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Common acronyms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPAWG</td>
<td>Business Process Analysis Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDWG</td>
<td>Codes Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTIED</td>
<td>Committee for Trade, Industry and Enterprise Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWG</td>
<td>EDIFACT Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWG</td>
<td>Legal Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMWG</td>
<td>Techniques and Methodology Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ebXML</td>
<td>Electronic Business XML initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFACHT</td>
<td>Asia Pacific Council for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>Business Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIO</td>
<td>Business Information Objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOS</td>
<td>Business Object Summit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPM</td>
<td>Business Process and Information Modelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSR</td>
<td>Basic Semantic Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>Basic Semantic Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMR</td>
<td>Directory Maintenance Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTD</td>
<td>Document Type Definition (in XML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eBTWG</td>
<td>Electronic Business Transition Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBWG</td>
<td>Electronic Business Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLAC</td>
<td>UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCAP</td>
<td>UN Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCWA</td>
<td>UN Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>Intellectual Property Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTC</td>
<td>a joint ISO/IEC technical committee (JTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLA</td>
<td>Office of the Legal Adviser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Technical Assessment sub-group of the UN/EDIFACT Working Group (EWG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBL</td>
<td>XML Common Business Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBL</td>
<td>XML Universal Business Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMM</td>
<td>UN/CEFACT Modelling Methodology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTRODUCTORY NOTES

1. Apologies were received from Messrs. Anca, Featherstone and Olumekun. The Chairman of the CTIED and the Director of the Trade Division welcomed the CSG. The UN/CEFACT Chairman participated in the meeting and moderated the discussion on the new structure of UN/CEFACT during the first two days.

ELECTRONIC BUSINESS STRATEGY - CURRENT POSITION, RESOURCES, FORWARD PLANS

2. The CSG Chairman noted that the positive results of the EWG meeting on the development of the proposed new working group structure of UN/CEFACT held in September 2001. The Electronic Business Team (EBT) had been dissolved because its work had been completed. A drafting team had then been established, including CSG members, the UN/CEFACT Chairman, a member of the secretariat and the Head of Delegation of the Netherlands. The CSG Chairman introduced document CEFACT/2001/CS21, Proposal for the Reorganisation of UN/CEFACT, and pointed out the important suggestion of the working groups to unite some of the working groups in larger groups of experts on a functional basis. He also stressed the need to emphasize the concept of trade facilitation in the new structure.
3. The UN/CEFACT Chairman further suggested that the following elements/layers should be taken into consideration in the construction of the new structure of UN/CEFACT: Processes - Procedures - Content/Data elements - Transactions/Forms - Methods/Tools/Templates. The CSG confirmed that UN/CEFACT’s Modelling Methodology (UMM) was applicable to all of its activities. The UN/CEFACT Chairman emphasized the need for the commitment of all groups to the UN/CEFACT mission. A clear definition of rules and responsibilities and coordination with the secretariat were necessary. The larger group of experts should encompass working groups.

4. It was agreed that the approval of projects should take place at a higher level. Reports of the Chairs of the various groups to the CSG and conference calls were suggested as part of the coordination and decision process. The CSG would consider the issues of promotion and awareness, policy and liaison and would suggest a way to reflect them in the overall structure. The chairpersons of the various groups would participate in the CSG.

5. It was agreed to include functions related to policy, awareness, promotion and liaison, directly linked to the CSG. The CSG Chairman welcomed the setting-up of a small editing team consisting of Messrs. Dobbing, Guldentops, Georget and Naujok. Mr. Wilson and the CSG Chairman would prepare a final proposal to be reflected in the UN/CEFACT organigramme.

6. The CSG reviewed a proposed organization structure of UN/CEFACT and felt that all the groups should meet at the same place and at the same time – in the UN/CEFACT working group forum – which would significantly improve internal and external coordination. The UN/CEFACT Chairman was ready to submit at the end of January 2002 a document which could be considered by HoDs under the procedure for accelerated inter-sessional approval to Heads of Delegation.

7. The CSG welcomed the agreement between Mr. Naujok, Chairman of TMWG and eBTWG, and Mr. Georget, EWG Chairman, to reallocate the projects currently carried out by eBTWG to TMWG and EWG no later than 12 December 2001.

**Support Service Provider**

8. The CSG drafted Terms of Reference on the establishment of an ad hoc group for a Support Service Provider (SSP), and invited Mr. Featherstone to act as leader. It thanked Mr. Featherstone for his previous work on this issue. It was decided to review the paper Framework for Resources and Services in Support of the UN/CEFACT e-Business Working Group and look into the possibility of establishing a trust fund or an equivalent mechanism which should guarantee financial transparency. The trust fund should have separate accounts and independent auditing and publishing. A Supervisory body for the SSP would be established, and would include the UN secretariat. Messrs. Dobbing and Hansell were to hold an initial discussion with the UN Procurement Office on the procedure for soliciting an SSP by 29 November 2001. Under no circumstances should the SSP become a participant in the groups and would have a clear reporting structure to a nominated "operating" officer representing UN/CEFACT. The main responsibilities of the ad hoc group would be:

- to progress through the UN secretariat with the appointment of one or more SSPs (however, the CSG has a very strong preference for a single SSP)
- to consider and develop together with the secretariat the formation and functions of a supervisory board to oversee contractual obligations and, in particular, to ensure financial transparency and integrity of the operation.

Members: Mr. Featherstone (Chairman), Mr. Dobbing (vice-chairman), Ms. Sorrenti, Ms. Rzymkowska, Mr. de Lijster, Mr. Doran and Mr. Hansell.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND THE UN/CEFACT STANDARD SETTING PROCESS


AGENDA ITEM 4 – PREPARATIONS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL FORUM ON TRADE FACILITATION, MAY 2002

10. The Director of the Trade Division informed the CSG on the preparation of the Forum on Trade Facilitation in May 2002. She also informed on her discussions with representatives of the WTO secretariat, the United States Trade Representative, the European Commission as well as with the Swiss, Spanish and other Governments. Mr. Apostolov informed the meeting about the preliminary programme of the Forum. The CSG indicated that parallel workshops would not be appropriate and recommended to concentrate on the high-level policy discussions in order to produce a well-focused event.

AGENDA ITEM 5 - PROMOTION OF UN/CEFACT’S OBJECTIVES

11. Ms. Rzymkowska suggested that the academics she identified should be invited to the Forum in May 2002. The list that she had prepared was open and a paper would be prepared for the February CSG meeting.

12. The Rapporteur for Asia presented his report CEFACT/2001/CS22. AFACK had taken the decision to establish a permanent secretariat, for which Chinese Taipei had volunteered. The next AFACK Meeting would take place from 27 October to 1 November 2002 in Kuala Lumpur.

AGENDA ITEM 6 – REPORTS FROM MANDATED GROUPS

13. After the EWG Chairman introduced the Directory Audit Report, the CSG requested the secretariat to publish the D.01C directory. It also requested the EWG chair to produce an explanatory note on the reasons for the publication of three directories for this year. This note should be published with the directory. It was noted that in view of the timing of the Forum, the TMWG would not be able to organize its workshop in May 2002. However, the CSG felt that organizing such an event remained of high priority.

AGENDA ITEM 7 – DEVELOPMENTS IN STANDARDIZATION

14. The UN secretariat, OASIS and the CSG Chairman, as a representative of the CSG, had discussed the cooperation agreement with OASIS. The OLA had suggested that OASIS should join the MoU of UNECE, ISO, IEC and ITU. At the MoU meeting in Fort Lauderdale on 8-9 November, it had been agreed that OASIS would be invited to join MoU as an international user group. Subsequently, OASIS had accepted.

AGENDA ITEM 8 – DEVELOPMENTS IN RECOMMENDATIONS AND OTHER DELIVERABLES

15. It was agreed that the ebXML specifications would be published as recommendations for the May 2002 UN/CEFACT Plenary.
AGENDA ITEM 9 – DEVELOPMENTS WITH OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

16. Ms. Wallén-Rahlén informed the meeting of the developments related to the new round of WTO negotiations. The WTO Doha meeting had decided not to include trade facilitation in the new round for the time being, but would take a decision at the Fifth Ministerial Meeting in 2003. UN/CEFACT should consider what kind of contribution it could make to the discussion in the WTO. The Doha meeting had decided that the work on electronic commerce should continue according to the work programme and report to the Fifth Ministerial Meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 10 – ANY OTHER BUSINESS

17. The Director of the Trade Division informed the CSG of the planned new structure for the Trade Division, which would have two branches: (a) relations with inter-governmental bodies and (b) trade-related projects. Ms. Virginia Cram-Martos would be head of the first branch and Mr. Hans Hansell head of the second. Mr. Mika Vepsäläinen would be secretary to the UN/CEFACT Plenary.

18. The CSG strongly requested that for every meeting in Geneva, the secretariat should supply a room, a LCD projector, overhead projectors, transparencies, pens, screen, flip chart in order to ensure a smooth running of the meeting.

19. Mr. Apostolov will review the action list and send it to the list server.

20. The following dates for CSG meetings were agreed upon:

   25-28 February 2002, Geneva  
   31 May 2002, Geneva  
   22-25 July 2002, Geneva  
   21-24 October 2002, Berlin