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1. The intention of this report is to supplement procedural information on the development of phase 2 of gtr No. 9 included in the Part I (Statement of technical rationale and justification) of document **ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2018/3** and to provide further details on the informal working group.

2. For information on the technical rationale and justification of the amendment, readers are referred to Part I of document **ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2018/3.**

 **I. Introduction**

3. GRSP agreed to set up an IWG on pedestrian safety Phase 2 in order to further develop proposals to amend gtr No.9 on introducing the ~~F~~ **f**lexible ~~P~~ **p**edestrian ~~L~~ **l**egform ~~I~~ **i**mpactor (FlexPLI) (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/AC.3/24, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1079, para. 101).

4. The FlexPLI Technical Evaluation Group (Flex-TEG) has conducted technical evaluation activities on the FlexPLI since September 2005. As result of the Flex-TEG activity Japan has submitted proposals for amendments on ~~gtr~~ **UN** **GTR** No.9 - Phase 2 as well as on the draft UN-Regulation on Pedestrian Safety (Phase 2).

5. At the forty-ninth session of GRSP, some delegations expressed outstanding reservations with regard to the introduction of the FlexPLI and requested to set up an IWG to discuss related issues and to develop proposals to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9.

6. GRSP agreed to seek the consent of WP.29 and AC.3 to mandate a new IWG to solve the pending issues for incorporating the FlexPLI in Phase 2 of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 and in the draft UN Regulation on pedestrian safety in the same time. The World Forum agreed to set up this IWG, subject to the submission to WP.29 of the appropriate terms of references (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1091, para. 36 and 100).

 **II. Objective of the Informal Group**

7. The main objective of the IWG on ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 – Phase 2 was to develop a draft proposal to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 - Phase 2 on pedestrian safety by introducing the FlexPLI as a single harmonized test tool in order to enhance the safety level of lower leg pedestrian protection.

8. The work of the IWG was not limited to draft proposals to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9, but covered the development of a complementary draft proposal to amend the draft UN-Regulation No. 127 on pedestrian safety.

9. The IG GTR9-PH2 worked on the items listed in Appendix 1 of the terms of reference (see Annex 1 of this document).

10. The IWG also reviewed further draft proposals to improve and / or clarify aspects of the legform test procedure.

 **III. Procedural Background**

11. At the 154th session of the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29) and the thirty second session of the Executive Committee of the 1998 Agreement (AC.3) it was agreed to set up an IWG to solve the pending issues for the incorporation of the FlexPLI in Phase 2 of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 and in the draft UN Regulation on pedestrian safety, subject to the submission to WP.29 and to AC.3 of appropriate terms of references (document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1091, paras. 36 and 100).

12. Japan and Germany have informed the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations and the Executive Committee of the 1998 Agreement that this IWG named IG GTR9 - Phase 2 will be co-sponsored by Japan and Germany.

13. The IWG has started its work on 3 November 2011 with a Constitutional Meeting in Bonn, Germany, to draft a document on the terms of references, the operating principles, the schedule and the work plan. At this occasion the participants agreed to the proposal of Japan and Germany that the IWG will be managed by Germany (chair), Japan (vice-chair) and OICA (Secretary).

14. At the 155th session of the World Forum and at the thirty-third session of the Executive Committee of the 1998 Agreement, Japan and Germany have informed delegates about the ongoing activities of the IWG on ~~gtr~~**UN GTR** No. 9 Phase 2 (document WP.29-155-35). The delegates were informed about the constitutional meeting and the management of the group. The information was given that the first meeting was planned for 01 and 02 December 2011 to start the technical discussion and to finalize the draft terms of references as well as the work plan for submission to GRSP in December 2011.

15. The first meeting of the IWG was held on 1 and 2 December 2011 in Geneva, Switzerland. The technical discussion was started and the draft document on the terms of references, the operating principles, the schedule and the work plan for submission to GRSP in December 2011 were finalised. The first progress report was submitted to GRSP in December 2011 and to WP.29 at its 156th session and to AC.3 at its
thirty-fourth session in March 2012. At the 156th session WP.29 endorsed in principle the above mentioned terms of references, pending the adoption of the report of the December 2011 session of GRSP. AC.3 endorsed in principle the terms of reference of the informal working group and requested the secretariat to distribute document ECE/TRANS/WP.29-156-11 with an official symbol for June 2012.

16. The second meeting of the IWG took place on 28 and 29 March 2012 in Osaka, Japan. The discussion was focused on the technical aspects including the accident and benefit analysis. Main priority was also given to discuss the activities on the further development of the certification procedures. For one further work item, a task force was initiated to work on the bumper test area for the lower legform impact.

17. The second progress report was submitted to GRSP in May 2012 and to WP.29 at its 157th session and to AC.3 at its thirty-fifth session in June 2012. During these sessions the first progress report (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2012/58) and the terms of references including the operating principles, the schedule and the work plan were adopted. The second progress report (document ECE/TRANS/ WP.29-157-21) was distributed with an official symbol for November 2012.

18. The third meeting of the IWG was held on 29 and 30 May 2012 in Paris, France. Main topics discussed during the meeting were related to accident data on pedestrian injuries, the cost benefit assessment and the setup of certification corridors.

19. The fourth meeting of the IWG took place on 17 to 19 September 2012 in Washington, D.C., United States of America. The group carried on the discussions of the third meeting, while the main focus was given to the finalisation of certification corridors and the cost benefit assessment for the introduction of the FlexPLI. Further priority was given to agree on the plan for an international vehicle test programme with the FlexPLI.

20. The draft third progress report was submitted to the 158th session of the World Forum and to the thirty-sixth session of AC.3. AC.3 requested the secretariat to distribute the draft third progress report (WP.29-158-28) with an official symbol for consideration at the next session and adopted the second progress report (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2012/120).

21. The fifth meeting was held on 6 and 7 December 2012 in Bergisch Gladbach, Germany. Main subjects of this meeting were a review of the cost benefit analysis, an exchange of information based on the first results of the repeatability and reproducibility testing of the FlexPLI with vehicles and a discussion of the threshold values for the injury criteria. Additionally, the IWG agreed to ask GRSP and AC.3 for an extension of the mandate (working schedule) to take all testing results into account for the amendment.

22. At the 159th session of WP.29 and at the thirty-seventh session of AC.3 it was reported that concerning the development of Phase 2 of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** on pedestrian safety based on the original mandate (working schedule) GRSP had adopted the revised terms of reference of the informal working group as reproduced in Annex II to the GRSP report. The World Forum endorsed the extension of the mandate of the informal working group until June 2014 (adoption at AC.3) and, in principle, the revised terms of references, pending the adoption of the GRSP report of its December 2012 session at the 160th session of the World Forum in June 2013.

23. The third progress report (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2013/36) was recalled at the 159th session of the World Forum and the 37th session of AC.3 as amended (WP.29-159-20) at the December 2012 session of GRSP. AC.3 adopted ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2013/36, as amended by Annex III of the report of the World Forum (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1102).

24. The sixth meeting of the IWG took place in Washington D.C., United States of America from 19 to 20 March 2013. The group agreed on the approach to review the FlexPLI drawing package to prepare the addendum for the Mutual Resolution No. 1 (M.R.1). The review of the controversial discussion on the cost-benefit studies was finalised and the results of the different regions and laboratories on the vehicle repeatability and reproducibility testing were shared for discussions.

25. The draft fourth progress report of the group was presented at the fifty-third session of GRSP. It was added that the group had made good progress and that it was ready to submit an official proposal to the December session of GRSP with possible pending decisions on threshold values of injury criteria. GRSP agreed to resume consideration of this subject on the basis of a proposal submitted by the IWG.

26. At its 160th session, the World Forum was informed by the representative of the United States that GRSP expected to recommend that Amendment 2 (Phase 2) of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** on pedestrian safety, aimed at including the FlexPLI and the definition of the head form impact point be included into the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 test. These provisions would also be included into UN Regulation No. 127. He also announced the submission of an Amendment 1 (Phase 1) to the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** on pedestrian safety on an updated definition of the head form impact point.

27. At the same session of WP.29, the representative of Japan, Vice-Chair of the IWG on Phase 2 of ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9, introduced the fourth progress report of the group together with a presentation. He explained that the IWG had made good progress and that an official proposal for incorporating the flexible pedestrian legform impactor would be submitted to the December 2013 session of GRSP. AC.3 adopted the fourth progress report and requested the secretariat to distribute it with an official symbol at its November 2013 session.

28. The seventh meeting of the IWG was held as a telephone and online meeting on 3 July 2013. The group discussed some specific issues, especially on the threshold values for the injury criteria, the definition of the rebound phase and the tolerances of FlexPLI output values during the free-flight phase. The latter ones were agreed in principal while a decision on the threshold values is still pending. A further work item agreed was to perform an analysis on the necessity and possibility to introduce certification corridors for the femur bending moment.

29. The eighth meeting of the IWG was held on 9 and 10 September 2013. The meeting was dedicated mainly to discussion of the open items like the injury criteria, the femur certification corridors and to review the preamble and the regulatory text of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR No. 9.**

30. The ninth meeting of the IWG was held on 16 and 17 December 2013. During the meeting open items were discussed and resolved during the final review of the proposed amendment of the text for the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** and the UN Regulation 127. One pending issue on the performance limits for the injury criteria has to be discussed within GRSP involving all Contracting Parties.

31. At the fifty-fourth session of GRSP **in December 2013**, Contracting Parties discussed introducing flexibility for Contracting Parties regarding the injury threshold values, but limiting it to Contracting Parties without pre/existing pedestrian protection regulations or standards implemented in domestic legislation at the time the Phase 2 of Global Technical Regulation No. 9. OICA expressed concerns with this approach because it is not fully in line with the global harmonization principles and recommended seeking guidance from AC.3 on this specific matter. GRSP agreed to recommend the draft amendment for Phase 2 of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** to the June 2014 session of AC.3 pending its decision whether the proposed wording is acceptable for Contracting Parties to the 1998 Agreement.

**32. During the one-hundred-and-sixty-third session of WP.29 in June 2014, the expert of the United States of America explained that the USA needs more time to assess further the details of the upcoming amendments to UN GTR No. 9. Consequently, the mandate of the IWG was extended. However, the amendments to UN Regulation 127 were adopted as the 01 series of amendments.**

**33. The reservations of the United States were renewed until the sixtieth session of GRSP in December 2016. There, the expert of the USA explained that the assessment could be finalized and that the USA New Car Assessment Programme decided to already use the new legform impactor proposed for phase 2 of UN GTR No. 9.**

**34. In following discussion it was agreed to hold a tenth meeting (24 November 2017) of the IWG to finalize all open issues with the text of the UN GTR and to also include the amendments for the new bumper test area, that in the meantime, had been adopted as 02 series of amendments to UN Regulation 127. This tenth was held on.**

**35. The meeting finalized all open details and finally proposed to adopt a revised version of document ECE/WP.29/GRSP/2014/15, including the amendments of document ECE/WP.29/GRSP/2014/30, of informal document GRSP-60-17 and some further minor details as Phase 2 of UN GTR No. 9.**

**Annex 1 - Terms of Reference**

 **A. Introduction**

1. GRSP agreed to set up an informal working group on pedestrian safety Phase 2 in order to further develop proposals to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN** **GTR** No. 9 on introducing the Flexible Pedestrian Legform Impactor (FlexPLI) (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/AC.3/24, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1079, para. 101).

2. The FlexPLI Technical Evaluation Group (Flex-TEG) has conducted technical evaluation activities on the FlexPLI since September 2005. As result of the Flex-TEG activity Japan has submitted proposals for amendments on ~~gtr~~ **UN** **GTR** No. 9 - Phase 2 as well as on the draft UN Regulation on Pedestrian Safety (Phase 2). At the forty-ninth session of GRSP some delegations have expressed outstanding reservations with regard to the introduction of the FlexPLI and requested setting up an informal working group to discuss related issues and to develop proposals to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN** **GTR** No. 9.

3. GRSP agreed to seek the consent of WP.29 and AC.3 to mandate a new informal working group to solve the pending issues for the incorporation of the FlexPLI in Phase 2 of the ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 and in the draft UN Regulation on pedestrian safety in the same time. The World Forum agreed to set up this informal working group, subject to the submission to WP.29 of the appropriate terms of references (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1091, para. 36 and 100).

 **B. Objective of the informal working group**

4. The main objective of the informal working group ~~gtr~~ **UN** **GTR** No. 9 – Phase 2 (GTR9-PH2) is to develop a draft proposal to amend the UN global technical regulation No. 9 - Phase 2 on pedestrian safety by introducing the FlexPLI as a single harmonized test tool in order to enhance the safety level of lower leg pedestrian protection.

5. The work of the informal working group shall not be limited to draft proposals to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN** **GTR** No. 9, but shall cover the development of a complementary draft proposal to amend the draft UN Regulation on pedestrian safety.

6. The informal working group may also review further draft proposals to improve and / or clarify aspects of the legform test procedure.

7. The informal working group GTR9-PH2 shall work on the items listed in Appendix I to this document.

 **C. Work plan and time schedule**

May 2011 Proposal of Draft ToR to GRSP
 (informal document)

June 2011 GRSP agreed to seek consent of WP.29 and AC.3 to mandate new IWG on pedestrian protection

3 November 2011 Constitutional meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2
 (Bonn, DE)

November 2011 Report to WP.29 on activities of IWG

1-2 December 2011 (Geneva, CH) First meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2

December 2011 Progress Report to GRSP, submission of Draft ToR to WP.29

March 2012 Progress Report to WP.29 and adoption of ToR by AC.3

28 and 29 March 2012 (Osaka, JP) Second meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2
May 2012 Progress Report to GRSP

29 - 30 May 2012 (Paris, FR) Third meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2
June 2012 Progress Report to WP.29

17 - 19 Sept. 2012 (Wash. DC, USA) Fourth meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2

November 2012 Draft Progress Report to WP.29

6 - 7 December 2012 Fifth meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2
(Bergisch Gladbach, DE)

December 2012 Progress Report and submission of informal documents to GRSP

March 2013 Progress Report to WP.29

19 - 20 March 2013 (Wash. DC, USA) Sixth meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2

May 2013 Draft Progress Report and submission of informal proposal for discussion to GRSP

June 2013 Progress Report to WP.29

3 July 2013 Seventh meeting (WebEx) of the IG GTR9-PH2

9 - 10 September 2013 Eighth meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2
(Paris, France)

November 2013 Draft Progress Report to WP.29

16 and 17 December 2013 Ninth meeting of the IG GTR9-PH2
(Geneva, CH)

December 2013 ~~Final~~ **Fifth** Report and submission of formal proposal**s** to GRSP, agreement by GRSP

June 2014 **Adoption of UN Regulation No. 12 amendment** by WP.29

**24 November 2017 Tenth meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2**

**December 2017 Sixth Report and submission of formal proposal**

 **for UN GTR No. 9**

**GRSP to agreement by GRSP**

**June 2018 Adoption of UN GTR No. 9 amendment by WP.29**

※Additional meetings (including virtual meetings) could be held according to progress in the discussions and the decision of the informal working group.



**Appendix** - **Activity list**

**The major tasks of the informal working group on the phase 2 of UN GTR No. 9 include:**

1. Review and consideration of remaining items:

(a) Review of Flex-TEG activities 🡪 to reach common understanding;

(b) Assessment of biofidelity (comparison of FlexPLI and EEVC lower legform impactor);

(c) Assessment of benefit and costs (injury reduction, additional benefit compared to EEVC lower legform impactor);

(d) Technical specifications (drawings) and PADI (user manual);

(e) Evaluation of durability;

(f) Test procedure (rebound phase, best practice, velocity measurement etc.);

(g) Certification tests;

(h) Review and exchange of test results;

(i) Evaluation of reproducibility and repeatability;

(j) Evaluate and decide on performance / injury criteria and threshold values;

(k) Evaluation of vehicle countermeasures (assessment of technical feasibility).

2. Develop a draft proposal to amend ~~gtr~~ **UN GTR** No. 9 - Phase 2.

3. Develop a complementary draft proposal to amend draft UN Regulation on Pedestrian Safety (including a recommendation for transitional provisions based on item 1).

**Annex 2 - Reports to WP.29**

1st Progress Report ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2012/58

2nd Progress Report ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2012/120

3rd Progress Report ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2013/36

4th Progress Report ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2013/129

5th Progress Report **ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2014/16**

**6th Progress Report ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2018/3**

**Appendix - Reference Documents used by the Informal Group**

All informal documents used by this IWG are listed and available on the UNECE WP.29 website ([www.unece.org/trans/main/welcwp29.html](http://www.unece.org/trans/main/welcwp29.html)).

| *Doc. No.* | *Rev* | *Name* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2011/13 |  | Proposal for Amendment 2 to global technical regulation No. 9 (Pedestrian safety) |
| GRSP-49-38 |  | Draft terms of reference for the IWG on pedestrian safety phase 2 (IG PS2) |
| ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1091 |  | Reports of the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations on its 154th session, Administrative Committee of the 1958 Agreement on its forty-eighth session, Executive Committee of the 1998 Agreement on its thirty-second session, Administrative Committee of the 1997 Agreement on its eighth session |
| GTR9-C-01 | 1 | Agenda of the Constitutional Meeting of the IWG on gtr No 9 - Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) |
| GTR9-C-02 | 1 | Minutes of the Constitutional Meeting of the IWG on gtr No. 9 – Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) |
| GTR9-C-03 |  | Informal document GRSP-49-38: Draft terms of reference for the IWG on pedestrian safety phase 2 (IG PS2) |
| GTR9-C-04 | 1 | History of Development of the FlexPLI |
| GTR9-C-05 |  | Review of the FlexPLI TEG Activities |
| GTR9-C-06 |  | Comments on the Draft Terms of Reference for the IWG on Pedestrian Safety Phase 2 (28/10/2011) |
| GTR9-C-07 | 1 | Final Operating Principles and Terms of Reference for the IG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-C-08 |  | TEG document matrix |
| GTR9-1-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 1st meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-1-02 | 1 | Minutes of the 1st meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-1-03 | 1 | Document TF-RUCC-K-03-Rev.1: Work plan of TF-RUCC |
| GTR9-1-04 |  | FlexPLI Version GTR Prototype SN-02 - Durability Assessment |
| GTR9-1-05 | 1 | Technical Discussion – Biofidelity |
| GTR9-1-06 | 1 | Technical Discussion – Injury Criteria |
| GTR9-1-07 | 1 | Technical Discussion – Benefit |
| GTR9-1-08 | 1 | FlexPLI GTR Status, 1 – 2 December 2011 |
| GTR9-1-09 |  | Inf. Doc. WP.29-155-35: Report to the November session of WP.29 on the activities of the IWG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-1-10 |  | Changes to Flex PLI GTR Since Prototype Build, Status Dec. 2010 |
| GTR9-1-11 |  | Scatter of pendulum test results, 09.11.2010 |
| GTR9-1-12 |  | Informal document GRSP-49-23: Update on Pedestrian Leg Testing |
| GTR9-2-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 2nd meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-2-02 | 1 | Minutes of the 2nd meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-2-03 |  | Proposal for a Modification of the Bumper Test Area for Lower and Upper Legform to Bumper Tests |
| GTR9-2-04 | 1 | Robustness of SN02 prototype test results - Revision 1 |
| GTR9-2-05 |  | Comparison of Filter Classes for FlexPLI |
| GTR9-2-06 |  | Technical Specification and PADI |
| GTR9-2-07 | 1 | Technical Discussion – Benefit (Update of document GTR9-1-07 Rev. 1) |
| GTR9-2-08 |  | FlexPLI GTR meeting actions |
| GTR9-2-09 |  | FlexPLI GTR – FE model v2.0 |
| GTR9-2-10 | 2 | FlexPLI Comparison - test experiences with different impactors (completed during the 3rd meeting) |
| GTR9-2-11 |  | Informal document WP.29-156-11: First progress report of the IWG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-2-12 |  | Re-examination of Number of Pedestrians by Injury Severity |
| GTR9-2-13 |  | FLEX PLI Update for Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers |
| GTR9-2-14 |  | Updated Japan progress report: Review and update certification test corridors and test methods (added pendulum test data) |
| GTR9-3-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 3rd meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-3-02 | 1 | Minutes of the 3rd meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 – Final |
| GTR9-3-03 |  | Informal document GRSP-51-15: Draft second progress report of the IWG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-3-04 |  | Flex PLI GTR User Manual Rev. C |
| GTR9-3-05 |  | FlexPLI Prototype SN04 Robustness Test results |
| GTR9-3-06 |  | Proposal for a future vehicle test matrix |
| GTR9-4-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 4th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-4-02 | 1 | Minutes of the 4th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-4-03 | 3 | Status of activity list items |
| GTR9-4-04 |  | 1994 EEVC WG10 Report |
| GTR9-4-05 |  | 1996 EEVC WG10 report to the 15th ESV conference |
| GTR9-4-06 |  | 1998/2002 EEVC WG17 Report |
| GTR9-4-07 |  | TF-RUCC Activity Report 6 Sept. 2012 |
| GTR9-4-08 |  | Guidelines To Conduct FlexPLI Round Robin Car Test Smoothly and Effectively |
| GTR9-4-09 |  | FlexPLI Round Robin Test Results |
| GTR9-4-10 |  | Informal document WP.29-157-16: Proposal for the establishment of Special Resolution No. 2 on description and performance of test tools and devices necessary for the assessment of compliance |
| GTR9-4-11 |  | Investigation of the Influences of Friction within the Inverse Certification Test Setup |
| GTR9-4-12 |  | Report addressing the Pedestrian Research performed by JASIC |
| GTR9-4-13 |  | JP Research Summary: JASIC Flex Injury Estimate |
| GTR9-4-14 |  | Comparison of FlexPLI Performance in Vehicle Tests with Prototype and Series Production Legforms |
| GTR9-4-15 |  | Informal document WP.29-157-21: 2nd progress report of the IWG GTR9-PH2 |
| GTR9-4-16 | 1 | Pedestrian Lower Extremity Injury Risk - Revision 1 |
| GTR9-4-17 |  | FlexPLI Round Robin Car Test Schedule |
| GTR9-4-18 |  | FlexPLI vs. EEVC LFI Benefit Estimation |
| GTR9-4-19 |  | Overview of NHTSA Pedestrian Activities |
| GTR9-4-20 |  | Validation of pedestrian lower limb injury assessment using subsystem impactors (IRCOBI conference, 12th – 14th Sept. 2012) |
| GTR9-4-21 |  | OSRP Pedestrian Lower Leg Response Research test series |
| GTR9-4-22 |  | Checklist for Vehicle Testing |
| GTR9-5-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 4th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-5-02 | 1 | Minutes of the 5th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-5-03 |  | Pedestrian Injuries By Source: Serious and Disabling Injuries in US and European Cases (Mallory et al. Paper for 56th AAAM Annual Conference) |
| GTR9-5-04 |  | Flex PLI gtr User Manual Rev. D, Oct. 2012 |
| GTR9-5-05 | 2 | FlexPLI - Round Robin Tests |
| GTR9-5-06 |  | Informal document WP29-158-28: Draft 3rd progress report |
| GTR9-5-07 | c2 | Discussion on Feasibility of FlexPLI Countermeasures |
| GTR9-5-08 |  | Proposal for Procedure to Process FlexPLI Measurements in Rebound Phase |
| GTR9-5-09 |  | Applicability Information |
| GTR9-5-10 |  | FlexPLI Durability Against Larger Vehicles |
| GTR9-5-11 |  | FlexPLI Repeatability in Car Tests |
| GTR9-5-12 |  | Experimental Validation of Human and FlexPLI FE Models |
| GTR9-5-13 |  | FlexPLI vs. EEVC LFI Correlation |
| GTR9-5-14 |  | Benefit and Cost; Additional Analysis based on GTR9-2-07r1 |
| GTR9-5-15 |  | Moving Ram Friction Effect  |
| GTR9-5-16 | 1 | Round Robin Test Result (E-Leg) |
| GTR9-5-17 |  | FlexPLI Test Results (SN-03) |
| GTR9-5-18 |  | Flex PLI Logbook for the IG GTR9-PH2 Round Robin Tests |
| GTR9-5-19 |  | Estimation of Cost Reduction due to Introduction of FlexPLI within GTR9 |
| GTR9-5-20 |  | Verification of Draft FlexPLI prototype impactor limits and application to FlexPLI serial production level |
| GTR9-5-21 |  | US Round Robin Test Status |
| GTR9-5-22 |  | Information on vehicle data used in NHTSA's studies |
| GTR9-5-23 | c | Initial comments of OICA experts to the 5th IG GTR9-PH2 meeting in response to document GTR9-5-20  |
| GTR9-5-24 |  | Height tolerance for pedestrian protection |
| GTR9-5-25 |  | Flex PLI Inverse Test Setup - Moving Ram Friction |
| GTR9-5-26 |  | Investigation of the influences of friction within the inverse certification test setup of the FlexPLI - Lower Legform Impactor |
| GTR9-5-27 |  | Clarification of Injury Threshold Determination Process Used by JAMA |
| GTR9-5-28 |  | Operating Principles and Terms of Reference for the IG GTR9-PH2, updated version 5th meeting |
| GTR9-5-29 |  | Draft gtr No 9 amendment, version 2012-12-06 |
| GTR9-5-30 |  | Discussion of the Rebound Issue, ACEA comments |
| GTR9-5-31 | 1 | FlexPLI version GTR drawing package |
| GTR9-6-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 6th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-6-02 | 2 | Minutes of the 6th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-6-03 |  | FlexPLI Testing: Propelling Accuracy  |
| GTR9-6-04 |  | Guidelines for the development of drawings for a test tool to be added as an Addendum to M.R.1 - (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1101) |
| GTR9-6-05 |  | Schedule to prepare an Addendum for FlexPLI for the M.R.1  |
| GTR9-6-06 |  | FlexPLI GTR User Manual Rev. E 2013  |
| GTR9-6-07 |  | Definition of FlexPLI Biofidelic Assessment Interval  |
| GTR9-6-08 | 1 | Derivation of FlexPLI thresholds |
| GTR9-6-09 |  | FlexPLI Drawings |
| GTR9-6-10 |  | FlexPLI Pre- & Post-Test Procedure |
| GTR9-6-11 |  | Consideration of the Rebound Phase |
| GTR9-6-12 |  | Validation of Flex-GTR model  |
| GTR9-6-13 |  | Proposal for a wording to consider tolerances of the normal ride height |
| GTR9-6-14 | 1 | FlexPLI Round Robin Testing |
| GTR9-6-15 | 1 | Summary JPR Report Evaluating the Methodology and Assumptions Made in Doc. GTR9-5-14 & GTR9-5-19 |
| GTR9-6-16 |  | JPR Report Evaluating the Methodology and Assumptions Made in Doc. GTR9-5-14 and GTR9-5-19 |
| GTR9-6-17 |  | Large Truck/SUV Challenges |
| GTR9-6-18 |  | FlexPLI Round Robin Test Results |
| GTR9-6-19 | 1 | FlexPLI Round Robin Test Results |
| GTR9-6-20 |  | Discussion on Impactor Thresholds |
| GTR9-6-21 |  | Flex-PLI Rebound Issue: Industry Proposal (Update) |
| GTR9-6-22 |  | FlexPLI Drawing Review (Surface Level) |
| GTR9-6-23 | 2 | FlexPLI Drawings Review |
| GTR9-6-24 |  | Durability Study SN-03 |
| GTR9-6-25 |  | Comments on GTR9-6-15 (JP Research review of JASIC & BASt FlexPLI Injury Reduction Estimate) |
| GTR9-6-26 |  | Development of Injury Probability Functions for the Flexible Pedestrian Legform Impactor |
| GTR9-6-27 |  | Comments on Alliance and JP Research Documents (GTR9-6-15 and GTR9-6-16) |
| GTR9-6-28 |  | Certification test results of the OEM legform used in document GTR9-6-20 |
| GTR9-7-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 7th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-7-02 | 1 | Minutes of the 7th meeting of the IWG GTR9-PH2 - Final |
| GTR9-7-03 |  | Draft running order of the provisional agenda |
| GTR9-7-04 |  | Information on drawing package kindly provided by Humanetics |
| GTR9-7-05 | c | Result of drawing review (surface level) |
| GTR9-7-06 | c | Result of manual review |
| GTR9-7-07 |  | Injury Probability Function for Tibia Fracture and MCL Failure |
| GTR9-7-08 |  | Development of Flex-GTR Master Leg FE Model and Evaluation of Validity of Current Threshold Values  |
| GTR9-7-09 |  | Flex-GTR Master Leg Level Impactor Test Data - Pendulum Test |
| GTR9-7-10 |  | FlexPLI Logbook - legform SN-01 |
| GTR9-7-11 |  | FlexPLI Logbook - legform SN-03 |
| GTR9-7-12 |  | FlexPLI Logbook - legform E-Leg |
| GTR9-7-13 |  | FlexPLI Rebound Phase |
| GTR9-7-14 |  | Detailed Review of Drawing Package and Itemized Check against Master Leg Impactor SN03 |
| GTR9-7-15 |  | BASt comments on GTR9-7-13:JASIC position on FlexPLI rebound phase |
| GTR9-7-16 | 1 | Collation of FlexPLI Pendulum Certification Test Results |
| GTR9-7-17 | 1 | Collation of FlexPLI Inverse Certification Test Results |
| GTR9-8-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 8th meeting of the IWG on Gtr No. 9 – Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) - Final |
| GTR9-8-02 | **1** | Minutes of the 8th meeting of the IWG on Gtr No. 9 – Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) – **Final** ~~Draft~~ |
| GTR9-8-03 | 1 | GTR9 – Draft Working Document of IG GTR9 PH2; Version 1, 04 Sept. 2013 |
| GTR9-8-04 |  | GTR9 – Draft Preamble of IG GTR9 PH2; Version 1, 04 Sept. 2014 |
| GTR9-8-05 |  | (not used) |
| GTR9-8-06 |  | OICA comments on the draft text, based on document GRSP-53-29 |
| GTR9-8-07 |  | Proposed Title Block for Regulation Drawings |
| GTR9-8-08 | 1 | Comments to JAMA presentation GTR9-7-06c and Proposed Changes, FlexPLI GTR Manual |
| GTR9-8-09 |  | Comments to Cellbond Flex PLI Drawing Check Document GTR9-6-23 |
| GTR9-8-10 |  | Comments to JASIC's Comments Provided with Document GTR9-7-05c |
| GTR9-8-11 |  | Comparison of Effect of Different Approaches on Injury Risk Functions |
| GTR9-8-12 |  | Possible Influence of Temperature and Humidity on the FlexPLI Behavior |
| GTR9-8-13 |  | FlexPLI Manual: FlexPLI Preparation before Car Testing |
| GTR9-8-14 |  | Request for Transitional Provisions for FlexPLI Usage |
| GTR9-8-15 |  | FlexPLI Biofidelic Assessment Interval (BAI): Open Issues |
| GTR9-8-16 |  | Change to foam flesh used by EEVC lower & upper legforms |
| GTR9-8-17 |  | FlexPLI Version GTR - Testing of Vehicles with Different Bumper Systems |
| GTR9-8-18 |  | Femur Certification Corridors for the Inverse Test (Zero Cross Timing) |
| GTR9-8-19 |  | Femur Certification Corridors for the Pendulum Test (Zero Cross Timing) |
| GTR9-9-01 | 1 | Agenda for the 8th meeting of the IWG on gtr No. 9 – Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) - **Final** ~~Draft~~ |
| GTR9-9-02 | **1** | **Minutes of the 9th meeting of the Informal Group on Global Technical Regulation No. 9 – Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) - Final** |
| GTR9-9-03 |  | FlexPLI weight tolerances, Reduction of proposed weight tolerances |
| GTR9-9-04 | 1 | Lower Legform Test Area, Justification of the Need for a Relaxation Zone |
| GTR9-9-05 |  | Proposal of 01 series of amendments to Regulation No. 127: Transitional provisions |
| GTR9-9-06 |  | Proposed amendments of the three-point bending certification test figure |
| GTR9-9-07 | **3**~~1~~ | FlexPLI GTR User Manual Rev. F ~~(Draft)~~ 2013 |
| GTR9-9-08 | 1 | Updates to Flex PLI Manual Rev E to Rev F |
| GTR9-9-09 |  | Max and Min Femur Certification Analysis FlexPLI |
| GTR9-9-10 |  | FlexPLI Weight Tolerance Review |
| GTR9-9-11 | **4** | Reviewed FlexPLI version GTR drawing package |
| GTR9-9-12 |  | Details of Drawing Updates to Flex PLI gtr No. 9 Regulation Drawings |
| GTR9-9-13 |  | Flesh Neoprene Corridor FlexPLI |
| GTR9-9-14 |  | Dimensional Tolerance Review FlexPLI |
| GTR9-9-15 |  | Confor Foam Change [for EEVC Impactors] |
| GTR9-9-16 |  | Flex PLI Drawing Review |
| GTR9-9-17 |  | Legform Tests, Results from Round 2, FlexPLI |
| **GTR9-10-01** | **1** | **Agenda for the 10th meeting of the Informal Group on Global Technical Regulation No. 9 – Phase 2 (IG GTR9-PH2) – Final** |
| **GTR9-10-02** |  | **Minutes of the 10th meeting of the Informal Group on Global Technical Regulation No. 9 – Phase 2 – Draft** |
| **GTR9-10-03** |  | **Consolidated version of GTR9 incl. all amendments before 10th meeting (for reference only)** |
| **GTR9-10-04** | **c1** | **JASIC review of document DRAFT GRSP-2014-15-Rev1e** |
| **GTR9-10-05** |  | **FlexPLI Drawing and User Manual Status** |
| **GTR9-10-06** |  | **Comments by TRL - Correction List** |
| **GTR9-10-07** |  | **Comments by TRL – Request for more details** |
| **ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2018/2** |  | **Draft for amendment to document UNECE/WP.29/GRSP/2014/15** |
| **ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2018/3** |  | **Draft for amendment to document UNECE/WP.29/GRSP/2014/16** |

1. \* In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2014–2018 (ECE/TRANS/240, para. 105 and ECE/TRANS/2014/26, programme activity 02.4), the World Forum will develop, harmonize and update UN Regulations in order to enhance the performance of vehicles. The present document is submitted in conformity with that mandate. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)