Getting it Right: Policies that Provide a Solid Foundation for the Development of CMM/AMM and VAM Projects

Meredydd Evans Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

25th World Mining Congress

Turning Coal Mine Methane into an Asset: Implementing Best Practices and Policies

June 20, 2018, Astana, Kazakhstan



Outline

- Range of policy options for Coal Mine Methane (CMM): ownership rights, incentives and carbon policy
- Policies for VAM (Ventilation air methane) and AMM (Abandoned Mine Methane)
- Two country examples
- Conclusions



Policy is Important to Address Growth in Emissions

- CMM emissions follow the coal production
- AMM emissions grow even if coal production and CMM decline



Source: Model for Calculating Coal Methane (MC2M) emissions; Preliminary data



LESS TARGETED POLICY SUPPORT NEEDED

The Importance of Clear Rights in CMM/AMM Utilization

- Ownership is a form of incentive for CMM
 - Poorly defined ownership and leasing rights can create conflicts and obstacles to utilization

Clear rights reduce uncertainty, risks and costs

- Basis for producing and selling CMM-based electricity
- Clear rights is key to multi-party projects



Incentives Can Speed Project Implementation

Examples:

- Carbon price
- Feed-in tariff (FIT)
- Reduced taxes or royalties

Illustrative example: Impact of carbon price and FIT on project economics





VAM Projects Have Specific Policy Needs

- Majority of CMM is VAM (60~70% of CMM emissions)
- At least 6 projects in Australia, China and the U.S.
- Projects usually not self-financing from energy
- Carbon price or offsets are important (only one known project did not use carbon credits)
- Permitting rules affected initial VAM timelines and costs

Blue Creek Mine #4 Mine, Alabama, USA 2009-2013



Marshall County Mine, West Virginia, USA First commercial-scale project, commissioned in 2012



Key AMM Policy Actions for Success

- Enact clear procedures for obtaining AMM ownership rights
- Allow for transfer of methane rights from the mine to the gas developer
- Set royalties at a low level to encourage investments
- Offer reduced taxes or other incentives to support AMM projects
- Consider including AMM as a renewable energy resource



AMM Case Studies: Key Findings

Country	AMM utiliz. rate	Royalties	Key Policies
Germany	99%	10%	 Clear gas rights and licensing process Feed-in tariffs/market premium for AMM
UK	58%	Taxes instead	 Clear rights and licensing procedures Fairly high taxes AMM exempted from climate change levy
Australia	31%	10%	 AMM is not defined as a resource Flaring is prohibited
US	29%	12.5%	 Royalty relief (some states) AMM in Renewable Portfolio Standards (some states) Carbon offsets

Based on draft paper on AMM policies, scheduled for release later this year



Case Study 1: Germany

- Rights to CMM are provided to coal companies
- Feed-in-tariff (and later market premium incentives) for CMM and AMM
 - Primary factor driving active project development
- As of December 2017, active AMM projects utilized up to 99% of AMM





Case Study 2: Ukraine

- Variable tax policies : royalties
 - 29% of royalty tax for CMM capture (July 2016)
 - Revenues (savings) from CMM utilization became taxable
 - Mines stopped flaring
 - CMM utilization decreased by one third
- Tax Code was amended in December 2017
 - No royalty tax
 - No income tax by 2020
 - Penalties for venting have been increased



Conclusions

- AMM /CMM emissions will likely grow in the future
- Countries use a mix of policy instruments to encourage coal methane projects
- Clearly defined property rights reduce risks
- Projects can be profitable but incentives are important
- Consistent policies are critical to project success



Thanks and Contact Information

We are grateful for EPA's support of this work.

Contacts: Global Methane Initiative

https://www.globalmethane.org

Volha Roshchanka U.S. Environmental Protection Agency <u>Roshchanka.Volha@epa.gov</u>

Meredydd Evans Joint Global Change Research Institute Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

m.evans@pnnl.gov



Backup slides



Australia

- Each state sets its own regulation
- Companies should apply for a petroleum title
- Royalty rate is 10%
- Australia utilizes 31% AMM emissions



Germany

Policy is important!







16

United Kingdom

- Clear defined property rights
 - Petroleum Act of 1998
 - License fees are relatively low
- Little tax incentive for AMM
- No royalties for extracting AMM





United States

- No federal incentives
- Some states provide royalty relief
- Some states included AMM in Renewable Portfolio Standards



Methane

United States: AMM projects

