

Lessons learned from the tests of the IAN system during the Danube delta project

Svetlana Stirbu – Republic of Moldova

online meeting of the Points of contact under the UNECE Convention
on the Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents of the Bureau of
TEIA Convention – 15 February 2016

UNECE IAN system - lessons learned

- There were problems to inform neighbouring countries about the accident through the UNECE IAN system.
- The system did not accept the **wind direction** and thus did not allow the submission of the report.
- After several attempts to submit the report, it finally could be sent only as a report of notification with delays.

Notification

- The system would be more faster when the message will come as well directly to the phone as sms notification.
- The number of recipients, has to be more as one email account, this will make more efficient the system.
- To encourage countries to use the IAN system through easier application form to be to filled in.

Recommendations

- Review the operational capability of UNECE IAN system in order to have same functionality and promptness as the PIAC - AEWS system from ICPDR
- Conduct improvements and organize specific exercises to test both systems.
- To appoint a person within the respective organizations who is responsible for the notification and the notification procedures.
- A permanent exchange of information between UNECE IAN System Dispatch and ICPDR, during the interventions, is needed.

Thank you for your kind attention

