

**Economic and Social Council**

Distr.: General
2 June 2016
English
Original: French

Economic Commission for Europe**Inland Transport Committee****Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods**

Joint Meeting of Experts on the Regulations annexed to the European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Inland Waterways (ADN) (ADN Safety Committee)

Twenty-ninth session

Geneva, 22-26 August 2016

Item 3 (c) of the provisional agenda

Implementation of the European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Inland Waterways (ADN): Interpretation of the Regulations annexed to ADN

3.2.3.2 of ADN, Table C, column (20), UN Nos. 3256, 3257 and 1664**Transmitted by the Government of Germany^{1,2}***Summary*

Executive summary: “7” appears in Table C, column (20) for all entries concerning UN Nos. 3256 and 3257. The question is whether “17” should also be included.

In the case of UN No. 1664, Table C, column (20) contains only “17”. The question here is why the melting point has to be indicated in the transport document.

Action to be taken: Referral to the informal working group on substances.

¹ Distributed in German by the Central Commission for the Navigation of the Rhine under the symbol CCNR-ZKR/ADN/WP.15/AC.2/2016/35.

² In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2016-2017 (ECE/TRANS/2016/28/Add.1 (9.3)).



Related documents: None

I. Introduction

1. In Table C of 3.2.3.2 of ADN, all the lines of column (20) for UN Nos. 3256 and 3257 contain only “7”.

2. In 3.2.3.3, entitled, “Flowchart, schemes and criteria for determining applicable special requirements (columns (6) to (20) of Table C)”, the following explanation is given in respect of “17” in column (20):

***Remark 17:** Reference shall be made in column (20) to remark 17 when reference is made to remark 6 or 7.*

3. For UN No. 1664, the inclusion of remark 17 in column (20) of Table C means that the melting point has to be indicated in the transport document, although neither “6” nor “7” are shown. No cargo heating is required for this substance.

II. Question of interpretation

4. Perhaps the absence of “17” in column (20) for UN Nos. 3256 and 3257 is an oversight.

5. Perhaps the inclusion of remark 10 in column (20) is a mistake.

6. The informal working group on substances should be invited to check these issues.
