

# Conclusions and Recommendations from the 2014 Adjustment Reviews

Chris Dore  
(Aether, United Kingdom)



# Adjustment Reviews

## Conclusions

- **Guidance**
- **Process/Management**
- **Resourcing**

## Recommendations

- **Future actions**



# Conclusions

## Guidance

- In all cases, **additional information was needed from the Party**
  - The guidance on the supporting information to be provided requires improving (challenges regarding “new” sources and “significant” changes).
  - Tables should be defined for reporting quantitative information that is used for adjustment calculations.
  - Application criteria may need to be better presented.
- **The road transport sector is particularly involved**
  - A discussion is required to determine the information to be provided, and exactly how adjustments are calculated
  - IIASA need to be involved (GAINS model).

# Conclusions

## Process/Management

- All 6 submitted applications were assessed and reports are published
  - ... but some applications are still “Open”.
- The timeline for this year was later than “usual”
  - Phasing should be easier in future years.
- There is some scope to align with the Stage 3 reviews, but this is limited.
  - Science and compliance reviews have a different focus.



# Conclusions



## Resourcing

- **No additional funding was made available** to support the Adjustment Reviews.
  - This has impacted on CEIP's core work
  - Resources (reviewers) have been taken away from the Stage 3 reviews.
  - The expert reviewers were carefully selected from the available pool to ensure suitable level of expertise.
- **The resources available were not sufficient** to complete the Adjustment reviews
  - Road transport proved to be more complex than expected
  - This resulted in an "Open" status being required.

# Recommendations

- 1. That the EB find a mechanism to adequately fund these compliance reviews.**
  - Estimates of required resources can be provided
- 2. That the TFEIP/CEIP improve the guidance in line with the comments from this years review.**
- 3. That the TFEIP arrange a meeting/discussion with road transport experts:**
  - to agree in detail the supporting information required
  - and the method for quantifying the road trans adjustment.

# Discussion Points



**Improved Guidance & Party familiarisation with the process**

**Funding**

- Expected adjustment applications in future years

**Complex models**

- Road transport, and others

# Contact Details

[chris.dore@aether-uk.com](mailto:chris.dore@aether-uk.com)

+44(0)1865 261466

[www.aether-uk.com](http://www.aether-uk.com)