Public Service Contracts in Russia

Does Russian Law Provide Adequate Support for PSCs?

UN ECE Conference - MFA Israel

- On Promoting Successful Public-Private partnerships in the UNECE Region
- ☐ Tel Aviv, 5-6 June 2007
- Dr. Wim A. Timmermans
 - Landman & Timmermans Advocaten
 - Leiden University, The Netherlands

Outline

- □ Introduction
- □ Background
- Main features
- ☐ Legislative framework
- □ Foreign law
- Conclusions

Introduction

Current presentation is based on an EBRD sponsored project in Russia to promote Public Service Contracts (PSC) in Russia

Background information

- Project seeks to introduce PSC in a medium size town in the Volga Region
- Purpose: restructuring urban public transport
- Requires action in five domains:
 - Network
 - System of regulation
 - Regulatory body
 - Potential providers
 - Fares, ticketing, and revenues

Regulatory framework (I)

- □ Relevant Russian laws include:
 - Transport law draft still under discussion
 - 2005 Law on concession agreements scope restricted to infrastructure only
 - Company law various company laws in place (Civil Code; Laws on joint-stock companies; limited liability companies; state and municipal enterprises)

Regulatory framework (II)

- 2006 Law on protection of competition
 - forbids restriction of competition in most economic sectors
 - State aid is allowed for providing social services
 - □ Tenders are subject to supervision by Federal Anti-monopoly Agency
- 2005 Law on public procurement provision of public transport subject to tendering

Regulatory framework (III)

 2001 Law on privatization – Article 30 forbids privatization of objects of public transport

Conclusions:

- Applicable laws do not forbid provision of public transport by private carriers;
- However, robust support is missing
- Local regulations are supportive but federal support is needed

EBRD Model Agreement on Public Transport Services (I)

- To be concluded by municipality and private sector carrier (winner of tender)
- Specifies rights and duties of parties
- Rather sophisticated instrument; would require a different mind set with the public authorities
- Not supported by a Law on transport

EBRD Model Agreement on Public Transport Services (II)

- Includes a number of annexes, including:
 - Reference service plan
 - Operations plan
 - Standard vehicle requirements
 - Service quality indicators
 - Customer satisfaction index
 - Tariff plan
 - Incentives and penalties
 - Price indexation formula

Regulatory framework

- ☐ Shortcomings:
 - No robust legislative support in place yet
 - Implementation still rather weak strengthening of institutional support – f.i. transport departments of municipalities will have to monitor performance of PSCs
 - Enforcement is still weak f.i. police will have to enforce PSC, including penalizing illegitimate competitors

2000 Dutch Law on carriage of persons (I)

- The Dutch Law of 2000 on carriage of persons could serve as an example for the new Russian Law on Transport
- Main features of the Dutch Law:
 - Regional public transport shall be subject to tendering and concessions
 - Urban public transport (buses) may be subject to tendering and concessions
 - Rights and duties of a concession agreement
 - Enforcement, including penalties and criminal sanctions

Relevant EU Legislation (I)

- □ Directive 2004/18/EC of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts
- Amended proposal for a Regulation on action by Members States concerning public service requirements and the award of public service contracts in passenger transport by rail, road and inland waterway COM (2002) 107 final, 21.2.2002, 2000/0212(COD)

Relevant EU Legislation (II)

□ Revised proposal for a regulation on public passenger transport services by rail and by road, COM(2005) 319 final, 20.7.2005, 2000/0212 (COD)

Conclusions

- Most laws are in place
- With one exception only a robust law on transport, providing for concession based public transport
- Dutch law on carriage of persons could serve as a model
- Institutional support should be strengthened
- □ Enforcement should be improved