

Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General 2 October 2012

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

Thirty-first session

Geneva, 11–13 December 2012 Item 5 of the provisional agenda **Review and revision of protocols**

> Draft decision on adoption of guidance document on economic instruments to reduce emissions of regional air pollutants to the Gothenburg Protocol

Note by the ad-hoc group of legal experts, prepared in cooperation with the secretariat*

Summary

At its fiftieth session, held 10-14 September 2012 in Geneva, the Working Group on Strategies and Review considered and decided to forward the draft guidance document on economic instruments to reduce emissions of regional air pollutants developed by the Network of Experts on Benefits and Economic Instruments (informal document no. 3) to the Executive Body with a recommendation that it be adopted at its thirty-first session.

The Working Group had furthermore requested the ad hoc group of legal experts, in cooperation with the secretariat, to prepare the draft formal decisions necessary to allow the consideration and adoption of the guidance document. The guidance document is contained in the annex to this draft decision.

^{*} The present document is being issued without formal editing.

Draft decision

The Parties to the 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-Level Ozone ("Gothenburg Protocol") meeting within the thirty-first session of the Executive Body in 2012,

Decide:

- 1. To adopt the guidance document annexed to this decision and entitled "guidance document on economic instruments to reduce emissions of regional air pollutants".
- 2. That the guidance document shall be the guidance document referred to in Article 6 (1) (g) of the Gothenburg Protocol, as amended.

Annex

Guidance document on economic instruments to reduce emissions of regional air pollutants

- Economic instruments include a variety of policy tools such as pollution charges and taxes or marketable permits. The provision of an economic incentive to pollute less can, in principle, bring about a full internalization of the health and environmental costs, leading to the optimal level of pollution control without requiring detailed specification of control measures. Emphasis in this guidance document is on the use of economic instruments for the control of regional air pollution from emissions of sulphur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxide (NO_x), ammonia (NH₃), volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and fine particles though the information presented has broader applicability for environmental improvement. A key feature of economic instruments is that by giving polluters more flexibility in deciding how to respond to legislation than traditional command and control mechanisms, they can reduce the overall cost of pollution control policies thus leading to achievement of environmental goals in a cost-effective way. The instruments can provide a lasting incentive to behavioural change or to develop technological innovations and more costeffective emission control measures. In many cases, economic instruments create revenues. In some cases these have been used to further other policy objectives ("double dividend"), in others they have been earmarked for purposes closely related to the environmental objective: e.g. to compensate those that suffer damage from pollution; to subsidize emission control measures; or to compensate for a loss in competitiveness for the industry concerned. Some economic instruments are designed to serve purposes other than environmental ones, and some explicitly address a number of issues simultaneously. An effective and efficient system, however, may require a clear decision about its intention as although incentive based taxes will raise revenue, the two objectives (environmental improvement and revenue raising) are not fully compatible. Systems of economic instruments should be designed in different ways depending on whether their primary objective is to raise revenue or promote environmental improvement.
- 2. To maximise the benefits of economic instruments it is important to consider carefully the conditions under which particular economic instruments are likely to perform well and to take account of the situations in which their use is less advisable, for instance, when an appropriate tax base cannot be found. Furthermore, every economic instrument can be designed and implemented in a variety of ways. Careful design and implementation may help to make them more effective and reduce the chances of undesirable side effects, for instance, due to misdirected incentives. In many cases, this will mean that economic instruments are part of a policy mix in which direct regulation, voluntary approaches and exchange of information all play a role.
- 3. The experience gained in the use of economic instruments to reduce emissions of NO_x , sulphur, VOCs, NH_3 and fine particulate matter (PM) has been reviewed in a background document based on national expertise and scientific literature. Using this review and information from the 2010 questionnaire on strategies and policies in the framework of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP), the tables below summarize the most important findings of relevance to Parties implementing the obligations of the Protocol. The first of the three tables shows the main features and aspects of four categories of economic instruments that are likely to be the most relevant in the present context (tradable permits and quotas; emission and process taxes/charges;

product charges and tax differentiation; subsidies and fiscal facilities). Their performance is assessed against a number of criteria and some important issues in the design of the instruments are mentioned. Table 2 illustrates the applicability and actual application up to 2010 of the four instrument categories to various source categories (generally excluding reference to measures aimed principally at greenhouse gas controls) and Table 3 presents a (non-exhaustive) list of provisions needed for the actual implementation of these economic instruments. Table 2 has been updated for 2010 based mainly on the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)/European Environment Agency (EEA) database on instruments used for environmental policy and natural resources management (updated to 2010 for most countries: www.oecd.org/env/policies/database); and Lindhjem, H; J. M. Skjelvik, A. Eriksson, T. Fitch and L-L. Pade Hansen 2009: The Use of Economic Instruments in Nordic Environmental Policy 2006–2009. TemaNord report 2009:578. Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen.

- 4. Since the last update of this guidance document, a major difference is the implementation of the European Greenhouse Gas Emission Allowance Trading Scheme (ETS) in 2005 and national ETS for carbon dioxide (CO_2) (e.g. in Denmark and Norway); and the co-benefits these reductions in greenhouse gas emissions will have in terms of reducing emissions of pollutants covered by the Convention. Trading schemes for other pollutants have also been introduced or considered (e.g. NO_x in the Netherlands). Other major changes include the implementation of emission charges for NO_x and SO_2 in more countries, national carbon taxes and carbon related incentive policies, the introduction of subsidies for renewable energy in many countries, and the emergence of voluntary agreements between industry and the government.
- 5. In addition to the issues presented in the tables, the following general considerations and recommendations should be taken into account:
- (a) Economic instruments will have their optimal effect in cases where the market mechanism functions well. Therefore, it is necessary to check whether the actors who will be directly or indirectly affected by the instruments operate on (at least) reasonably competitive markets and have access to the information needed for their decision-making. It may be necessary to accompany (or precede) the introduction of an economic instrument with policies that improve the functioning of markets, its security and the availability of information on emission reduction options and environmental benefits;
- (b) Although pollution taxes or tradable permits are often presented as alternatives to direct regulation, they will typically be embedded in a mix of instruments, in which also standards, voluntary agreements and/or other instruments may be involved. When introducing an economic instrument it should be checked that the various instruments will be mutually reinforcing and not counteracting;
- (c) Taxes and charges can have an incentive function and/or a revenue-raising function. The incentive function can be realized directly by making abatement efforts profitable or indirectly through reaction in the market (due to the cost increase being passed on to consumers);

Deposit-refund systems are not included, as their applicability in the present context is extremely limited. Voluntary agreements, which are sometimes considered as economic instruments, are omitted as they do not fall within the definition of economic instruments used here (i.e. they do not provide a financial incentive to reduce emissions).

(d) Preferably, economic instruments (especially taxes and charges), like other instruments, should be announced well in advance of their starting date and should involve consultations with stakeholders. This will enable producers and consumers to take account of the instrument in their investment decisions and to react optimally to the changed market conditions, thus improving overall efficiency. However, for some product taxes, the announcement may also lead to stockpiling.

Table 1 **Experiences, features, issues and considerations**

	Tradable permits and quotas	Emission and process taxes/charges	Product taxes and tax differentiation	Subsidies and fiscal inducements
Main features	Allow the reallocation of emission or production rights among firms (or their spatial or temporal reallocation by a single firm)	Polluter pays a fixed or variable tax (charge) per unit of emission or per unit of a polluting activity	Polluter pays a fixed or variable tax per unit of polluting product; tax on "cleaner" product may be reduced	Improve the competitiveness of products or processes that cause lower emissions than "standard" technology
Exemplary cases (see also table 2)	Emission trading programmes (United States); manure quotas (Netherlands)	NO _x charge (Sweden; Norway, Denmark); emission taxes in several central and east European countries	VOC incentive tax (Switzerland); sulphur tax (some countries); tax reduction for "cleaner" fuels and cars (several countries)	Environmental funds (mainly in central and eastern Europe); accelerated depreciation schemes (several countries);
				price guarantees for renewable energy (Germany)
Applicability (see also table 2)	(Large) point sources (permits); polluting products (quotas)	(Large) point sources	"Intrinsically" polluting products	Low-emission technology (especially if performance exceeds standards)
Effectiveness	Allow flexibility while securing cap on total emissions	Can be very effective if rate is set at an appropriate level; precise effects of the tax may be difficult to estimate	Can be very effective if rate/difference compensates for higher cost of substitute	Can play an important role in creating a market for new technology; accelerated depreciation (and other corporate tax deductions) are only effective in case of profitmaking firms
Efficiency	Potentially high, especially when abatement costs vary widely; accumulated capital savings in the United States estimated at > US\$ 10 billion	Potentially high, especially substitutes vary widely	when abatement costs or costs of	Eligible equipment may not be optimal in all cases; risk of subsidizing investments that would have been made anyway

ECE/EB.AIR/2012/L.7

	Tradable permits and quotas	Emission and process taxes/charges	Product taxes and tax differentiation	Subsidies and fiscal inducements	
Monitoring and enforcement effort involved; administrative costs	Dependent on procedures and conditions; may be relatively high due to trade approval procedures	Dependent on number of sources affected and on measuring method; admin. costs in case of Swedish NO _x charge estimated at 0.7% of revenues	Relatively low, in particular if existing administrative infrastructure can be used and if number of producers/importers is limited; admin. costs in case of Swedish sulphur tax estimated at 0.1% of revenues	Dependent on scope and details of the subsidy scheme	
Distributional aspects and economic impact	 Dependent on assignment of permits/quotas (e.g. "grandfathering" or auctioning); 	Dependent on market situation, tax/charge rate, costs of emission reduction,	Dependent on market situation, tax/charge rate, costs of substitutes, and revenue	May come into conflict with the "polluter pays principle";	
	- If markets are imperfect, dominant firms may increase their market power	and allocation of revenues; impact can be minimized by recycling revenues to charge-/taxpayers	destination; distributional impact may be regressive (having most impact on those with low incomes) if basic goods (e.g. energy) are taxed and if income tax is simultaneously reduced	may lead to "windfall profits" if subsidized investment would have been made anyway	
International trade aspects	No discrimination against foreignowned firms	"Border tax adjustments" can be applied, but should be compatible with WTO and, where applicable, EU rules	Tax/charge can be levied on imported goods and refunded for exported goods (but no customs duties allowed in intra-EU trade); discrimination against foreign producers should be avoided	Compatibility with WTO and, where applicable, EU rules should be checked in advance; appearance of favouring domestic producers should be avoided	
Possible side effects	- Risk of "hot spots" if location of emission matters	Taxes will generate revenue		Subsidy may act as a catalyst in negotiations between environmental authorities and firms	
	- Auctioned permits raise revenue for public spending				
Important issues in instrument design	- Criteria for trade approval should be transparent and not too restrictive, so as to avoid limited participation in the market	Tax should be accompanied by a reliable emission reporting system	Scheme should provide for exemption/refund if product is used in ways that do not cause emissions	Budgetary impact should be carefully assessed, especially if scheme is "open-ended"	
	- Fiscal treatment of permits and quotas (as assets) should be clear				

	Tradable permits and quotas	Emission and process taxes/charges ^a	Product taxes and tax differentiation	Subsidies and fiscal inducements	
NO _x : (large) point	√ √	✓✓		Emission related: CZ NL ^b	
sources	Emissions trading:	Incentive charge on emissions: SE, NO		Energy related: AT CA DK DE LT NL NO PL SE GB	
	CA US GB NL CH ^c				
	"Internal bubbles": DK NL	Financing charges/taxes on emissions: BG CA ^c CZ DK .EE ES ^{c/} FR HR HU IT LV LT ME PL RO RS RU SK		Industry related: CA CY FR DE GR NL PL PT	
NO_x , SO_2 , PM and	✓	$\checkmark\checkmark$	$\checkmark\checkmark$	Investments: CA CY HU IT LT NO	
VOCs: mobile sources, including	Only used on the urban	Environmentally motivated road	Lower taxes on "cleaner"	PL	
shipping	scale: Cracow (PL),	pricing: AT BE CZ DE NL PL	vehicles and/or fuels: AL AT	Car scrapping schemes: CA CY IE IT NL NO FR GR PT RO SE GB	
	Singapore	NO_x tax on large mobile sources: NO		US Funding schemes and reductions in road tolls for trucks with PM filters:	
		Congestion charging: GB SE			
		Fee differentiation for shipping according to NO _x emissions: SE		CH DE DK NL PT US	
			Charges for use of studded tyres: NO		
SO ₂ : large point	√ √	$\checkmark\checkmark$	$\checkmark\checkmark$	Energy related: AT CA DK DE LT	
sources	Emissions trading:	Financing charges/taxes on	Taxation of fuels differentiated according to S content: BE BG DE DK FI FR LI LU NO PT SE CH GB TR	NL NO PL SE GB	
	PL d US GB CHc	emissions: BG CZ DK EE ES ^c FR HR HU IT LV LT ME PL RO RS		Industry related: CA ^c CY FR DE GR NL PL PT	
	"Internal bubbles": NL GB			GRAZIZII	
SO ₂ , PM: small point	Ī	√ √	Tax credits/refunds on high		
sources		Taxes on emissions: CZ	performance appliances for small scale wood combustion to reduce PM emission: FR NO		

Į
\mathbf{C}
₹
E
₩
➣
Ħ
~
8
12
2
-

	Tradable permits and quotas	Emission and process taxes/charges ^a	Product taxes and tax differentiation	Subsidies and fiscal inducements
VOCs: large point sources	✓✓	✓✓	√ √	Energy related: AT CA DK DE LT
	Emissions trading: CA	Incentive charge on emissions: CH		NL NO PL SE GB
	US	Financing charges/taxes on emissions: CZ EE FR LI LV PL	solvent paint: CZ SK	Industry related: CA CY FR DE GR NL PL PT
		Financing charge on processes: PL	Tax on solvents (as from	
VOCs: small point		✓√	2000): CH	
sources and products		Taxes on emissions: CZ		
NH ₃ : large point sources		✓✓		Industry related: CA CY FR DE
		Financing charges/taxes: BG CZ EE PL LT		GR NL PL PT
NH ₃ : agriculture	✓✓	✓	✓	√ √
	Emissions trading ("offsets"): NL ^c	Emissions charge: SK Charge on surplus manure: BE NL	Charge/tax on N-fertilizer: AT ^b DK FI ^b NO SE US ^c	Subsidies/tax breaks for NH ₃ control, including organic farming: CZ DE IT NL NO SI GB

Notes:

Codes are ISO-3166 codes referring to countries which have experience with the instrument/ source category combination:

AL	Albania	EE	Estonia	LI	Liechtenstein	RO	Romania
AT	Austria	ES	Spain	LT	Lithuania	RU	Russian Federation
BE	Belgium	FI	Finland	LU	Luxembourg	RS	Serbia
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	FR	France	LV	Latvia	SK	Slovakia
BG	Bulgaria	DE	Germany	MA	Malta	SE	Sweden
CA	Canada	GB	United Kingdom	ME	Montenegro	SI	Slovenia
CH	Switzerland	GR	Greece	MK	Macedonia	TR	Turkey
HR	Croatia	HU	Hungary	NL	Netherlands	UA	Ukraine
CY	Cyprus	IS	Iceland	NO	Norway	US	United States
CZ	Czech Republic	IR	Ireland	PL	Poland		
DK	Denmark	IT	Italy	PT	Portugal		

^a Excluding non-compliance fees.
^b Abolished.

^c Sub-national level.

^d Sub-national experiments.

* Number of ticks reflects relative suitability of instrument/source category combination:

[✓] indicates general suitability.

^{✓✓} indicates the highest level of suitability.

Implementation provisions

This table presents a concise (non-exhaustive) checklist of the main actions, tasks and responsibilities likely to be involved in the application of the four types of economic instruments. Successful application of the instrument depends on the clear assignment of responsibilities for the implementation of each of these items

	Tradable permits and quotas	Emission and process taxes/charges	Product taxes and tax differentiation	Subsidies and fiscal inducements			
Preparation	- Assessment of relevant factors and institutional settings (including division of responsibilities among different levels of Government)						
	 Determination of appropriate Initial proposal for introduction Feasibility study and impact and an arrived proposal Consultations with all parties consequences, listing of comments, where the proposal and political deferminance Time schedule and preliminar 	on of the economic instruction of the economic, environment (economic, environment) involved (clarification of wishes and objections) ecision procedure		nent chosen, overview of			
Legislation	 Definition of tradable items Eligible actors Conditions and criteria Relationship with existing regulations Administrative procedure for trades Sanctions 	Taxable/chargeaTaxable/chargeaTax/charge ratea	s, exemptions and refund criteria essment procedure	 Eligible investments/products Eligible actors Conditions and criteria Amount/rate Application procedure Appeal procedure Sanctions 			
Information provision	Information campaign at the inContinuous availability of info		nent (both general and targeted) or affected/eligible actors	- Sauctions			

enforcement that l	- Assignment of permits/quotas (auctions; redistribution of permits that have been removed; renewal of	- Monitoring of emissions (or sample checks)	- Monitoring of sales volume of product (or sample checks)	Checking applicationsChecking compliance with criteria						
	temporary permits/quotas) - Monitoring trade	- Checking declarations	- Checking declarations	- Issuing decision on application						
	 Possible government interventions in the 	- Tax/charge - collection and redistribution co	 Imposing tax/charge assessments Tax/charge collection and redistribution 	- Payment						
	permit/quota market			- Fraud investigation						
	- Compliance checks			- Judicial action						
-	- Judicial action	- Judicial action	- Judicial action							
Evaluation	- Establishing a time schedule ar	Establishing a time schedule and criteria for evaluation (before introduction)								
	- Determining procedures for date	Determining procedures for data collection and exchange (before introduction)								
	- Laying down the initial situation	Laying down the initial situation and envisaged development/objectives (before introduction)								
	- Confronting observed results w	Confronting observed results with objectives								
	- Analysing causes of deviation t	Analysing causes of deviation from objectives								
	- Identifying implementation pro	Identifying implementation problems, administrative costs and unwanted side effects								
	- Proposals for adjustments of th or unwanted effects.	e instrument, or for other mea	sures to achieve the objectiv	es in order to avoid problems, costs						

Emission and process taxes/charges

Tradable permits and quotas

Product taxes and tax

differentiation

Subsidies and fiscal inducements