



Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General
29 September 2014

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

Thirty-third session

Geneva, 8–12 December 2014

Item 8 of the provisional agenda

Financial requirements for the implementation of the Convention

Financial requirements for the implementation of the Convention

Note by the secretariat

Summary

The present note sets out the financial requirements for the implementation of the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution in 2014. In particular, it outlines the needs for the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP), in accordance with the Protocol on long-term financing of EMEP (EMEP Protocol), and financial information on activities to support implementation of the Convention and its protocols in countries with economies in transition, including information on the trust fund established for that work and the trust fund used to support the secretariat.

The note also presents recommendations to the Executive Body for the Convention, as required by the EMEP Protocol and Executive Body revised decision 2002/1 (ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1, annex I, para. 3, and ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 115 (e)).

GE.14-17528 (E)



* 1 4 1 7 5 2 8 *

Please recycle A recycling symbol consisting of three chasing arrows forming a triangle.



Contents

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	1	4
I. Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe	2–12	4
A. Status of the trust fund, contributions in kind and extrabudgetary contributions	3–8	4
B. Budget and mandatory contributions for 2015–2016.....	9–11	5
C. Proposed decisions.....	12	6
II. Core activities not covered by the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe	13–22	6
A. Contributions from Parties in 2013 and 2014 and the use of contributions	14–19	6
B. Budget and contributions required for 2015 and provisional budgets for 2016 and 2017	20–21	7
C. Proposed decisions	22	8
III. Promotion and implementation of the Convention.....	23–29	8
IV. Trust fund to support the secretariat.....	30–32	9
 Annex		
Draft annex referred to in article 4 of the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for the Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe.....		26
 Table		
1. Contributions to the financing of EMEP ¹ as of 11 August 2014.....		10
2. Proposed 2015 EMEP ¹ budget covered by mandatory contributions.....		11
3. EMEP ¹ scale of contributions calculated on the basis of the 2013 United Nations scale of assessments and the schedule of contributions for 2015–2016		12
4. 2013 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention.....		14
5. 2014 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention, as of 11 August 2014.....		16
6. Recorded contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities in 2013		19
7. Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2013.....		19
8. Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2014.....		20
9. Use of cash contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities and recorded in-kind contributions in the period 2005–2014.....		20

¹ Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe.

10.	International coordination costs for core activities in 2015 not funded through the EMEP ¹ Protocol	22
11.	Recommended scale of contributions to the trust fund for core activities not covered by the EMEP Protocol for 2015–2016, based on the request of the Executive Body to revise the table of its revised decision 2002/1, and table 10 above	22
12.	2013 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E196).....	24
13.	2013 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E196).....	24
14.	2014 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E196) as of 31 August 2014 (estimated)	25

Introduction

1. Chapter I of the present note sets out the financial requirements for 2015 for the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP), as required under article 5 of the 1984 Protocol on the Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP Protocol). Chapter II sets out the 2015 requirements for the core activities not covered by the EMEP Protocol, as required by the Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution in its revised decision 2002/1 (ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1, annex I, para. 6, and ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 115 (e)). Chapter III sets out financial information on activities to support implementation of the Convention and its protocols in countries with economies in transition, including information on the trust fund established for that work, and chapter IV reports on the status of the trust fund used to support the secretariat. The note also provides the basis for, and lists, recommendations to the Executive Body as required by the EMEP Protocol and revised decision 2002/1.

I. Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe

2. Based on the decisions taken by the EMEP Steering Body at its thirty-seventh session in 2013 (EB.AIR/GE.1/2013/2, para. 57) and at its thirty-eighth session in 2014 (EB.AIR/GE.1/2014/2, forthcoming), this chapter reports on the status of the EMEP trust fund and the contributions by Parties, including those that are outstanding. It also presents for the Executive Body's approval the proposed detailed EMEP budget for 2015, the schedule of mandatory contributions calculated on the basis of that budget and the 2013 United Nations scale of assessments and a revised annex to the EMEP Protocol, taking into account the accession to the Protocol by Armenia on 7 January 2014.

A. Status of the trust fund, contributions in kind and extrabudgetary contributions

3. The contributions paid by the Parties to the Convention for the long-term financing of EMEP as of 11 August 2014 are summarized in table 1. They include both the mandatory contributions from the Parties to the EMEP Protocol within the geographic scope of EMEP and the voluntary contributions from Canada and the United States of America, as Parties outside the geographic scope of EMEP. As detailed in table 1, payments in cash in 2014 totalling \$1,819,458 were received by 11 August 2014. The expected cash contributions for 2014 to the trust fund from the following Parties were still outstanding: Belarus, Belgium, France, Greece, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, Montenegro, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Ukraine.

4. In addition to the contributions summarized in table 1, voluntary extrabudgetary contributions have been allocated directly to the EMEP centres over several years. In 2011, the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-West (MSC-W) received extrabudgetary contributions directly from its host institution, the Norwegian Meteorological Institute, totalling \$737,329 (\$1,366,277 in 2012 and \$1,576,212 in 2013), excluding the contribution by the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling (CIAM) of \$97,329 (\$108,611 in 2012, and \$197,590 in 2013); the Chemical Coordinating Centre (CCC) received the equivalent of \$150,000 (\$65,000 in 2012, and \$111,000 in 2013) from its host institution, the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU); and the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-East

(MSC-E) received \$105,436 from the European Union (EU), \$17,861 from the Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission, \$19,340 from the Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development and \$8,100 from Sweden in the framework of the Baltic persistent organic pollutants project. The total value of the in-kind contribution by MSC-E to its own work in 2011 was \$150,537 (no in-kind contribution was reported in 2012, and \$57,635 was contributed in 2013).

5. According to the secretariat's records, the total arrears in cash and in kind by 1 January 2014 stood at \$212,463. The arrears by 1 January 2013 stood at \$516,210, of which \$175,205 were outstanding payments in kind by Ukraine.

6. Germany had partial payments outstanding for 2008 and 2009. At the twenty-fifth session of the Executive Body in 2007, the delegations of Germany and France expressed their reservations regarding the 10-per-cent increase for the EMEP budget and regarding their contributions for 2008, which, in their view, represented a disproportionate share of the budget (ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 110). The Executive Body took note of the reservations and encouraged Germany and France to take steps to lift their reservations. At the twenty-sixth session of the Executive Body, in 2008, Germany reaffirmed its reservation with regard to its contribution for 2009, and was again encouraged by the Executive Body to lift it as soon as possible (ECE/EB.AIR/96, paras. 105 and 106 (e)). In 2008, Germany paid a contribution of \$447,860 and in 2009 a contribution of \$448,447, instead of its assessed contribution of \$459,170, which it paid in full in 2010. In 2010, France paid the full 2010 amount and its arrears from 2008. In 2012, France paid its contributions for 2011 and 2012.

7. In 2014, Italy partly (\$24,693) repaid its accumulated arrears by 1 January 2014 (\$39,552). Malta did not pay its contributions for 2013–2014. Portugal paid its contribution due and repaid all its areas in 2014. Romania has not paid its contributions for 2006–2012. However, in 2014 Romania paid its contribution for 2013.

8. The remaining amount of \$175,205 is the arrears of Ukraine for 1996–2001 was contributed in kind. At its thirty-eighth session, the EMEP Steering Body approved the Ukrainian project for establishing an international benchmark station for EMEP background monitoring.

B. Budget and mandatory contributions for 2015–2016

9. At its twenty-sixth session, the Executive Body decided, based upon the recommendation by the EMEP Steering Body, to increase the EMEP budget for the period 2008–2010 to \$2,358,700 in order to support the new priorities and the increased resource requirements, in particular for the work on emissions data and the work to strengthen the involvement of the countries in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia.

10. At its twenty-seventh session, the Executive Body decided to keep the total budget of CIAM for 2010 to \$395,000 (\$155,000 under EMEP and \$240,000 under the Working Group on Effects), and agreed to keep the provisional budgets for 2011 and 2012 at the same level (ECE/EB.AIR/99, para. 21 (a)). At its thirty-first session, the Executive Body decided to keep the total budget of CIAM at the same level for 2013 (ECE/EB.AIR/113, para. 52 (a) and para. 53 (c)).

11. At its twenty-eighth session, the Executive Body decided to apply the 2010 United Nations scale of assessments as a basis for calculating the EMEP scale of mandatory contributions from 2011 onwards. At its sixty-seventh session, the United Nations General Assembly decided on the scale of assessments for the period 2013–2015 (2013 United

Nations assessment rates).² At its thirty-eighth session, the Steering Body considered the detailed EMEP budget for 2015 and agreed on the budget as set out in table 2 below and the schedule of mandatory contributions from Parties for 2015–2016, based on the 2013 United Nations scale of assessments as set out in table 3. It recommended that the Executive Body adopt the budget for 2015 and the schedule of contributions for 2015–2016. The Executive Body is recommended to amend the EMEP Protocol by adopting, in accordance with article 4, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, a revised annex which now includes Armenia.

C. Proposed decisions

12. The Executive Body may wish, inter alia:

(a) To adopt, in accordance with article 4, paragraph 3, of the EMEP Protocol, the revised annex, which now includes Armenia, as set out in the annex to the present document;

(b) To decide on the detailed use of resources in 2015 as set out in table 2 below and on the scale of mandatory contributions as set out in table 3 below;

(c) To support the Steering Body's call on the Parties to the EMEP Protocol to consider making additional voluntary contributions (in kind or in cash through the trust fund) to ensure that the work in 2015 can be accomplished as foreseen in the 2014–2015 workplan for the implementation of the Convention (ECE/EB.AIR/122/Add.2);

(d) To request the Steering Body, with the assistance of its Bureau, to present the details of the 2016 budget for approval by the Executive Body at its thirty-fourth session;

(e) To urge Parties that have not yet done so to pay their 2014 contributions in cash to the trust fund and, in 2015, to pay their contributions so that they reach the trust fund in the first half of the year.

II. Core activities not covered by the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe

13. This chapter sets out the financial requirements of the programme centres not funded through the EMEP Protocol, based on decisions taken by the Working Group on Effects at its thirty-third session (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2014/2, forthcoming). It reports, as specified in revised decision 2002/1, on the contributions from Parties, both in cash and in kind, made in 2013 and 2014. It also presents for the Executive Body's consideration a budget for 2015 and provisional budgets for 2016 and 2017.

A. Contributions from Parties in 2013 and 2014 and the use of contributions

14. At its thirty-second session, the Executive Body decided to adopt a total budget for 2014 of \$2,152,700 for the core activities not funded by the EMEP Protocol (ECE/EB.AIR/122, para. 74 (c)). The secretariat sent a letter to all Parties informing them of the recommended contributions to meet the 2014 budget and invited them to pay them to

² See General Assembly resolution 67/238.

the trust fund for effects-oriented activities, as agreed in revised decision 2002/1 (ECE/EB.AIR/109, para. 53 (f)).

15. Throughout 2013 and in 2014, Parties made cash contributions to the trust fund in accordance with revised decision 2002/1. Some contributions were earmarked for particular programme centres and are listed accordingly. Other contributions were not earmarked and are listed as non-earmarked contributions to the trust fund. Tables 4 (2013) and 5 (2014) also identify the contributions recommended to Parties by the Executive Body, as well as the actual contributions made.

16. For 2014, a total of 23 Parties made contributions, totalling \$1,232,778 (as of 11 August 2014), of which \$802,984 were contributions in kind and \$429,794 were contributions in cash to the trust fund.

17. As allowed under revised decision 2002/1, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland informed the secretariat of their contributions in kind for 2013 and 2014 and the secretariat included the appropriate sums in tables 7 and 8. The use of earmarked and non-earmarked cash contributions and in-kind contributions in 2013 are given in detail in table 6 to and presented for the period 2005–2014 in table 9.

18. As contributions are received throughout the year, with no advance notice of amounts to be received, it is not possible to plan for contracts with the centres. Contracts for the current year are drawn up on the basis of contributions made Parties to the trust fund by 30 November of the preceding year.

19. In addition to the cash contributions, the Executive Body may wish to note the additional contributions made by the lead countries of the programmes and by the countries hosting programme centres. A number of Parties also provided additional support through hosting task force meetings, workshops and/or expert meetings.

B. Budget and contributions required for 2015 and provisional budgets for 2016 and 2017

20. At its thirty-second session, the Executive Body decided to adopt, for the core activities not funded by the EMEP Protocol, a provisional budget for 2015 of \$2,152,700 (including 3 per cent programme support costs). Subsequently, the Working Group on Effects at its thirty-third session agreed on a detailed budget for the costs of core activities for 2015 (see ECE/EB.AIR/WG.1/2014/2, forthcoming) (table 10 below) totalling \$1,850,000 (\$1,905,500 with 3 per cent programme support costs). In addition, the Working Group agreed that the 2015 budget for CIAM not being financed by EMEP would remain at \$240,000 (\$247,200 with 3 per cent programme support costs). The total budget for 2014 is therefore \$2,152,700 (including support costs), in line with the provisional budget estimate made in 2013.

21. At its thirty-third session, the Working Group on Effects invited the secretariat to provide the information on the amount of contributions made to the trust fund for effects-oriented activities as of 30 November 2014, and to make it available to the Executive Body at its thirty-third session. It recommended that the amount be used to co-fund the effects-oriented activities in 2015 (table 10). The Working Group further recommended that the Executive Body encourage Parties to make contributions to the trust fund before 30 November each year, and agreed on provisional budgets for 2016 and 2017 of \$2,152,700 per year for the costs of core activities.

C. Proposed decisions

22. The Executive Body may wish, inter alia:

(a) To take note of the contributions made to the trust fund for effects-oriented activities for 2013, and to welcome the payments made, but also to express disappointment at the lack of response by many Parties;

(b) To urge all Parties that have not yet done so to consider providing the recommended contributions to the trust fund for financing core activities without undue delay;

(c) To decide that the essential international coordination costs for financing the core activities of the Convention and its protocols, other than those covered by the EMEP Protocol, shall be \$2,152,700 in 2015, and shall provisionally be \$2,152,700 in 2016 and \$2,152,700 in 2017;

(d) To apply the 2013 United Nations scale of assessments for use in calculating the recommended contributions for 2015–2016 (table 11);

(e) To invite the secretariat to provide the information on the amount of contributions made to trust fund for effects-oriented activities as of 30 November 2014 at the thirty-third session of the Executive Body, and to use the amount as a basis to co-fund the effects-oriented activities in 2015;

(f) To request the secretariat to inform Parties of the recommended contributions to the trust fund to meet the 2015 budget, inviting them to make them as agreed in revised decision 2002/1;

(g) To encourage the Parties to make the recommended contributions to the trust fund before 30 November each year;

(h) To note with appreciation the essential support provided to the Convention and its bodies by lead countries, countries hosting coordinating centres and those organizing meetings, as well as countries that fund activities of their national focal centres/points and the active participation of national experts.

III. Promotion and implementation of the Convention

23. The Executive Body at its twenty-second session noted the importance of the Convention's work in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and the need for workshops, manuals and implementation guides to encourage implementation in countries of that subregion, and recognized the importance of adequate resources for that work (ECE/EB.AIR/83, para. 56 (g)). The need for capacity-building in countries with economies in transition was also highlighted by the Convention's revised action plan for Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia (ECE/EB.AIR/WG.5/2007/17).

24. At the Executive Body's twenty-third session, the secretariat noted the need for capacity-building to support implementation in all countries with economies in transition. A special trust fund (E112) was created for that purpose. At the Executive Body's twenty-fifth session, Parties were urged to investigate ways to secure funds for future activities including workshops, seminars, development of actions plans and participation in meetings and the work of the secretariat (ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 51 (e)). In 2013 trust fund E196, with the same objectives as E112, was established for operational reasons to accommodate contributions from the EU, for which an administrative cost of 7 per cent is applied.

25. Expenditures in 2013 totalled \$310,675 (table 13). They covered the costs of the participation of delegates from countries with economies in transition in the activities under the Convention, partial translation of the guidance document on stationary sources, the

travel of experts to participate in the stage-3 emission inventory review process, as well as secretariat staff support to manage the activities for assisting the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and related travel.

26. In 2013, contributions were received from the EU, the Netherlands, Norway and Switzerland totalling \$709,555 (table 12). In 2014, there were no additional contributions received. However, it should be noted that the Government of the Russian Federation has agreed to allocate the amount of \$435,000 through the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) technical cooperation fund to support activities in 2014–2016 aimed at promoting the implementation of the Convention in the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia.

27. At its thirty-second session the Executive Body adopted the proposed budget of \$1,491,600 for 2014–2015 (ECE/EB.AIR/122, para. 75 (c)), which includes necessary funding to support the participation of representatives of countries of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia in meetings under the Convention, the organization of capacity-building activities in countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, as described in the workplan for the implementation of the Convention in 2014–2015 (ECE/EB.AIR/122/Add.2, sect. 4), and to cover the cost for an extrabudgetary staff member coordinating related activities, partially funded by Norway and the EU.

28. For 2014, as of 31 August the expenditures amounted to \$261,383 (table 14). This includes the financial support for delegates from countries with economies in transition to participate in meetings of the subsidiary bodies until September 2014, consultancy fees and travel for the preparation and facilitation of the training in the Republic of Moldova and a grant agreement with the Environment Agency Austria to allow for the experts of the EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP) to prepare and facilitate the workshops in Georgia, Azerbaijan and Uzbekistan and to cover related travel costs. Finally, it includes the staff support to manage assistance programme and related staff travel.

29. The Executive Body may wish, inter alia:

(a) To reiterate its support to this element of the work programme, the success in an enlarged implementation of the Convention in countries in transition of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia being crucial for the future of the Convention;

(b) To invite all Parties, but especially those that lead task forces and expert groups, to promote activities such as special workshops in countries of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, and to collaborate with the secretariat in developing and carrying out such projects.

IV. Trust fund to support the secretariat

30. At its twenty-fourth session, the Executive Body took decision 2006/12, which agreed that travel by secretariat staff members to Convention meetings or meetings where they represent the Convention should, wherever possible, be funded through a United Nations trust fund.

31. Further to its contributions in 2011 (\$87,616) and in 2013 (\$50,743), Norway continued to support the work of the secretariat and committed NOK 300,000 (approximately \$50,000) in 2014.

32. As of 31 August, related expenditures for 2014 amount to \$87,509, including United Nations programme support costs. This figure includes the cost of a short-term secretariat staff member and official travel by secretariat staff to meetings relevant for the Convention.

Table 1
Contributions to the financing of EMEP as of 11 August 2014
(in United States dollars)

<i>Parties</i>	<i>EMEP Protocol in force since</i>	<i>Contributions received</i>		<i>Arrears by 01/01/2014</i>	<i>Contributions in 2014</i>	
		<i>In kind 1981–2010</i>	<i>In cash 1981–2013</i>		<i>Expected</i>	<i>Received</i>
Albania	06/09/2011	—	—	—	560	560
Austria	28/01/1988	—	1 027 307	4 037	44 440	48 477
Belarus	28/01/1988	120 650	8 260	—	3 120	—
Belgium	28/01/1988	—	1 200 878	—	55 580	—
Bosnia and Herzegovina	06/03/1992	—	6 405	680	950	950
Bulgaria	28/01/1988	60 169	15 270	—	2 620	2 620
Canada	28/01/1988	—	86 614	—	^a	^a
Croatia	08/10/1992	—	54 261	—	7 020	7 020
Cyprus	18/02/1992	—	33 488	—	2 620	2 620
Czech Republic	01/01/1993	—	264 622	—	21 500	21 500
Denmark	28/01/1988	—	857 540	—	37 590	37 590
Estonia	07/03/2002	—	12 249	—	2 230	2 230
Finland	28/01/1988	—	760 549	—	28 900	28 900
France	28/01/1988	—	6 426 439	—	311 490	—
Georgia	08/05/2014	—	—	—	390	390
Germany	28/01/1988	—	9 938 273	22 033	397 700	397 700
Greece	22/09/1988	—	487 751	—	35 530	—
Hungary	28/01/1988	24 945	178 886	19	14 810	14 781
Ireland	28/01/1988	—	367 838	—	23 280	23 280
Italy	12/04/1989	—	4 697 451	39 552	247 720	272 413
Latvia	19/05/1997	—	17 152	1 990	2 620	4 618
Liechtenstein	28/01/1988	—	8 369	470	500	470
Lithuania	07/11/2003	—	13 470	3 410	4 070	4 070
Luxembourg	28/01/1988	—	75 978	4 770	4 510	—
Malta	12/06/1997	—	7 769	3 661	890	—
Monaco	25/11/1999	—	2 283	160	670	839
Montenegro	23/10/2006	—	776	54	280	—
Netherlands	28/01/1988	—	2 111 588	—	92 110	92 090
Norway	28/01/1988	—	987 279	—	47 390	47 390
Poland	13/12/1988	131 337	449 313	—	51 290	51 290
Portugal	10/04/1989	—	292 431	80 970	26 400	107 370
Romania	27/07/2003	—	5 780	34 730	12 590	5 760
Russian Federation	28/01/1988	2 434 909	1 081 959	—	135 780	135 780
Serbia	27/04/1992	—	38 705	2 660	2 230	—
Slovakia	28/05/1993	—	86 615	—	9 520	9 520
Slovenia	06/07/1992	—	66 936	5 760	5 570	—

<i>Parties</i>	<i>EMEP Protocol in force since</i>	<i>Contributions received</i>			<i>Contributions in 2014</i>	
		<i>In kind 1981–2010</i>	<i>In cash 1981–2013</i>	<i>Arrears by 01/01/2014</i>	<i>Expected</i>	<i>Received</i>
Spain	28/01/1988	—	2 545 224	6 577	165 570	—
Sweden	28/01/1988	—	1 389 779	—	53 460	—
Switzerland	28/01/1988	—	1 436 485	—	58 310	58 310
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	31/03/2010	—	—	930	450	—
Turkey	28/01/1988	—	458 959	—	73 960	73 960
Ukraine	28/01/1988	180 164	36 357	—	5 510	—
United Kingdom	28/01/1988	—	6 372 635	—	288 430	288 430
United States	28/01/1988	—	179 850	—	^a	^a
European Union	28/01/1988	—	1 690 577	—	78 530	78 530
Former Czechoslovakia		113 405	—	—	—	—
Former German Democratic Republic		171 052	—	—	—	—
Former Soviet Union		2 669 522	—	—	—	—
Former Yugoslavia		—	25 699	—	—	—
Total	46 Parties	5 906 153	45 806 049	212 463	2 358 700	1 819 458

^a Voluntary.

Table 2

Proposed 2015 EMEP budget covered by mandatory contributions

(in United States dollars)

	<i>Total</i>	<i>CCC</i>	<i>MSC-E</i>	<i>MSC-W (combined)</i>	<i>MSC-W (own)</i>	<i>CEIP</i>	<i>CIAM</i>
A. Atmospheric monitoring	820 000	820 000	—	—	—	—	—
B. Atmospheric modelling	845 000	—	395 000	450 000	450 000	—	—
C. Source-receptor calculations	180 000	—	60 000	120 000	120 000	—	—
D. Integrated assessment modelling	155 000	—	—	—	—	—	155 000
E. Emission inventories	290 000	—	—	290 000	—	290 000	—
Subtotal	2 290 000	820 000	455 000	1 015 000	570 000	290 000	155 000
F. Programme support cost (3%)	68 700						
Grand total	2 358 700						

Table 3

EMEP scale of contributions calculated on the basis of the 2013 United Nations scale of assessments and the schedule of contributions for 2015–2016

	<i>United Nations 2013 assessment rate (%)</i>	<i>“EMEP share” (%)</i>	<i>EMEP scale of contributions (%)</i>	<i>2015 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>	<i>2016 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>
<i>Non-EU Parties to the EMEP Protocol</i>					
Albania	0.010	0.0235	0.0236	560	560
Armenia	0.007	0.0164	0.0165	390	390
Belarus	0.056	0.1316	0.1322	3 120	3 120
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.017	0.0399	0.0401	950	950
Canada	2.984	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
Georgia	0.007	0.0164	0.0165	390	390
Liechtenstein	0.009	0.0211	0.0212	500	500
Monaco	0.012	0.0282	0.0283	670	670
Montenegro	0.005	0.0117	0.0118	280	280
Norway	0.851	1.9996	2.0090	47 390	47 390
Russian Federation	2.438	5.7285	5.7555	135 750	135 750
Serbia	0.040	0.0940	0.0944	2 230	2 230
Switzerland	1.047	2.4601	2.4717	58 300	58 300
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.008	0.0188	0.0189	450	450
Turkey	1.328	3.1204	3.1351	73 950	73 950
Ukraine	0.099	0.2326	0.2337	5 510	5 510
United States	22.000	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>EU Parties</i>					
Austria	0.798	1.8750	1.8839	44 440	44 440
Belgium	0.998	2.3450	2.3560	55 570	55 570
Bulgaria	0.047	0.1104	0.1110	2 620	2 620
Croatia	0.126	0.2961	0.2975	7 020	7 020
Cyprus	0.047	0.1104	0.1110	2 620	2 620
Czech Republic	0.386	0.9070	0.9112	21 490	21 490
Denmark	0.675	1.5860	1.5935	37 590	37 590
Estonia	0.040	0.0940	0.0944	2 230	2 230
Finland	0.519	1.2195	1.2252	28 900	28 900
France	5.593	13.1417	13.2036	311 430	311 430
Germany	7.141	16.7790	16.8581	397 630	397 630
Greece	0.638	1.4991	1.5062	35 530	35 530
Hungary	0.266	0.6250	0.6280	14 810	14 810
Ireland	0.418	0.9822	0.9868	23 280	23 280
Italy	4.448	10.4513	10.5006	247 680	247 680
Latvia	0.047	0.1104	0.1110	2 620	2 620
Lithuania	0.073	0.1715	0.1723	4 060	4 060

	<i>United Nations 2013 assessment rate (%)</i>	<i>“EMEP share” (%)</i>	<i>EMEP scale of contributions (%)</i>	<i>2015 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>	<i>2016 schedule of contribution (in US\$)</i>
Luxembourg	0.081	0.1903	0.1912	4 510	4 510
Malta	0.016	0.0376	0.0378	890	890
Netherlands	1.654	3.8863	3.9047	92 100	92 100
Poland	0.921	2.1640	2.1742	51 280	51 280
Portugal	0.474	1.1137	1.1190	26 390	26 390
Romania	0.226	0.5310	0.5335	12 580	12 580
Slovakia	0.171	0.4018	0.4037	9 520	9 520
Slovenia	0.100	0.2350	0.2361	5 570	5 570
Spain	2.973	6.9856	7.0185	165 550	165 550
Sweden	0.960	2.2557	2.2663	53 460	53 460
United Kingdom	5.179	12.1689	12.2263	288 380	288 380
European Union		3.3300	3.3300	78.510	78.510
Total		99.7363	100.000	2 358 700	2 358 700
<i>Parties to the Convention not Party to the EMEP Protocol</i>					
Azerbaijan	0.040	0.0940	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
Iceland	0.027	0.0634	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
Kazakhstan	0.121	0.2843	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
Kyrgyzstan	0.002	0.0047	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
Republic of Moldova	0.003	0.0070	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
Total (excluding Canada and United States)	41.142	100.000			

^a Voluntary.

^b Not applicable.

Table 4
2013 contributions in cash, earmarked and non-earmarked, towards financing the core activities under the Convention
(in United States dollars)

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non- earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Albania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	429	429	429
Armenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	191	191	191
Austria	2 360	—	—	—	—	—	—	38 638	40 998	—	40 998	41 023
Azerbaijan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	572	572	572
Belarus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 622	1 622	1 622
Belgium	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	31 032	31 032	51 804
Bosnia and Herzegovina	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	620
Bulgaria	525	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	525	1 813	2 338	1 813
Canada	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a
Croatia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4 675	4 675	4 675
Cyprus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 242	2 242	2 242
Czech Republic	1 500	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 500	—	1 500	16 791
Denmark	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	70 884	70 884	35 442
Estonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 908
Finland	3 184	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3 184	—	3 184	27 285
France	18 790	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	18 790	—	18 790	294 889
Georgia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	239	239	239
Germany	27 705	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27 705	—	27 705	386 142
Greece	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	33 248
Hungary	1 321	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 321	28 004	29 325	14 024
Iceland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 051	2 051	2 051
Ireland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	23 994	23 994	23 994
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240 748
Kazakhstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 099
Kyrgyzstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non-earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Latvia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 813	1 813	1 813
Liechtenstein	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	429
Lithuania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3 101	3 101	3 101
Luxembourg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4 341
Malta	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	811
Monaco	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	143	143	143
Montenegro	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	143
Netherlands	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89 297
Norway	1 673	41 902	—	—	—	—	—	—	43 575	—	43 575	41 929
Poland	2 130	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 130	79 852	81 982	39 926
Portugal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	24 566
Republic of Moldova	48	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48	—	48	48
Romania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5 247
Russian Federation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	62 012
Serbia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 717	1 717	1 717
Slovakia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6 869
Slovenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4 961
Spain	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	153 026
Sweden	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	51 279
Switzerland	3 390	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3 390	54 427	57 817	54 427
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	286
Turkey	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	29 813
Ukraine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 862	2 862	2 862
United Kingdom	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	318 072
United States	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non-earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Denmark	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34 142	34 142	34 142
Estonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024
Finland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	26 241
France	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	282 908
Georgia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	344	344	344
Germany	27 705	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27 705	—	27 705	361 202
Greece	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	32 269
Hungary	655	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	655	13 425	14 080	13 454
Iceland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1 336	1 336	1 356
Ireland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21 140	21 140	21 140
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	224 979
Kazakhstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6 114
Kyrgyzstan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	108
Latvia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 368
Liechtenstein	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	881	881	452
Lithuania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3 703
Luxembourg	278	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	278	4 341	4 619	4 090
Malta	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	818
Monaco	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	603
Montenegro	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	232	232	258
Netherlands	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	67 650	67 650	83 654
Norway	1 695	43 054	—	—	—	—	—	—	44 749	—	44 749	43 054
Poland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	46 584	46 584	46 584
Portugal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	23 981
Republic of Moldova	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	151
Romania	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5 247	5 247	11 431
Russian Federation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	123 307

	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>CIAM</i>	<i>Earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Non- earmarked in cash</i>	<i>Total cash received</i>	<i>Recommended contribution</i>
Serbia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2 024
Slovakia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8 654
Slovenia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5 059
Spain	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	150 388
Sweden	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48 565
Switzerland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	52 956	52 956	52 956
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	409
Turkey	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	67 164
Ukraine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5 016
United Kingdom	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	261 962
United States	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	^a
European Union	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	71 725	71 725	71 725
Total	33 241	43 054	—	—	—	—	—	—	76 295	353 499	429 794	2 152 700

Note: Abbreviations used in the table are defined in the notes to table 4 above. Contributions listed under Programme Centres and other subsidiary bodies of the Convention include earmarked funds towards their work. Recommended contributions are as agreed by the Executive Body.

^a Voluntary.

Table 6
Recorded contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities in 2013
(in United States dollars)

	<i>Contributions in cash paid by Trust Fund</i>		<i>Contributions in kind</i>	<i>Total recorded contributions</i>	<i>Budget 2013</i>	<i>Budget deficit (in %)</i>
	<i>Earmarked</i>	<i>Non-earmarked^a</i>				
ICP Forests	36 700	48 700	164 470	249 870	305 000	18
ICP Waters	41 900	48 700	242 000	332 600	260 000	—
ICP Materials	—	48 700	129 300	178 000	310 000	43
ICP Vegetation	—	48 700	189 153	237 853	250 000	5
ICP Integrated Monitoring	—	48 700	216 600	265 300	300 000	12
ICP Modelling and Mapping and CCE	—	48 700	910 834	959 534	310 000	—
Task Force on Health	—	48 700	46 442	95 142	115 000	17
CIAM	38 600	48 700	—	87 300	240 000	64
Total	117 200	389 600	1 898 799	2 405 599	2 090 000	—

Notes: The total budget is subject to 3 per cent programme support costs, i.e., \$62 700.

^a Non-earmarked contributions were shared equally among ICPs, the Task Force on Health and CIAM.

Table 7
Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2013
(in United States dollars)

<i>Contributors in 2013</i>	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>ICP M&M</i>	<i>CCE</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>Subtotal Parties</i>
Finland	—	—	—	—	161 000	—	—	—	161 000
France ^a	—	—	—	—	—	84 500	—	—	84 500
Germany ^b	164 470	—	—	—	—	52 334	—	9 405	226 209
Italy	—	—	48 000	—	—	—	—	—	48 000
Netherlands	—	—	—	—	—	—	774 000	—	774 000
Norway	—	242 000	—	—	—	—	—	—	242 000
Sweden ^c	—	—	70 600	—	55 600	—	—	—	126 200
Switzerland ^d	—	—	10 700	9 153	—	—	—	37 037	56 890
United Kingdom	—	—	—	180 000	—	—	—	—	180 000
Total	164 470	242 000	129 300	189 153	216 600	136 834	774 000	46 442	1 898 799

Notes: For column heading abbreviations, please see notes to table 4. CCE = Coordination Centre for Effects.

^a Contribution in kind worth EUR 65,000.

^b Contributions in kind worth EUR 126,515, 40,257 and 7,235, respectively.

^c Contributions in kind worth SEK 460,000 and 363,000.

^d Contributions in kind worth CHF 10,000, 8,650 and 35,000, respectively.

Table 8

Contributions in kind towards financing selected core activities under the Convention in 2014

(in United States dollars)

<i>Contributors in 2014</i>	<i>ICP F</i>	<i>ICP W</i>	<i>ICP M</i>	<i>ICP V</i>	<i>ICP IM</i>	<i>Health</i>	<i>Subtotal Parties</i>
Germany ^a	104 124	—	—	—	—	43 000	147 124
Italy	—	—	48 000	—	—	—	48 000
Norway	—	242 000	—	—	—	—	242 000
Sweden ^b	—	—	70 600	—	55 600	—	126 200
Switzerland ^c	—	—	—	—	—	59 660	59 660
United Kingdom	—	—	—	180 000	—	—	180 000
Total	104 124	242 000	118 600	180 000	55 600	102 660	802 984

Notes: For column heading abbreviations, please see notes to table 4.

^a Contribution in kind for ICP F worth EUR 80,095 (provisional estimate).

^b Contributions in kind worth SEK 460,000 and 365,000.

^c Contribution in kind worth CHF 60,000.

Table 9

Use of cash contributions to partially fund the effects-oriented activities and recorded in-kind contributions in the period 2005–2014

(in United States dollars)

<i>Year</i>	<i>2005</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>	<i>2014^a</i>
<i>Earmarked</i>										
ICP Forests	59 000	50 000	133 000	106 000	61 000	48 000	74 160	57 800	36 700	59 900
ICP Waters	38 000	30 000	59 000	32 000	39 000	36 000	38 000	40 600	41 900	41 900
ICP Materials	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ICP Vegetation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ICP Integrated Monitoring	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ICP Modelling and Mapping	—	—	—	—	—	—	12 000	—	—	—
Task Force on Health	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CIAM	47 358	—	—	—	43 248	43 000	52 000	—	38 600	38 600
Substantive reporting	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total earmarked	144 358	80 000	192 000	138 000	143 248	127 000	176 160	98 400	117 200	140 400
<i>Non-earmarked^b</i>										
ICP Forests	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
ICP Waters	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
ICP Materials	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
ICP Vegetation	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
ICP Integrated Monitoring	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700

<i>Year</i>	<i>2005</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>	<i>2014^a</i>
ICP Modelling and Mapping	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
Task Force on Health	46 000	40 000	69 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
CIAM	44 642	83 000	93 000	67 000	59 000	68 000	49 000	70 700	48 700	48 700
Substantive reporting	—	—	—	9 000	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total non-earmarked	366 642	363 000	576 000	545 000	472 000	544 000	392 000	565 600	389 600	380 800
<i>In-kind contributions</i>										
ICP Forests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	164 470	104 124
ICP Waters	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	242 000	242 000
ICP Materials	78 300	54 200	59 379	65 000	49 974	50 369	57 665	70 600	129 300	118 600
ICP Vegetation	249 168	184 468	180 000	177 475	180 000	180 000	225 921	180 000	189 153	180 000
ICP Integrated Monitoring	61 242	28 418	24 966	28 117	45 361	60 711	60 916	60 100	216 600	55 600
ICP Modelling and Mapping	99 711	133 010	86 915	11 580	15 706	13 838	—	—	910 834	—
Task Force on Health	20 833	20 000	—	50 200	30 461	34 205	52 192	75 075	46 442	102 660
CIAM	62 141	31 352	—	30 000	—	—	—	—	—	—
Substantive reporting	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total in-kind contributions	571 395	451 448	351 260	362 372	321 502	339 123	396 694	385 775	1 898 799	802 984
<i>Total use of cash contributions and contributions in-kind</i>										
ICP Forests	105 000	90 000	202 000	173 000	120 000	116 000	123 160	128 500	249 870	211 624
ICP Waters	84 000	70 000	128 000	99 000	98 000	104 000	87 000	111 300	332 600	331 500
ICP Materials	124 300	94 200	128 379	132 000	108 974	118 369	106 665	141 300	178 000	166 200
ICP Vegetation	295 168	224 468	249 000	244 475	239 000	248 000	274 921	250 700	237 853	227 600
ICP Integrated Monitoring	107 242	68 418	93 966	95 117	104 361	128 711	109 916	130 800	265 300	103 200
ICP Modelling and Mapping	145 711	173 010	155 915	78 580	74 706	81 838	61 000	70 700	959 534	47 600
Task Force on Health	66 833	60 000	69 000	117 200	89 461	102 205	101 192	145 775	95 142	150 260
CIAM	154 141	114 352	93 000	97 000	102 248	110 000	101 000	170 700	187 300	86 200
Substantive reporting	—	—	—	9 000	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total use	1 082 395	894 448	1 119 260	1 045 372	936 750	1 010 123	964 854	1 049 775	2 405 599	1 324 184

^a Committed but not yet debited from the trust fund.

^b Non-earmarked contributions were shared equally among ICPs, the Task Force on Health and CIAM; the sum for CIAM has included additional amounts from the past years' accumulated allocation and earmarked contributions.

Table 10

International coordination costs for core activities in 2015 not funded through the EMEP Protocol

(in United States dollars)

Activities	ICP F PCC ^a	ICP W; PC ^b	ICP M; PMRC ^c	ICP V; PCC ^d	ICP IM PC ^e	ICP M&M; CCE ^f	Health ^g	CIAM ^h	Subtotal activities
Monitoring and assessment	100 000	60 000	70 000	30 000	75 000	—	—	—	335 000
Dose-response	40 000	60 000	75 000	75 000	40 000	—	—	—	290 000
Critical loads and levels	45 000	35 000	70 000	55 000	30 000	135 000	—	—	370 000
Dynamic modelling	35 000	40 000	35 000	20 000	80 000	140 000	—	—	350 000
Database management	85 000	65 000	60 000	70 000	75 000	35 000	—	—	390 000
Total ICPs, Task Force on Health and CIAM	305 000	260 000	310 000	250 000	300 000	310 000	115 000	240 000	2 090 000ⁱ

Notes: Abbreviations used in the table are defined in the notes to table 4 above. In addition, PCC = Programme Coordinating Centre; PMRC = Programme Main Research Centre; PC = Programme Centre; CCE = Coordination Centre for Effects.

^a Lead Country: Germany. Programme Coordinating Centre: Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, Germany.

^b Lead Country: Norway. Programme Centre: Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Oslo.

^c Lead Countries: Sweden and Italy. Programme Main Research Centre: Swerea KIMAB AB, Stockholm.

^d Lead Country: United Kingdom. Programme Coordination Centre: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor, United Kingdom.

^e Lead Country: Sweden. Programme Centre: Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki.

^f Lead Country: France. CCE: Netherlands National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

^g Led by the World Health Organization (WHO) European Centre for Environment and Health, Bonn, Germany; essential coordination costs borne by the WHO European Centre for Environment and Health.

^h Hosted by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria.

ⁱ Subject to 3 per cent programme support costs, i.e., \$62,700.

Table 11

Recommended scale of contributions to the trust fund for core activities not covered by the EMEP Protocol for 2015-2016, based on the request of the Executive Body to revise the table of its revised decision 2002/1 and table 10 above

Parties to the Convention ^a	United Nations 2013 assessment rate ^b (%)	Recommended scale (%)	Recommended contribution per year (in US\$)
Albania	0.010	0.023	495
Armenia	0.007	0.016	344
Azerbaijan	0.040	0.094	2 024
Belarus	0.056	0.132	2 842
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.017	0.040	861
Georgia	0.007	0.016	344
Iceland	0.027	0.063	1 356
Kazakhstan	0.121	0.284	6 114
Kyrgyzstan	0.002	0.005	108
Liechtenstein	0.009	0.021	452
Monaco	0.012	0.028	603
Montenegro	0.005	0.012	258
Norway	0.851	2.000	43 054
Republic of Moldova	0.003	0.007	151

<i>Parties to the Convention^a</i>	<i>United Nations 2013 assessment rate^b (%)</i>	<i>Recommended scale (%)</i>	<i>Recommended contribution per year (in US\$)</i>
Russian Federation	2.438	5.728	123 307
Serbia	0.040	0.094	2 024
Switzerland	1.047	2.460	52 956
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.008	0.019	409
Turkey	1.328	3.120	67 164
Ukraine	0.099	0.233	5 016
<i>European Union countries</i>			
Austria	0.798	1.875	40 363
Belgium	0.998	2.345	50 481
Bulgaria	0.047	0.110	2 368
Croatia	0.126	0.296	6 372
Cyprus	0.047	0.110	2 368
Czech Republic	0.386	0.907	19 525
Denmark	0.675	1.586	34 142
Estonia	0.040	0.094	2 024
Finland	0.519	1.219	26 241
France	5.593	13.142	282 908
Germany	7.141	16.779	361 202
Greece	0.638	1.499	32 269
Hungary	0.266	0.625	13 454
Ireland	0.418	0.982	21 140
Italy	4.448	10.451	224 979
Latvia	0.047	0.110	2 368
Lithuania	0.073	0.172	3 703
Luxembourg	0.081	0.190	4 090
Malta	0.016	0.038	818
Netherlands	1.654	3.886	83 654
Poland	0.921	2.164	46 584
Portugal	0.474	1.114	23 981
Romania	0.226	0.531	11 431
Slovakia	0.171	0.402	8 654
Slovenia	0.100	0.235	5 059
Spain	2.973	6.986	150 388
Sweden	0.960	2.256	48 565
United Kingdom	5.179	12.169	261 962
European Union	—	3.330	71 725
Total	41.142	100.000	2 152 700

^a Canada and the United States of America: voluntary contributions.

^b United Nations 2013 assessment rates are based upon General Assembly resolution A/67/238 on the scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations.

Table 12
2013 contributions for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E196)
(in United States dollars)

<i>Country</i>	<i>Contributions</i>	<i>Notes</i>
European Union	407 609	Support to the implementation of the Convention in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Netherlands	62 116	Support to the implementation of the Convention in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Norway	66 696	Staff support
Switzerland	173 134	Support to the implementation of the Convention in Azerbaijan and Central Asia and travel support for delegates from these countries
Total	709 555	

Table 13
2013 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust funds E112 and E196)
(in United States dollars)

<i>Item</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Description</i>
Support to delegates	112 197	Fifty-first session of the Working Group on Strategies and Review (14 experts) Thirty-sixth session the EMEP Steering Body and thirty-second session of the Working Group on Effects (13 experts) Thirty-second session of the Executive Body (14 experts)
Consultants and travel of experts	3 586	Partial translation of the revised guidance document on stationary sources Stage-3 inventory review, Copenhagen, June 2013
Staff support (fixed term)	158 620	Manage the activities to assist the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Travel of staff	5 979	Mission to the Russian Federation, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan
Subtotal	280 382	
United Nations support	30 293	7 and 13 per cent programme support costs
Total	310 675	

Table 14
2014 expenditures for promotion and implementation of the Convention (trust fund E112 and E196) as of 31 August 2014 (estimated)

(in United States dollars)

<i>Item</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Description</i>
Support to delegates from countries with economies in transition	77 458	Fifty-second session of the Working Group on Strategies and Review (13 experts) Thirty-eighth session the EMEP Steering Body and thirty-third session of the Working Group on Effects (14 experts) Workshop organized by the Task Force on Heavy Metals to promote ratification and implementation of the protocols across the region in Oslo, 4–5 March 2014 (1 expert from Uzbekistan)
Staff support ^a	110 500	Manage the activities to assist the countries of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia
Travel of staff	1 963	Mission to the Republic of Moldova
Grant agreement	42 000	Agreement with the Environment Agency Austria to allow for the experts of the EMEP Centre for Emission Inventories and Projections to prepare and facilitate the workshops in Georgia, Azerbaijan and Uzbekistan
Consultants and their travel	5247	Consultancy fee and travel to facilitate the workshop in the Republic of Moldova
Subtotal	237 168	
United Nations support cost	24 215	7 and 13 per cent programme support costs
Total	261 383	

^a Professional post co-funded by the European Commission and Norway.

Annex

Draft annex referred to in article 4 of the Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for the Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe

Mandatory contributions for sharing of costs for financing EMEP shall from 2015 onwards be calculated according to the scale set out in the following table.^a

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Albania	0.0236
Armenia	0.0165
Belarus	0.1322
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0401
Canada	*
Georgia	0.0165
Liechtenstein	0.0212
Monaco	0.0283
Montenegro	0.0118
Norway	2.0090
Russian Federation	5.7555
Serbia	0.0944
Switzerland	2.4717
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.0189
Turkey	3.1351
Ukraine	0.2337
United States	*
Austria	1.8839
Belgium	2.3560
Bulgaria	0.1110
Croatia	0.2975
Cyprus	0.1110
Czech Republic	0.9112
Denmark	1.5935
Estonia	0.0944
Finland	1.2252
France	13.2036

^a The order in which the Contracting Parties are listed in this annex is specifically made in relation to the cost-sharing system agreed upon by the Executive Body for the Convention. Accordingly, the listing is a feature which is specific to the Protocol on the Financing of EMEP.

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Germany	16.8581
Greece	1.5062
Hungary	0.6280
Ireland	0.9868
Italy	10.5006
Latvia	0.1110
Lithuania	0.1723
Luxembourg	0.1912
Malta	0.0378
Netherlands	3.9047
Poland	2.1742
Portugal	1.1190
Romania	0.5335
Slovakia	0.4037
Slovenia	0.2361
Spain	7.0185
Sweden	2.2663
United Kingdom	12.2263
European Union	3.3300
Total	100.00

* Voluntary.
