.064	0.167	3 595
Malta	0.016	0.042	904
Netherlands	1.482	3.871	83 331
Poland	0.841	2.197	47 295
Portugal	0.392	1.024	22 044
Romania	0.184	0.481	10 354
Slovakia	0.160	0.418	8 998
Slovenia	0.084	0.219	4 714
Spain	2.443	6.381	137 364
Sweden	0.956	2.497	53 753
United Kingdom	4.463	11.656	250 919
European Union	—	3.33	71 709
Total	37.013	100.000	2 152 700

^a Canada and the United States of America: voluntary contributions.

^b United Nations 2015 assessment rates are based upon General Assembly resolution A/70/245 on the scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations.

Table 12
2015 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E239)

(in United States dollars)

<i>Country</i>	<i>Contributions</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Russian Federation	335 507 ^a	Strengthening the implementation of the Convention in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Norway	228 832	Staff support and travel support to delegates from Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Total	564 339	

^a This is the actual amount transferred to E239 account in 2015, whereas the full amount of the respective contribution to ECE is 435,000 for the period of implementation from mid-2015 until mid-2018.

Table 13
2015 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112, E196 and E239)

(in United States dollars)

<i>Item</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Description</i>
Travel support to delegates	94 053	First joint session of the EMEP Steering Body and the Working Group on Effects (14 experts) Meeting of the EMEP Task Force on Emission Inventories and Projections (5 experts)

<i>Item</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Description</i>
		Fifty-third session of the Working Group on Strategies and Review and Thirty-fourth session of the Executive Body (13 delegates)
		Thirty-fifth session of the Implementation Committee (1 member)
Organization of workshops	12 692	Organization of a roundtable and a workshop in Uzbekistan Organization of a workshop in Armenia Organization of a workshop in Kazakhstan
Staff support	157 865	Manage the activities to assist the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Travel of staff	7 141	Missions to Uzbekistan, Italy, Armenia and Kazakhstan
Consultants and their travel	72 325	Legislation analysis and travel to Uzbekistan (1 consultant) Facilitation of workshop and travel to Armenia (2 consultants) Facilitation of workshop and travel to Kazakhstan (2 consultants) Support to Ukraine in preparing its Informative Inventory Report (1 consultant) Development of the content of brochure "Clean Air for Life" (1 consultant)
Subtotal	344 076	
United Nations support	31 173	7 and 13 per cent programme support costs
Total	375 249	

Table 14
2016 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E196) as of 25 September 2016 (estimated)

(in United States dollars)

<i>Country</i>	<i>Contributions</i>	<i>Notes</i>
European Union	66 593	Staff support
Switzerland	19 417	Participation of countries in Central Asia and Azerbaijan in the work of the Convention
Total	86 010	

Table 15
2016 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112, E196 and E239) as of 25 September 2015 (estimated)

(in United States dollars)

<i>Item</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Description</i>
Travel support to delegates	63 276	Thirty-fifth session of the Executive Body (13 delegates) Workshop on best available techniques (Berlin, 20-22 April) (1 expert) Joint session of the EMEP Steering Body and the Working Group on Effects (9 experts)

<i>Item</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Description</i>
Organization of workshops and meetings	15 588	Organization of a roundtable and a workshop in Kyrgyzstan Organization of a roundtable in Kazakhstan Co-organization of a workshop in Belarus Organization of a meeting of the Coordinating Group on the promotion of actions towards implementation of the Convention in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Staff support	119 109	Manage the activities to assist the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Travel of staff	9 772	Missions to Germany, Georgia, Kazakhstan and Belarus
Consultants and their travel	62 434	Legislation analysis and travel to Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan (1 consultant) Facilitation of workshop and travel to Kyrgyzstan (2 consultants) Design, layout and translation of the brochure "Clean Air for Life" (1 consultant)
Other services	277	Printing services
Subtotal	270 456	
United Nations support cost	32 175	7 and 13 per cent programme support costs
Total	302 631	

Annex

Draft annex referred to in article 4 of the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for the Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe

Mandatory contributions for sharing of costs for financing EMEP shall from 2017 onwards be calculated according to the scale set out in the following table.*

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Albania	0.0211
Armenia	0.0158
Belarus	0.1474
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0342
Canada	^a
Georgia	0.0211
Liechtenstein	0.0184
Monaco	0.0263
Montenegro	0.0105
Norway	2.2341
Republic of Moldova	0.0105
Russian Federation	8.1258
Serbia	0.0842
Switzerland	2.9998
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.0184
Turkey	2.6788
Ukraine	0.2710
United States	^a
Austria	1.8946
Belgium	2.3288
Bulgaria	0.1184
Croatia	0.2605
Cyprus	0.1132
Czechia	0.9052
Denmark	1.5367
Estonia	0.1000
Finland	1.1999

* The order in which the Contracting Parties are listed in this annex is specifically made in relation to the cost-sharing system agreed upon by the Executive Body for the Convention. Accordingly, the listing is a feature which is specific to the Protocol on the Financing of EMEP.

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
France	12.7860
Germany	16.8121
Greece	1.2394
Hungary	0.4237
Ireland	0.8815
Italy	9.8625
Latvia	0.1316
Lithuania	0.1895
Luxembourg	0.1684
Malta	0.0421
Netherlands	3.8997
Poland	2.2130
Portugal	1.0315
Romania	0.4842
Slovakia	0.4210
Slovenia	0.2210
Spain	6.4285
Sweden	2.5156
United Kingdom	11.7440
European Union	3.3300
Total	100.0000

^a Voluntary.
