UNITED E



# **Economic and Social Council**

Distr. GENERAL

ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1 17 January 2003

Original: ENGLISH

#### **ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE**

EXECUTIVE BODY FOR THE CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION

# REPORT OF THE TWENTIETH SESSION OF THE EXECUTIVE BODY <u>Addendum</u>

#### Annex I

#### DECISION 2002/1 ON THE FINANCING OF CORE ACTIVITIES

### The Executive Body,

Noting that the 1979 Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution and, with one exception, its Protocols do not contain any provision for the long-term financing of core activities,

<u>Recalling</u> that in 1984 the Parties adopted a Protocol to the 1979 Convention to provide for long-term financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP),

<u>Considering</u> that in the 1999 Gothenburg Ministerial Declaration the Parties noted that the efficient operation of the Convention and its Protocols required there to be stable, long-term funding arrangements for their core activities,

<u>Convinced</u> that it is necessary to make appropriate arrangements regarding this matter,

- 1. <u>Decides</u> that, for the purposes of this decision:
- (a) "Financial year" means the financial year of the United Nations, and "annual budget" shall be construed accordingly;
- (b) "General Trust Fund" means the General Trust Fund for the Financing of the Implementation of the Convention, which has been established by the Secretary-General of the United Nations;

- (c) "Designated international centres" means the international centres for the coordination of effect-related activities and integrated assessment modelling under the Convention that are specified in appendix I below;
- (d) "Costs of core activities" means the costs of the international coordination of health, materials and ecosystem effect-related activities and integrated assessment modelling at the designated international centres that are covered by the work-plan adopted by the Executive Body, but excluding costs covered by the EMEP Protocol;
- (e) "Contributions in kind" means contributions made by a Party or Signatory to the Convention directly to a designated international centre in respect of its work on core activities, as well as any other contributions recognized in decisions by the Executive Body as contributions in kind for the purpose of the present decision;
- 2. <u>Also decides</u> that an annual budget for the costs of core activities should be adopted by the Executive Body by consensus in advance of the beginning of the financial year to which it applies and, at the same time, provisional budget totals drawn up for each of the two succeeding financial years;
- 3. <u>Furthermore decides</u> that the costs of core activities specified in the annual budget should be met from contributions made in cash and/or in kind by any Party or Signatory to the Convention, as well as, subject to approval by the Executive Body on the recommendation of the Working Group on Effects or the EMEP Steering Body, by any other country, organization or individual wishing to contribute to the costs of core activities:
- 4. Calls for all contributions in cash to be deposited in the General Trust Fund account;
- 5. <u>Recommends</u> that the contributions referred to in paragraph 3 should be made in accordance with the scale of contributions set out in appendix II below;
- 6. Requests the secretariat to present for the consideration of the Executive Body at each annual session a list of the contributions, whether in cash or in kind, made in the preceding financial year towards the costs of core activities under the Convention and its Protocols. The secretariat should list contributions in kind to a designated international centre based on information provided to it by the contributing Party and confirmed by the centre receiving the contribution;
- 7. <u>Decides</u> to review, at its twenty-third session, the need for adopting a protocol on the financing of core activities in the light of the effectiveness of the present decision in achieving long-term stable funding for the core activities of the Convention and its Protocols.

### Appendix I

#### DESIGNATED INTERNATIONAL CENTRES

Programme Coordination Centre for the International Cooperative Programme on Effects of Air Pollution on Natural Vegetation and Crops:

at the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor, United Kingdom.

Programme Centre for the International Cooperative Programme on Integrated Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Ecosystems:

at the Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland.

Programme Main Coordinating Centre for the International Cooperative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests:

at the Federal Research Centre for Forestry and Forest Products, Hamburg, Germany.

Programme Centre for the International Cooperative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Acidification of Rivers and Lakes:

at the Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway.

Main Research Centre for the International Cooperative Programme on Effects of Air Pollution on Materials, including Historic and Cultural Monuments:

at the Swedish Corrosion Institute, Stockholm, Sweden.

Coordination Center for Effects for the International Cooperative Programme on Modelling and Mapping:

at the National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, Netherlands.

Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling for the Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling: at the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria.

Centre for Work on the Health Effects of Air Pollution:

at the World Health Organization's European Centre for Environmental Health, Bonn, Germany.

### Appendix II

### SCALE OF RECOMMENDED CONTRIBUTIONS

The following is the scale of contributions referred to in paragraph 5:

| Parties to the Convention a/ | Per cent |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Armenia                      | 0.005    |
| Azerbaijan                   | 0.009    |
| Belarus                      | 0.044    |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina       | 0.009    |
| Bulgaria                     | 0.030    |
| Croatia                      | 0.091    |
| Cyprus                       | 0.088    |
| Czech Republic               | 0.472    |
| Estonia                      | 0.023    |
| Georgia                      | 0.012    |
| Hungary                      | 0.279    |
| Iceland                      | 0.077    |
| Kazakhstan                   | 0.065    |
| Kyrgyzstan                   | 0.002    |
| Latvia                       | 0.023    |
| Liechtenstein                | 0.014    |
| Lithuania                    | 0.039    |
| Malta                        | 0.035    |
| Monaco                       | 0.009    |
| Norway                       | 1.501    |
| Poland                       | 0.878    |
| Republic of Moldova          | 0.005    |
| Romania                      | 0.135    |
| Russian Federation           | 2.788    |
| Slovakia                     | 0.100    |
| Slovenia                     | 0.188    |
| Switzerland                  | 2.960    |
| The FYR of Macedonia         | 0.014    |
| Turkey                       | 1.022    |
| Ukraine                      | 0.123    |
| Yugoslavia                   | 0.046    |
| Austria                      | 2.200    |
| Belgium                      | 2.623    |
| Denmark                      | 1.740    |
| Finland                      | 1.213    |
| France                       | 15.021   |
| Germany                      | 22.694   |
| Greece                       | 1.252    |
| Ireland                      | 0.683    |
| Italy                        | 11.766   |
| Luxembourg                   | 0.186    |
| Netherlands                  | 4.037    |
| Portugal                     | 1.073    |
| Spain                        | 5.851    |
| Sweden                       | 2.385    |
| United Kingdom               | 12.860   |
| European Community           | 3.330    |

### Annex II

STATEMENT TO MINISTERS FROM THE EXECUTIVE BODY FOR THE CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION ON THE PREPARATION OF A LONG-TERM FUNDING ARRANGEMENT FOR CORE ACTIVITIES

- 1. In the Gothenburg Ministerial Declaration of 1 December 1999, Ministers requested the Executive Body to prepare a stable, long-term funding arrangement, preserving the possibilities for contributing in kind, for the international coordination of health and ecosystem effect-related activities and integrated assessment modelling.
- 2. These "core activities", fundamental to the effects-based approaches used for developing the recent protocols to the Convention, and providing valuable information and data to other forums, are not covered by the Convention's 1984 Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP), which funds the international centres of EMEP specified in the Protocol. Despite the substantial efforts made by the Parties over the years, the fair sharing of the financial burden of core activities, apart from those under EMEP, has not yet been achieved.
- 3. To address the Ministers' request, the Executive Body considered the possibility of a protocol or a decision as a means of achieving long-term financing of the core activities. Many Parties believed that a protocol would be the best means to secure this objective. Others indicated that their governments could not at present agree to such a mandatory funding arrangement. Some of the Parties that already supported particular programmes and centres indicated that, in any event, they would continue to provide funding for them.
- 4. There was no consensus for proceeding by way of a protocol. Instead, the Executive Body adopted decision 2002/1 to facilitate funding. The decision requires the Executive Body to adopt by consensus each year a budget for core activities in the coming year and provisional budgets for the following two years. The budget should be met by recommended contributions from Parties, in cash or in kind, calculated on the basis of the United Nations scale of assessments. Such an approach has been widely and effectively used in the context of other multilateral environmental agreements.
- 5. Past voluntary funding agreements under the Convention have, however, failed to secure adequate funds. The new decision on funding is an improvement on the previous arrangements, especially because of the increased transparency that will result from the full recording of direct contributions made to the centres. Nevertheless, the Executive Body decided at its twentieth session in December 2002 to review, at its twenty-third session, the case for adopting a funding

### ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1 Page 6

protocol in the light of the effectiveness of the new decision.

6. The new decision on funding will meet the needs of the Convention if each Party makes its contribution in full. Without adequate funding for the core activities, the Convention would not be able to fulfil its work-plan. The Executive Body, therefore, urges Ministers to expedite the necessary action for the purpose of ensuring that the necessary contributions are made annually starting in 2003.

### PROPOSED PARAGRAPH FOR THE 2003 KIEV MINISTERIAL DECLARATION

| Ministers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|           |  |  |  |  |  |  |

<u>Welcome</u> the decision taken by the Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution to facilitate funding from Parties for its core activities (Executive Body decision 2002/1), and resolve to take action for the purpose of ensuring the necessary contributions, in cash or in kind, to this important work.

#### Annex III

# DECISION 2002/2 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY NORWAY WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE 1991 VOC PROTOCOL (ref. 1/01)

### The Executive Body,

- 1. Recalls its decision 2001/1, in which it inter alia: welcomed the submission by Norway to the Implementation Committee concerning its compliance with the 1991 Geneva Protocol on the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes; noted the Implementation Committee's report and its conclusion regarding Norway's non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the Protocol (EB.AIR/2001/3, paras. 3-9); expressed its concern at Norway's failure to fulfil its obligation; noted that Norway expected that the measures adopted would bring it into compliance not later than 2005 or 2006; noted with concern that, on this basis, Norway would be in non-compliance for seven years; urged Norway to fulfil its obligation under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible; invited it to report to the Implementation Committee by 30 April 2002 on the progress made; and requested the Implementation Committee to review the progress and report to it thereon at its twentieth session;
- 2. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee on Norway's progress, based on the information it received from Norway on 2 July 2002 (EB.AIR/2002/2, paras. 5-7), and in particular its conclusion that Norway remained in non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the VOC Protocol:
- 3. <u>Remains concerned</u> about the continuing failure by Norway to fulfil its obligations to take effective measures to reduce its annual emissions within the TOMA specified under annex I by at least 30% using 1989 as its base year, and to ensure that its total national annual emissions do not exceed its 1988 levels, as required by paragraph 2 (b) of article 2 of the VOC Protocol;
- 4. <u>Expresses disappointment</u> that Norway has not demonstrated that it will be able to shorten the period of seven years that it previously anticipated it would remain in non-compliance;
  - 5. Urges Norway to fulfil its obligations under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible;
- 6. <u>Calls on Norway</u> to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress it has made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Norway expects to be in compliance, lists

### ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1

Page 8

the specific measures taken or scheduled to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the VOC Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its VOC emissions up to and including the year of compliance;

7. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review Norway's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex IV

# DECISION 2002/3 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY FINLAND WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER 1991 VOC PROTOCOL (ref. 2/01)

### The Executive Body,

- 1. Recalls its decision 2001/2, in which it inter alia: welcomed the submission by Finland to the Implementation Committee concerning its compliance with the 1991 Geneva Protocol on the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes; noted the Implementation Committee's report and its conclusion regarding Finland's non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the Protocol (EB.AIR/2001/3, paras.11-18); expressed its concern at Finland's failure to fulfil its obligation; noted that Finland expected that the measures adopted would bring it into compliance not later than 2004 or 2005; noted with concern that Finland might be in non-compliance for six years; urged Finland to fulfil its obligation under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible; invited it to report to the Implementation Committee by 30 April 2002 on the progress made; and requested the Implementation Committee to review the progress and report to it thereon at its twentieth session;
- 2. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee on Finland's progress, based on the information that it received from Finland on 30 April and 30 August 2002 (EB.AIR/2002/2, paras. 9-11), and in particular its conclusion that Finland remained in non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the VOC Protocol;
- 3. Remains concerned about the continuing failure by Finland to fulfil its obligation to take effective measures to reduce its national annual emissions by at least 30% using 1988 as its base year, as required by paragraph 2 (a) of article 2 of the VOC Protocol;
- 4. <u>Welcomes</u> Finland's announcement that it expects to shorten the period of its non-compliance previously anticipated and thus to achieve compliance by no later than 2002;
  - 5. Urges Finland to fulfil its obligations under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible;
- 6. <u>Calls on</u> Finland to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Finland expects to be in compliance, lists the specific measures taken or scheduled to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the VOC

### ECE/EB.AIR/77/Add.1 Page 10

Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its VOC emissions up to and including the year of compliance;

7. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review Finland's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex V

# DECISION 2002/4 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY ITALY WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE 1991 VOC PROTOCOL (ref. 3/01)

### The Executive Body,

- 1. Recalls its decision 2001/3, in which it inter alia: welcomed the submission by Italy to the Implementation Committee concerning its compliance with the 1991 Geneva Protocol on the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes; noted the Implementation Committee's report and its conclusion regarding Italy's non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the Protocol (EB.AIR/2001/3, paras. 20-26); expressed its concern at Italy's failure to fulfil its obligation; noted that Italy expected that the measures adopted would bring it into compliance not later than 2001 or 2002; urged Italy to fulfil its obligation under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible; invited Italy to report to the Implementation Committee by 30 April 2002 on the progress made; and requested the Implementation Committee to review the progress and report to it thereon at its twentieth session;
- 2. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee on Italy's progress, based on the information that it received from Italy on 6 May and on 10 September 2002 (EB.AIR/2002/2, paras. 13-15), and in particular its conclusion that Italy remained in non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the VOC Protocol;
- 3. Remains concerned about the continuing failure by Italy to fulfil its obligation to take effective measures to reduce its national annual emissions by at least 30% using 1988 as its base year, as required by paragraph 2 (a) of article 2 of the VOC Protocol;
  - 4. Urges Italy to fulfil its obligations under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible;
- 5. <u>Calls on</u> Italy to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Italy expects to be in compliance, lists the specific measures taken or scheduled to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the VOC Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its VOC emissions up to and including the year of compliance;
- 6. Requests the Implementation Committee to review Italy's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex VI

# DECISION 2002/5 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY SWEDEN WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE 1991 VOC PROTOCOL (ref. 1/02)

### The Executive Body,

- 1. <u>Welcomes</u> the submission by Sweden to the Implementation Committee concerning its compliance with the requirements of paragraph 2 (a) of article 2 of the 1991 Geneva Protocol on the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes;
- 2. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee on this matter (EB.AIR/2002/2, paras. 17-20) and in particular its conclusion regarding Sweden's non-compliance with the emission reduction obligation of the VOC Protocol;
- 3. <u>Expresses concern</u> at the failure by Sweden to fulfil its obligation to take effective measures to reduce its national annual emissions by at least 30% using 1988 as its base year, as required by paragraph 2 (a) of article 2 of the VOC Protocol;
- 4. <u>Notes</u> that Sweden has not yet indicated when the measures that it has adopted to implement the provisions of paragraph 2 (a) of article 2 would bring it into compliance;
  - 5. <u>Urges</u> Sweden to fulfil its obligation under the VOC Protocol as soon as possible;
- 6. <u>Calls on</u> Sweden to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Sweden expects to be in compliance, lists the specific measures taken or scheduled (giving particular attention to the mobile source and wood combustion sectors) to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the VOC Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its VOC emissions up to and including the year of compliance;
- 7. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review Sweden's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex VII

## DECISION 2002/6 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY GREECE WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE 1988 NOx PROTOCOL (ref. 2/02)

### The Executive Body,

- 1. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee (EB.AIR/2002/2, para. 22-27) concerning Greece's compliance with the requirements of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the 1988 Sofia Protocol concerning the Control of Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides or their Transboundary Fluxes following the referral by the secretariat pursuant to paragraph 5 of the structure and functions of the Implementation Committee, and in particular the Committee's conclusion regarding Greece's failure to comply with the emission reduction obligation of the Protocol;
- 2. <u>Expresses its concern</u> at the failure by Greece to fulfil its obligation to take effective measures to control and/or reduce its national annual emissions so that these do not exceed emissions in 1987, as required by paragraph 1 of article 2 of the NOx Protocol;
- 3. <u>Notes with concern</u> that Greece does not expect to achieve compliance even by 2010 (by which time it will have been in non-compliance for thirteen years), and that, moreover, Greece has not indicated a year by which it expects to achieve compliance;
  - 4. <u>Urges</u> Greece to fulfil its obligation under the NOx Protocol as soon as possible;
- 5. <u>Calls on</u> Greece to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Greece expects to be in compliance, lists the specific measures taken or scheduled to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the NOx Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its NOx emissions up to and including the year of compliance;
- 6. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review Greece's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex VIII

# DECISION 2002/7 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY IRELAND WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE 1988 NOx PROTOCOL (ref. 3/02)

#### The Executive Body,

- 1. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee (EB.AIR/2002/2, paras. 29-36) concerning Ireland's compliance with the requirements of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the 1988 Sofia Protocol concerning the Control of Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides or their Transboundary Fluxes following the referral by the secretariat pursuant to paragraph 5 of the structure and functions of the Implementation Committee, and in particular the Committee's conclusion regarding Ireland's failure to comply with the emission reduction obligation of the Protocol;
- 2. <u>Expresses its concern</u> at the failure by Ireland to fulfil its obligation to take effective measures to control and/or reduce its national annual emissions so that these do not exceed emissions in 1987, as required by paragraph 1 of article 2 of the NOx Protocol;
- 3. <u>Notes</u> that Ireland expects that the measures that it has adopted to implement the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 will bring it into compliance by no later than 2004;
- 4. <u>Notes with concern</u> that, if Ireland reaches the required emission reductions only by 2004, it will have been in non-compliance for nine years;
  - 5. Urges Ireland to fulfil its obligation under the NOx Protocol as soon as possible;
- 6. <u>Calls on</u> Ireland to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Ireland expects to be in compliance, lists the specific measures taken or scheduled (giving particular attention to the transport sector) to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the NOx Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its NOx emissions up to and including the year of compliance;
- 7. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review Ireland's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

#### Annex IX

## DECISION 2002/8 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY SPAIN WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE 1988 NOx PROTOCOL (ref. 4/02)

#### The Executive Body,

- 1. <u>Notes</u> the report provided by the Implementation Committee (EB.AIR/2002/2, paras. 38-43) concerning Spain's compliance with the requirements of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the 1988 Sofia Protocol concerning the Control of Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides or their Transboundary Fluxes following the referral by the secretariat pursuant to paragraph 5 of the structure and functions of the Implementation Committee, and in particular the Committee's conclusion regarding Spain's failure to comply with the emission reduction obligation of the Protocol;
- 2. <u>Expresses its concern</u> at the failure by Spain to fulfil its obligation to take effective measures to control and/or reduce its national annual emissions so that these do not exceed emissions in 1987, as required by paragraph 1 of article 2 of the NOx Protocol;
- 3. <u>Notes with concern</u> that Spain has been moving further away from compliance in recent years and has not indicated when it will achieve compliance;
  - 4. Urges Spain to fulfil its obligation under the NOx Protocol as soon as possible;
- 5. <u>Calls on</u> Spain to provide to the Implementation Committee through the secretariat by 31 March 2003 a report describing the progress made towards compliance and setting out a timetable that specifies the year by which Spain expects to be in compliance, lists the specific measures taken or scheduled to fulfil its emission reduction obligations under the NOx Protocol and sets out the projected effects of each of these measures on its NOx emissions up to and including the year of compliance;
- 6. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review Spain's progress and timetable, and report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex X

# DECISION 2002/9 CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY THE PARTIES WITH THEIR REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

### The Executive Body,

- 1. <u>Takes note</u> of the report of the Implementation Committee with respect to follow-up to Executive Body decision 2001/4 regarding compliance by Parties with their reporting obligations (EB.AIR/2002/2/Add.1, paras. 1-4);
- 2. <u>Also takes note</u> of the report of the Implementation Committee with respect to compliance by Parties with their emission data reporting obligations under the Protocols (EB.AIR/2002/2/Add.1, paras. 5-18);
- 3. Recalls its decisions 2000/2, in which it expressed concern over Luxembourg's continued non-compliance with its reporting obligations and urged it to provide the required data, and its decision 2001/4, in which it expressed serious concern that Luxembourg remained in non-compliance and strongly urged it to comply with its reporting obligations and provide all missing information by 31 January 2002 and, if it could not comply fully within that time frame, to draw up a precise timetable in agreement with the secretariat before 31 January 2002 for provision of the missing information;
- 4. <u>Also recalls</u> that the Implementation Committee in its third (EB.AIR/2000/2, para. 21) and fourth reports (EB.AIR/2001/3, para. 41) identified Luxembourg as not having fulfilled its emission data reporting obligations under the 1985 Sulphur and the 1988 NOx Protocols;
- 5. <u>Furthermore recalls</u> that the Implementation Committee in its fourth report (EB.AIR/2001/3, para. 41) identified Ukraine as being consistently in non-compliance with its emission data reporting obligations under the 1985 Sulphur and the 1988 NOx Protocols;
- 6. <u>Expresses concern</u> that Ukraine continues to be in non-compliance with these reporting obligations;
- 7. <u>Urges</u> Ukraine to comply with its reporting obligations under the 1985 Sulphur Protocol and the 1988 NOx Protocol and in these contexts to provide, as soon as possible, but no later than 31 January 2003, all the missing information on its national emissions;

- 8. <u>Notes</u> that on 10 December 2002 Luxembourg submitted emission data to comply with its reporting obligation under the 1985 Sulphur and 1988 NOx Protocols;
- 9. <u>Expresses dismay</u> that Luxembourg has remained in non-compliance with its reporting obligations, did not meet the requests of the Executive Body in its decision 2000/2, nor did it fully meet the requests of the Executive Body in its decision 2001/4;
- 10. <u>Requests</u> the Implementation Committee to review the progress made by Luxembourg, including its recent submission, and by Ukraine with regard to their reporting obligations, and to report to it thereon at its twenty-first session.

### Annex XI

### DECISION 2002/10 ON EMISSION DATA REPORTING UNDER THE CONVENTION AND THE PROTOCOLS IN FORCE

### The Executive Body,

<u>Referring to</u> the Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data adopted at the twenty-sixth session of the EMEP Steering Body, and approved by the Executive Body at its twentieth session,

Noting the importance of reliable emission data both for the purpose of reviewing Parties' compliance with their obligations under the protocols and as a basis for scientific work to further develop abatement strategies under the Convention,

#### A. Decision under the Convention

Acting under article 8 (a) of the 1979 Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution,

- 1. Agrees that:
- (a) The periods of time referred to in article 8 (a) of the 1979 Convention, for which available information shall be exchanged, shall be:
  - (i) The calendar year for national totals;
  - (ii) Every fifth year for gridded data;
- (b) The air pollutants, referred to in article 8 (a) of the 1979 Convention, shall be emissions of: sulphur, nitrogen oxides, ammonia, non-methane volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, particulate matter, heavy metals (in particular: cadmium, lead, mercury, and if a Party considers it appropriate: arsenic, chromium, copper, nickel, selenium, zinc) and persistent organic pollutants (in particular: aldrin, chlordane, chlordecone, DDT, dieldrin, endrin, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene (HCB), mirex, toxaphene, hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), hexabromobiphenyl, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins/furans, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and if a Party considers it appropriate: short-chained chlorinated paraffins, pentachlorophenol); using as guidance the definition given in annex I to the above-mentioned Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data, noting that no revision to the Guidelines will affect this decision unless and until it has been expressly so decided by the Executive Body;

(c) The grid units, referred to in article 8 (a) of the 1979 Convention, for the reporting by Parties within the geographic scope of EMEP, shall be the 50 km x 50 km grid specified in annex V to the above-mentioned Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data, noting that no revision to the Guidelines will affect this decision unless and until it has been expressly so decided by the Executive Body;

### B. <u>Decision under the 1991 VOC Protocol</u>

Acting under article 8 of the 1991 Geneva Protocol on the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes,

### 2. <u>Specifies</u> that:

- (a) The guidelines, referred to in article 8, paragraph 1, of the 1991 VOC Protocol, according to which each Party shall report on the level of emissions of VOCs in its territory and any TOMA in its territory, by total and, to the extent feasible, by sector of origin and by individual VOC, shall be annex I and annex III, table III. A, of the above-mentioned Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data, noting that no revision to the Guidelines will affect this specification unless and until it has been expressly so decided by the Executive Body;
- (b) The intervals, referred to in article 8, paragraph 3, of the 1991 VOC Protocol, for which Parties within the geographic scope of EMEP shall report information on VOC emissions by sector of origin, shall be annual;
- (c) The spatial resolution, referred to in article 8, paragraph 3, of the 1991 VOC Protocol, with which Parties within the geographic scope of EMEP shall report information on VOC emissions, shall be the 50 km by 50 km grid specified in annex V to the above-mentioned Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data, noting that no revision to the Guidelines will affect this specification unless and until it has been expressly so decided by the Executive Body;
- (d) The uniform reporting framework, referred to in article 8, paragraph 4, of the 1991 VOC Protocol, in accordance with which information shall, as far as possible, be submitted, shall be the reporting formats given in annex IV of the above-mentioned Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data, noting that no revision to the Guidelines will affect this specification unless and until it has been expressly so decided by the Executive Body;

### C. <u>Decision under the 1994 Sulphur Protocol</u>

Acting under article 5 of the 1994 Oslo Protocol on Further Reduction of Sulphur Emissions,

- 3. <u>Determines</u> that the periodic basis, referred to in article 5, paragraph 1, of the 1994 Oslo Protocol, on which each Party shall report information on the levels of national annual sulphur emissions referred to in subparagraph (b), shall be annual and that submissions shall reach the secretariat before 15 February, for data other than gridded data, on inventories for the calendar year that ended 13 months prior to that date and, if necessary, for updates to data for earlier years and to the emission projections;
- 4. <u>Specifies</u> that the guidelines referred to in article 5, paragraph 1 (b), of the 1994 Oslo Protocol, shall be annex I and annex III, table III. A, of the Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emissions Data, noting that no revision to the Guidelines will affect this specification unless and until it has been expressly so decided by the Executive Body;
- 5. <u>Approves</u> the decision by the EMEP Steering Body that the periodic basis, determined in accordance with article 5, paragraph 2, of the 1994 Oslo Protocol for the reporting by Parties within the geographic scope of EMEP:
- (a) Shall be annual and that submissions should reach the secretariat before 15 February, for data other than gridded data, on inventories for the calendar year that ended 13 months prior to that date and, if necessary, for updates to data for earlier years and to the emission projections; and
- (b) Shall be every fifth year (2000, 2005, etc.) and that gridded data should reach the secretariat no later than 1 March.

### Annex XII

Annex referred to in article 4 of the Protocol to the 1979 Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for the Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP)

Mandatory contributions for sharing of costs for financing EMEP shall, from 2004 onwards, be calculated according to the following scale\*

|                        | Per cent  |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Belarus                | 0.0443    |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 0.0093    |
| Bulgaria               | 0.0303    |
| Canada                 | voluntary |
| Croatia                | 0.0909    |
| Cyprus                 | 0.0886    |
| Czech Republic         | 0.4733    |
| Estonia                | 0.0233    |
| Hungary                | 0.2798    |
| Latvia                 | 0.0233    |
| Liechtenstein          | 0.0140    |
| Malta                  | 0.0350    |
| Monaco                 | 0.0093    |
| Norway                 | 1.5062    |
| Poland                 | 0.8813    |
| Russian Federation     | 2.7978    |
| Slovakia               | 0.1003    |
| Slovenia               | 0.1889    |
| Switzerland            | 2.9704    |
| Turkey                 | 1.0259    |
| Ukraine                | 0.1236    |
| United States          | voluntary |
| Yugoslavia             | 0.0466    |
| Austria                | 2.2079    |
| Belgium                | 2.6323    |
| Denmark                | 1.7463    |
| Finland                | 1.2171    |
| France                 | 15.0756   |
| Germany                | 22.7766   |
| Greece                 | 1.2567    |
| Ireland                | 0.6855    |
| Italy                  | 11.8085   |
| Luxembourg             | 0.1865    |
| Netherlands            | 4.0522    |
| Portugal               | 1.0772    |
| Spain                  | 5.8725    |
| Sweden                 | 2.3939    |
| United Kingdom         | 12.9073   |
| European Community     | 3.3418    |
| Total                  | 100.0000  |

<sup>\*</sup> The order in which the Contracting Parties are listed in this annex is specifically made in relation to the cost-sharing system agreed upon by the Executive Body for the Convention. Accordingly, the listing is a feature which is specific to the Protocol on the Financing of EMEP.